EXHIBIT 3

Case No. 14-CV-704-GKF-JFJ

```
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 2.
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 3
 4
               Plaintiff,
 5
    and
 6
    OSAGE MINERALS COUNCIL,
 7
               Intervenor-Plaintiff,
                                    No. 14-CV-704-GFK-JFJ
    vs.
 8
    OSAGE WIND, LLC; ENEL KANSAS,
    LLC; and ENEL GREEN POWER
    NORTH AMERICA,
10
11
               Defendants.
12
    VIDEOTAPED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF BILL MOSKALUK
                TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
13
                 ON JUNE 16, 2021 AT 10:00 A.M.
14
                          APPEARANCES
15
    On behalf of the PLAINTIFF:
    Stuart Ashworth
16
    Cathryn D. McClanahan
    Nolan Fields
17
    UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
    Northern District of Oklahoma
18
    110 West 7th Street, Suite 300
    Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119
    918.382.2700
19
    stuart.ashworth@sol.doi.gov
20
21
     (Appearances continued on the following page)
2.2
    ALSO PRESENT: Megan Beauregard, Michelle Hammock, &
23
    Christina Watson
24
    VIDEOTAPED BY: Megan Smith
25
    REPORTED BY: Abby Rhodes, CSR, RPR
```

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2	On behalf of the INTERVENOR-PLAINTIFF: Mary Katherine Nagle
3	Shoney Blake PIPESTEM & NAGLE
4	401 South Boston Avenue, Suite 2200 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
5	918.936.4705 mknagle@pipestemlaw.com
6	On behalf of the DEFENDANT:
7	Ryan Ray NORMAN, WOHLGEMUTH, CHANDLER, JETERN, BARNETT & RAY
8	401 South Boston Avenue 2900 Mid-Continent Tower
9	Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103 918.583.7571
10	Sarah M. Stevenson
11	Lynn Slade MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK
12	500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 1000 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103
13	505.848.1800 sarah.stevenson@modrall.com
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Casei 4: Mask about 04-GKF-JFJ Documen 6346 229 Ret in USDC ND/OK on 11/30/21 Page 4 of

1		INDEX	
2			Page
3	BILL MO	SKALUK	6
4	Direct	Examination by Mr. Ashworth	6
5	Cross E	xamination by Ms. Nagle	127
6	Redirec	t Examination by Mr. Ashworth	179
7		EXHIBITS	
8	Exhibit	Description	
9	8	5/15/14 E-mail	144
10	37	5/22/14 E-mail Chain	140
11	38	10/9/14 Letter from the Bureau of	114
12		Indian Affairs	
13	46	Exhibit B(i) Scope of Work	75
14	48	Organizational Procedure No. 80	98
15	52	Contract Between Osage Wind LLC &	177
16		IEA Renewable Energy Inc.	
17	53	7/9/14 Email Chain	147
18	55	September 2014 E-mail Chain	156
19	56	September 2014 E-mail chain	159
20	59	Bill Moskaluk's LinkedIn Page	17
21	60	Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's	24
22		Motion for Preliminary Injunction	
23	61	Osage Wind Project Alignment Meeting	37
24		Agenda 9/9/14	
25		EXHIBITS (Continued)	

Casei 4: Mask and the O4-GKF-JFJ Documen 6/146/29 to In USDC ND/OK on 11/30/21 Page 5 of

			214		
1	Exhibit		Description	I	Page
2	62	Project	Option/Change Order		89
3	63	5/22/15	E-mail Chain		99
4	64	October	2014 E-mail Chain		106
5	65	10/14/14	E-mail Chain		113
6	66	6/26/14	Meeting Notes		150
7	67	Septembe	r 2014 E-mail Chain		162
8	68	Septembe	r 2014 E-mail Chain		164
9	69	Defendan	ts' Fifth Amended and		171
10		Suppleme	ntal Privilege Log		
11	70	Project	Short Views Update 10/	17/14	174
12					
13					
14					
15			STIPULATIONS		
16		It is	stipulated that the de	position	of BILL
17	MOSKALU	K may be	taken pursuant to agre	ement and	f
18	Federal	Rules of	Civil Procedure on Ju	ne 16, 20)21,
19	before A	Abby Rhod	es, CSR, RPR.		
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

- 1 VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the videotape
- 2 deposition of Bill Moskaluk in the matter of the
- 3 United States of America and Osage Minerals Council
- 4 versus Osage Wind, et al., filed in the United States
- 5 District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma,
- 6 Case No. 14-CV-704-GFK-JFJ. We're on the record at
- 7 10:00 a.m. on June 16, 2021. Will counsel please
- 8 state their names for the record.
- 9 THE WITNESS: William Moskaluk.
- 10 MR. ASHWORTH: Stuart Ashworth with the U.S.
- 11 Attorney's Office. I also have Cathy McClanahan and
- 12 Nolan Fields, attorneys in the case, as well as
- 13 Michelle Hammock and Christina Watson who are
- 14 paralegals with the U.S. Attorney's Office. And we
- 15 represent the U.S.
- MS. NAGLE: Mary Katherine Nagle with
- 17 Pipestem & Nagle. I represent the
- intervener-plaintiff, the Osage Minerals Council, and
- 19 with me today is my colleague Shoney Blake.
- 20 MR. RAY: Ryan Ray for the defendants. I
- 21 believe also on call for the defendants is Lynn Slade
- 22 and Sarah Stevenson. Counsel Megan Beauregard is
- 23 observing.
- 24 VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Will the reporter now
- 25 swear in the witness.

1 (Witness sworn) 2. BILL MOSKALUK, 3 being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 4 follows, to wit: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STUART ASHWORTH: 6 7 Q Sir, my name is Stuart Ashworth and I'm with the U.S. Attorneys Office and I represent the United 8 States in this lawsuit. 9 10 Can I get your full name for the record. 11 William Moskaluk. Α 12 0 What is your middle name? 13 Α Alexander. 14 And do you -- and you go by Bill? I think Q 15 he froze or he's thinking. I'm sorry, we -- I think there was technical difficulties there. 16 17 Before our screen froze, I had asked you go 18 by Bill; is that correct? 19 Α Correct. 20 Okay. Have you gone by any other names 21 other than Bill or William Alexander Moskaluk? 2.2 Α I had a nickname many years ago when I was 23 about three or four. It was Buddy, but I don't go by 24 that now. 25 Q Okay. Have you ever given a deposition

	214
1	before?
2	A Yes.
3	Q How many depositions have you given?
4	A I believe it's been one, possibly two.
5	Q Okay. How long ago were they, those?
6	A Gosh, I couldn't tell you in a matter of
7	years. It was a while ago.
8	Q Within since 2000?
9	A I'm not sure.
10	Q Okay. What did those depositions relate to?
11	A One was a lawsuit down in Orlando, Florida,
12	a person had tripped over a bumper block at the
13	parking garage at Orlando International Airport.
14	Q And were you a witness or was it related to
15	construction?
16	A It was related to construction.
17	Q What was the other deposition that you think
18	you may have been involved with?
19	A Oh, gosh. I don't remember what it was for
20	right offhand, but that was several years ago as well.
21	Q Okay. Have you ever testified at trial
22	before?
23	A No, sir.
24	Q Okay. I'm going to go over some some
25	deposition ground rules just you know, since it

- 1 seems like it's been a while. You know, today, I'm
- just going to be -- you know, it's just a
- 3 conversation. I'm going to be asking you some
- 4 questions and you can -- I'll give you the opportunity
- 5 to respond. The difference there is that we have a
- 6 court reporter, a stenographer who is writing
- 7 everything that you say and I say down so that we have
- 8 a clear record for the Court.
- 9 So because of that, I just kindly ask that
- 10 you let me answer my -- or ask my questions before you
- 11 respond and I'll do my best also to let you finish
- 12 your questions -- I'm sorry, finish your answer before
- 13 I ask my next question. Okay?
- 14 A Okay.
- 15 Q Part of that to make a clear record, if I
- 16 ask you a yes-or-no question and you can answer it yes
- 17 or no, I ask that you do that. And you can add
- 18 whatever you -- caveat you want to. I just would ask
- 19 that you not say uh-huh or huh-uh just because those
- 20 words are written very similarly and I'd hate for you
- 21 to get one answer and it gets accidentally typed up as
- 22 a different answer by the court reporter.
- 23 Is that okay?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And if for any reason you -- you start

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

1 saying uh-huh or huh-uh during your deposition, if I 2 correct you, I hope that you understand that I'm not trying to be mean; I'm just trying to make sure that 3 4 the record is clear. 5 Is that okay? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Your deposition is not -- not intended to be I don't think that it's going to go super 8 a marathon. long, but I do anticipate going on breaks every hour, just a five-minute or however long break we'll --10 11 we'll take. At any point before those breaks, those 12 scheduled breaks you can ask for a break, just let me 13 My only question or my only caveat is that I ask -- if I ask you a question, that you finish 14 15 responding to the question before we take a break. 16 Is that fair? 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. If for any reason I ask you a Q 19 question that you don't hear any part of that question or you don't understand any part of that question, let 20 21 me know. Just say, hey, Stuart, I didn't catch that, 22 I don't understand, can you rephrase or re-ask and 23 I'll be more than happy to do that. 24 Α Okay.

Professional Reporters

And the purpose of that is because I want to

25

Q

1 have a clear record and I would hate for you to respond to a question that you didn't hear or didn't 2 understand before you answer. So if I do ask you a 3 4 question and you respond, would it be fair for me to 5 assume that you heard the question and you understood it before you responded? 6 7 MR. RAY: Object to form. 8 You can answer. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. And during your Q 11 deposition, your attorney or the attorneys here can 12 object, object to the form of the question. 13 just their way of preserving an objection for the Court. Unless you're instructed not to answer, you 14 15 can go ahead and answer. Okay? 16 Α Yes. 17 Q Okay. What did you do to prepare yourself 18 for today's deposition? Did I cut out, Bill? 19 I didn't hear anything you said. I'm sorry. Α 20 It just seems like we may be having some -- some slight connection issues. 21 2.2 What did you do to prepare for your 23 deposition? 24 Would you repeat that, please? I didn't Α

Professional Reporters 800,376,1006

25

quite hear you.

0 1 No -- no problem. What did you do to prepare for your 2. 3 deposition? 4 I met with Ryan Ray and Megan Beauregard Α 5 yesterday and went over a couple of things. So I'll just stop you there. 6 Q I don't want 7 to know anything that you spoke with -- with your 8 counsel because that's attorney-client privilege for 9 your -- for you deposition prep, but any -- what --10 other than speaking with counsel, what else did you 11 do? 12 Α Nothing. 13 Q Did you review any documents? 14 I did. Α 15 Okay. What documents did you review? Q 16 It was some e-mails, past e-mails, a Α 17 declaration, things of that nature. 18 Q Do you know about how many e-mails you 19 reviewed? 20 Α No, I don't. 21 Okay. With the e-mails that you reviewed, 0 2.2 were those your e-mails or e-mails from other people? 23 Α A little bit of both. The majority of them 24 were e-mails by other people. 25 Q Okay.

1 Α And I did -- I did have some of my own that I responded to as well. 2. 3 0 Were there anything contained in those 4 e-mails that you disagreed with? 5 Α No. Everything in those e-mails kind of 6 Q Okay. 7 go along with your recollection of the events at the 8 time of the construction? 9 MR. RAY: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Basically, those -- those 10 11 other e-mails from other people, they were just commenting on this and that. I don't really know what 12 13 their background was with the information, but ultimately, those people are responsible for saying 14 15 what they said so it would have to go back to them if 16 you wanted to contact them. 17 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. 18 And I don't know what they wrote so I 19 wouldn't know how to contact them or who they were, 20 but my question would be is the information that is contained in the e-mails that you reviewed, was there 21 2.2 anything inconsistent in those e-mails, inconsistent 23 with your memory of the construction project? 24 MR. RAY: Object to form. 25 Basically, that -- that THE WITNESS:

1 project was seven years ago, going on eight, and for me to really remember everything that happened on that 2. project site is kind of hard to do. I was 62 at the 3 4 time, I'm 70 years old now. I've been through a 5 cancerous situation. I just don't remember all of it so I can't really, really comment on it. 6 7 MR. ASHWORTH: Sure. I'm going to ask the court reporter, Abby, 8 9 if you could reread my question to Bill. 10 COURT REPORTER: Sure. 11 (Court reporter read back requested portion 12 of transcript) 13 MR. RAY: I renew the objection. 14 (By Mr. Ashworth) Bill, I just wanted to get Q 15 a response to that -- that question. 16 MR. RAY: Object to form. Asked and 17 answered. 18 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Is there anything in those 19 e-mails that you -- that were inconsistent with your 20 memory? 21 Α No. 2.2 0 Okay. What about the declaration, do you --23 did you review the declaration? 24 Α Yes. 25 Q First off -- scratch that question.

Professional Reporters 800,376,1006

1 The declaration you're referring to, that's the declaration that you submitted in response to a 2 motion for injunction, I believe, as far as a lawsuit; 3 4 is that correct? 5 Α Yes. 6 And did you review that declaration in 7 preparation for your deposition in its entirety? 8 We briefly touched base on it, yes. Α 9 Okay. Did you review your declaration --0 did you read your declaration? Let me ask that. 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. Was there anything in the declaration 13 that you believe was incorrect? 14 Α No. 15 Okay. To the best of your memory, Q 16 everything contained in your declaration was accurate? 17 Bill, I may have cut out. Did you -- did you respond 18 to that? 19 I didn't hear the whole question. Α I'm 20 sorry. 21 0 Sure. Was there -- scratch that. 2.2 It's your testimony that the declaration 23 that you prepared, both the -- let me back up first. 24 There's two declarations that you submitted 25 relative to a lawsuit; is that correct?

Professional Reporters

	214
1	A Yes.
2	Q And did you review both declarations?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. Is it would it be your testimony
5	that both of the declarations that you reviewed were
6	truthful?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Other than the e-mails and declarations,
9	were there any other documents that you reviewed to
10	prepare for your deposition?
11	A Like I said earlier, the the e-mails that
12	I I was looking at, other than that, there was no
13	other documents.
14	Q Okay. Did you speak with anyone other than
15	your counsel to prepare for your deposition?
16	A No.
17	Q Is anyone aware of your deposition other
18	than counsel?
19	A My wife.
20	Q Okay. Other than your wife?
21	A No.
22	Q Did you do anything other than speak with
23	counsel and review documents that you already
24	discussed that could help that helped prepare you
25	or helped your memory of the case?

1 Α No, they were the only ones. Okay. What is your current residential 2. Q 3 address? 4 105 North 4th Street, Rio Vista, California Α 5 94571. How long have you lived there? 6 Q 7 Α Oh, gosh. Twelve years. Okay. What is your highest level of 8 Q 9 education that you completed? 10 Thirteen, 14 years. Α 11 When you say 13, 14 years, are we talking 0 12 about high school? 13 No, 12 years all together with elementary school, junior high school, and -- and high school and 14 15 there was a couple years in there for college. 16 Your -- you graduated high school; is 0 17 that correct? 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. Did you get any degrees in college? Q 20 There was a two-year AS degree in 21 architecture years ago. 2.2 Q Where was that from? 23 Valencia Community College, Orlando, Α 24 Florida. 25 Q Okay. Who are you currently employed by?

Professional Reporters

1 Α I'm not. I'm retired. When did you retire? 2. Q 3 September of '17. Α 4 I'm going to introduce an exhibit to your Q 5 deposition that I'm going to mark as Exhibit No. 59. It's going to be a LinkedIn page. We'll get that 6 7 pulled up. Scroll down a little bit. This appears to 8 be a LinkedIn page or at least your LinkedIn page, have to go to the next page -- does that -- does this 10 look like it could be yours? (Exhibit 59 Marked for Identification) 11 12 I don't even remember that document. I'm Α 13 sorry. 14 Sure. Do you know if you have a LinkedIn 15 page? 16 I don't know. I think that account ran out 17 a long time ago. 18 Okay. But at one point you would have had a Q 19 LinkedIn page? 20 Α Yes. 21 Okay. Here it says that prior to O 2.2 retirement, or at least it seems like you retired from 23 Enel Green Power North America. 24 Is that -- is that about accurate? Bill, 25 did you -- did -- did I cut out?

Professional Reporters

-800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

```
1
          Α
               Yes, you did.
               Okay. It -- here it indicates that you
 2.
 3
    retired from Enel Green Power North America; is that
 4
     correct?
 5
          Α
               Yes.
 6
               And it says that you worked there beginning
 7
     in May 2014 to May 2017; is that -- is that correct?
 8
                     Well, actually, it was -- actually, it
          Α
 9
    was September of 2017.
               Okay. And it says that you -- during your
10
          Q
11
    time at Enel Green, that you were the site manager; is
    that correct?
12
13
          Α
               Yes.
               Okay. When you -- were you the site manager
14
          Q
15
     for Enel Green the entire time while working for Enel
16
    Green?
17
          Α
               Yes.
18
          Q
               Okay. How long -- scratch that.
19
               When you began work at Enel Green, you were
20
    hired as a site manager; is that correct?
               I was -- I was hired as a site
21
2.2
     coordinator --
23
          0
               Okay.
24
               -- initially.
          Α
25
          Q
               When did you switch over?
```

Professional Reporters

1 It was towards the end of my tenure with Enel Green Power. 2. 3 Okay. So during your tenure at Enel Green, you were not, in fact, site manager the entire time; 4 5 correct? 6 Α Correct. 7 Okay. In your role as site coordinator, Q what would have been your job responsibilities? 8 9 Basically to report site conditions and site project to my superiors, to oversee the construction 10 11 activities. 12 Okay. Would you have been on site on a 0 13 project generally the entire time? 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. Would your superior that you would Q have reported to also have been on site? 16 17 Α They were on site occasionally and also once 18 a month at our construction meetings. 19 But in general, you would have been 0 Okay. 20 the boots-on-the-ground person for Enel Green for a particular project that you were assigned to; is that 21 2.2 correct? 23 MR. RAY: Object to form. 24 You can answer. 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. What would a -what's the difference between a site manager and a 2. 3 site coordinator? 4 A site manager has responsibility to make Α 5 pertinent decisions for a site coordinator does not 6 have that ability, and he relies on his superiors in 7 order to direct him on his daily activities. 8 Q What was -- when you -- scratch that. 9 When you started for Enel Green, what was 10 the first project that you were assigned to? 11 Osage Wind's prod -- project. Α 12 0 Okay. How long were you assigned to the 13 Osage Wind project? 14 Gosh, I can't really remember offhand the Α 15 exact time I was there. Probably about six to eight 16 months. 17 Q Were you there when the project finished? 18 Α Yes. 19 So you saw the project to completion? Q Okay. 20 Α Yes. 21 O After the Osage Wind project, what project 2.2 did you get assigned to for Enel? 23 Α I believe it was one in Weatherford, 24 I'm -- I can't remember the name of that Oklahoma. 25 one. Little Elk.

1 0 Do you recall who was the site manager on 2. the Osage Wind project? 3 Α The project manager was Giuseppe DiMarzio. 4 Okay. And while you were on the Osage Wind Q 5 project, Giuseppe was always your superior; is that -is that correct? 6 7 Α Yes, there was him and there was also a fellow by the name of Bill Price. 8 9 Okay. And at all times while working on the Q 10 Osage Wind project, you were the site coordinator and 11 never the site manager; is that right? 12 That is correct. Α 13 Q Is there a chain of command that you are aware of for issues or problems to be brought to 14 15 Enel Green management on site? 16 MR. RAY: Object to form. 17 You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: There is a chain of command. 19 There's multiple people involved. How many people, 20 I'm really not privy to that because half of them are in Italy and half of them are in the United States 21 2.2 so... 23 (By Mr. Ashworth) If -- if there was an 24 issue or a problem on any given project that you were 25 assigned to with Enel Green, as site coordinator, how

1 would you bring that, you know, to someone's attention in the higher-ups of Enel Green? 2 3 Sometimes it was a phone call, a simple phone call. Sometimes it was an e-mail perhaps, just 4 5 normal lines of communication. 6 0 Okay. Would you have spoken with someone 7 who is off site with Enel Green management? It would be with Enel management, yes. 8 Α 9 And I assume if Enel Green management had an 10 issue or a problem relating to the project that you 11 were assigned to and they wanted to address it with 12 you, they would contact you or would they have to 13 contact someone else to get that information to you? 14 No, they'd contact myself. Α Okay. Who is Joan Heredia? 15 0 16 She was our environmentalist and regulatory 17 affairs person. I don't know if I've ever -- I don't 18 know if I have her title correct or not. 19 When you say "our," are you referring 0 Okay. 20 to Enel Green? 21 MR. RAY: Object to form. 2.2 You can answer. 23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the 24 question.

Professional Reporters

(By Mr. Ashworth) Sure.

25

Q

1 Was Joan Heredia an Enel Green employee? 2. Α Yes. 3 Okay. What was Joan Heridia's role in 4 relation to the Osage Wind project? 5 MR. RAY: Object to form. 6 THE WITNESS: She was basically my go-to 7 person for any environmental concerns that I had on 8 the project. 9 (By Mr. Ashworth) Do you know if you had any environmental concerns relating to the Osage Wind 10 11 project? 12 No, I did not have any. I -- I had concerns Α 13 myself about run-offs and facilitation leading to the job site, but once we got it corrected, it was fine. 14 15 Do you know if Joan Heredia had much Q 16 involvement with the Osage Wind project? 17 Α That, I don't know. 18 Q I'm going to pull up your declaration that 19 I'm going to mark as an Exhibit No. 60. This is going 20 to be the first declaration that you entered. Maybe make that just a little bit smaller. 21 2.2 Sir, I marked this declaration, it's 23 entitled Declaration of Bill Moskaluk as Exhibit 24 No. 60. 25 Is this the -- does this appear to be the

Professional Reporters 800,376,1006

1 declaration, one of the declarations that you reviewed to prepare for your deposition? 2 3 (Exhibit 60 Marked for Identification) What you need to do is enlarge that so I can 4 Α 5 see it. I -- I can't even read it. 6 Q Sorry. We can definitely do that. 7 Α All right. Sorry about that. 8 0 9 Α All right. And we can scroll through it if you want to 10 Q verify that this is the document you reviewed. 11 12 Yes, I believe so. Α 13 Q When was the last time that you read this 14 document? 15 Yesterday. Α 16 Okay. We're going to go to the last page of 17 this declaration, which I believe is page No. 9 of the 18 declaration, ten on the PDF. 19 Right here, it says executed this ninth day 20 of December 2014 in Burbank, Oklahoma, and then it has 21 a signature there. 2.2 Is -- is -- is that your signature? 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. And do you recall signing that, 0

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

signing this document?

25

1 I must have because that's my signature so yes, I did. 2 3 0 Okay. We'll scroll to the page above that just towards the bottom. Right there. 4 It says 5 "Pursuant to 28 USC, Section 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and 6 7 accurate to the best of my knowledge." 8 To the best of my --Α 9 0 Did I read that correctly? Did I read that 10 correctly? 11 I'm sorry, repeat that. Α 12 0 Sure. 13 Did I read that sentence correctly? I can 14 read -- reread it if you want me to. 15 No, I can -- I can read it just fine, and at Α 16 the time that this was happening, it was true and to 17 the best of my knowledge. 18 Q I believe you testified earlier that 19 you have reviewed this declaration and that there was nothing in there that you believe was not true or 20 21 correct; is that right? 2.2 Α Yes, it was. You've got to remember also 23 that this was a prepared document and basically we --24 I reviewed it and put my signature on it as well. 25 Q Sure.

Professional Reporters

-800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

1 Here in this sentence it says "Pursuant to 28 USC, Section 1746, I declare under penalty of 2. perjury that the foregoing is true and accurate to the 3 4 best of my knowledge," and then on the next page you 5 signed it. Is it your testimony that you would have 6 7 signed this document even though you declared under penalty of perjury not knowing that the -- what was in 8 9 the document, not true or -- or -- or accurate? 10 MR. RAY: Object to form. Misstates prior 11 testimony. THE WITNESS: First of all, I don't know 12 13 what that 28 USC 1746 is. And everything at that time I believe was true and correct. I can't really 14 15 remember all the details, but I believe it was. 16 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. 17 Would it be your testimony that after 18 reviewing this section, this citation to a statute, 19 that you would have signed this document not knowing 20 what the statute said? MR. RAY: Object to form. 21 2.2 THE WITNESS: I knew what the document had 23 said after reviewing it at the time I signed it. 24 I said, that was -- that was a long time ago as well. 25 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure.

1 Would it be your testimony that in seeing a citation to a statute, that you would have signed this 2 document not knowing what the requirements of the 3 4 statute required? 5 MR. RAY: Object to form. I -- I don't know what you're 6 THE WITNESS: 7 alluding to, but like I said, the document was signed 8 back the -- on the date shown. Other than that, I can't elaborate one way or another. At the time I 10 signed it I, believe that it was true and correct. 11 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Why was the purpose for which you signed this declaration? 12 13 I think it had to do with our first case against the Osage or they had up against Enel back 14 15 then. 16 Okay. And this was to -- this declaration 17 was to support Enel's case? 18 It was to support what? I'm sorry? Α 19 0 I'm sorry. 20 Was this declaration in support of Enel 21 Green's case? 2.2 MR. RAY: Object to form. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. And by submitting 24 0 25 this document, which was to be in support of Enel

Professional Reporters 800,376,1006

- 1 Green's case, would it be your testimony that you
- 2 would have made sure that the information contained in
- 3 it was -- was accurate and truthful?
- 4 A Yes.
- Okay. We'll go to the top of this document,
- 6 which is the PDF pages two, it's the first page of the
- 7 declaration.
- 8 Sir, before I get into this, I know earlier
- 9 you testified that you did not prepare this document,
- 10 but when this document was presented to you, do you
- 11 recall if you made any changes?
- 12 A I -- I can't remember that at all.
- 13 **Q** Okay.
- 14 A I'm not sure.
- 15 Q If there was something in this document that
- 16 was incorrect, would you have made a change to it
- 17 before you would have signed it under penalty of
- 18 perjury?
- MR. RAY: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I probably would have changed
- 21 it, but I don't know if I had or not.
- 22 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) We're going to have to go
- 23 to the paragraph five. Actually, we're actually going
- 24 to stay right there on paragraph four. I'll -- I'll
- 25 read this first part under paragraph four. It says "I

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

- 1 am familiar with and in connection with the
- 2 preparation of this declaration, have reviewed the
- 3 business records of both Enel and Osage Wind, LLC
- 4 associated with the Osage, and then we'll scroll down
- 5 to the next page.
- Then it goes on, it says "Wind project
- 7 concerning the development and construction of that
- 8 project. Those records were contemporaneously made
- 9 by, or with information from, people with knowledge of
- 10 the information reported and kept in the course of
- 11 Enel's and Osage Wind, LLC's regularly conducted
- 12 business activities. It is the regular practice of
- 13 both Enel and Osage Wind to prepare and maintain such
- 14 records."
- It appears to me that -- well, first off,
- 16 did I read that correctly?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q It seems that you made the statements in
- 19 this declaration based on your review of the documents
- in the possession of Enel and Osage Wind.
- 21 Does that seem about right?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Starting on page -- I'm sorry, on the
- 24 same page, paragraph five, it says "In 2010, Osage
- 25 Wind, LLC began to secure leases to approximately

Professional Reporters

- 1 840 acres -- I'm sorry, 8,400 acres of privately-owned
- 2 fee surface estate lands from the owners of those
- 3 lands in an area northeast of the town of Burbank in
- 4 Osage County, Oklahoma," and then it refers that to
- 5 the Osage Wind project, I'm sorry, Osage -- scratch
- 6 that. I'm sorry, wind farm property.
- 7 A That's just history that was written into
- 8 this declaration by others and for what -- what their
- 9 reasoning was, I'm not really sure.
- 10 **Q** Sure.
- Just to be clear, you were hired by Enel
- 12 Green in May 2014; is that right?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. And you're making this declaration to
- 15 the Court under your name about the history of Osage
- 16 Wind beginning in 2010; is that right?
- 17 A It -- it was prepared by others -- others
- 18 other than myself and it was just -- a little bit of
- 19 history about the project is the best I can -- I can
- 20 tell you.
- 21 **Q** Sure.
- 22 This -- did you review this document before
- 23 your deposition? Does anywhere in this -- this
- 24 declaration indicate to the Court that this was
- 25 prepared by someone other than you?

1 MR. RAY: Object to form. 2. THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Somewhere in this declaration indicates that it was prepared and sent by 4 5 someone other than you? 6 Α Yes, it was. Where do you recall seeing that? 7 Q I'm sorry? 8 Α 9 Where do you recall seeing that reference in this declaration? 10 11 Are we talking about the 2010? Α 12 No, I'm talking about your declaration. 13 Is there anywhere in this current declaration that I marked as Exhibit No. 60 that 14 15 indicates to the Court that you did not prepare the words on this declaration? 16 17 MR. RAY: Object to form. 18 THE WITNESS: No, I did not. 19 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. And there's nothing 0 20 in this declaration that indicates to the Court that 21 these are not your words; is that correct? 2.2 MR. RAY: Object to form. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. And, in fact, this 0 25 declaration tells the Court that this is being

Professional Reporters

1 presented to the Court under your name? 2. Α Yes. 3 0 Is that right? Okay. 4 Paragraph six says "No portion of the wind 5 farm property is held in trust by the United States for the benefit of the Osage Nation." 6 7 My question is, is what does "held in trust" 8 mean? 9 I don't know. Okay. As a site coordinator on a wind 10 Q 11 project, would -- would this have been something you should have known about, whether the land was held in 12 13 trust or not? 14 MR. RAY: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: No. No, that was, like I 16 said, above my pay grade. It was in the upper 17 management hands and the legal departments as well. 18 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) If you didn't know what 19 "held in trust" means, why did you indicate this in 20 your declaration under penalty of perjury to the 21 Court? 2.2 MR. RAY: Object to form. 23 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 24 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Do you think it 0 25 would have been appropriate for you to tell the Court

1 something, to make a statement to the Court that you didn't know what it meant? 2. 3 MR. RAY: Object to the form. 4 I don't know. I don't recall. THE WITNESS: 5 (By Mr. Ashworth) I'm sorry, you don't Q recall or you don't know? Those are different --6 7 those are different answers. 8 Yes, I do not know. Α 9 Paragraph eight, it says "No portion of the surface estate" -- "no portion of surface 10 11 estate of the wind farm property is held subject to 12 restrictions by the United States against alienation." 13 My question is, is what is "held subject to restrictions by the United States against alienation" 14 15 mean? 16 I don't know. 17 0 As a site coordinator on a wind project, is 18 this something that you would have -- you should have 19 known about? 20 MR. RAY: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: No, because, like I said, all 2.2 the matters pertaining to the case were -- were within 23 our management, upper management people, and that 24 information was never held down on the site level. 25 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. And just to be

Professional Reporters

1 clear, when you made this statement to the Court, you had no clue what it meant? 2. 3 MR. RAY: Object to form. 4 THE WITNESS: No. 5 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. We'll do paragraph 6 It says "Construction of the Osage Wind project 7 commenced on October 25, 2014." Sorry, "2013." 8 You would have been the on-site -- you would 9 not have been on site when construction commenced; is 10 that correct? 11 Α Correct. Do you know what kind of construction 12 13 started, what activity started in October 2013? Α The construction that started, I'm assuming, 14 15 was the roadways for the project. 16 Is that typical on a wind project based on 17 your experience in the industry? 18 Α That would be your first activity, yes. 19 By the way, I skipped this part, how much 0 experience do you have or had working in the renewable 20 energy industry? 21 2.2 MR. RAY: Object to form. 23 THE WITNESS: Approximately 12 years. 24 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Paragraph 12, it 0 25 says -- going to the second sentence of paragraph 12,

- in October '13, "Clearing and grubbing and initial
- 2 road construction began on the wind farm property.
- 3 That work continued through roughly the end of January
- 4 2014. From roughly late March through June 2014
- 5 further road construction and site preparation work
- 6 was ongoing at the wind farm property."
- 7 Did I read that correctly?
- 8 A I believe you did, yes.
- 9 Q Okay. It appears, based on this statement,
- 10 that work stopped sometime between late January and
- 11 early March 2014.
- 12 Do you know why construction activities
- 13 stopped during that period?
- 14 A I really can't remember that. I don't
- 15 recall.
- 16 Q Based on your experience in the industry, is
- it normal to see a project have a work stoppage during
- 18 the early stages of construction?
- 19 A Not necessarily, no.
- 20 Okay. For there to be a work stoppage in
- 21 excess of a month, would that generally be caused
- 22 because there's some type of issue on the project,
- 23 based on your experience?
- MR. RAY: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.

Professional Reporters

-800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

1 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) And again, you don't know what issue, if any, there was for the work stoppage 2 3 here; is that correct? 4 I just don't recall it. I'm sorry. 5 0 Sure. In the middle of this paragraph, it begins 6 with "excavation work." It says "Excavation work for 7 foundations then began on September 10, 2014." 8 9 My question is: Did excavation work begin 10 on schedule, if you recall? 11 Please -- please repeat that. Α 12 0 Sure. 13 You would have been the site coordinator at the time or at least during September 2014; that's 14 15 correct? 16 Α Yes. 17 Do you recall if excavation work began on 18 schedule in September 2014? 19 I'm not really sure about the dates. Α I'm --20 I'm sorry. I'm going to pull up another exhibit. 21 0 Sure. 2.2 It's a meeting agenda exhibit that I'm going to mark 23 as Exhibit No. 61. We'll scroll through this and let 24 you get a chance to -- to look at it. Scroll back up. 25 Sir, do you know what this document is?

Professional Reporters

-800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

1 (Exhibit 61 Marked for Identification) It's an agenda for our monthly meeting. 2. Α 3 It says "Alignment Meeting Agenda." Q 4 Is that the same as a monthly meeting? 5 Α Yes. 6 Q And it appears to be an all-day 7 meeting that starts with breakfast at 7:00 I believe a.m. and then it goes down to -- if we scroll down a 8 bit, it seems to me it ends at 5:30 p.m. 10 Are these monthly meetings generally a full-day meeting? 11 12 Α Yes. 13 Q And it appears since this is dated September 9, 2014, that this would have been the day 14 15 before excavation started? 16 I really don't recall. 17 Q Okay. Would you have been at this meeting? 18 Say that again. I'm sorry. Α 19 As site coordinator, do you know if you 0 20 would have been at this full-day meeting --21 Α Yes. 2.2 0 -- on the project? 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. It says towards the top, it says at O 25 6:30 a.m. to -- to 8:00 a.m. it says a welcome by Bill

Professional Reporters

1 Price. Who is Bill Price? 2. 3 He, at that time, was the vice president for Α engineering and construction with Enel. 4 5 Q Okay. And it appears that he was there in 6 person based on this agenda. 7 Α Yes. Do you have any recollection of him showing 8 0 9 up to the monthly meetings? 10 Yes, he normally was on every -- every Α monthly meeting. He -- he would come to site. 11 12 0 The entry at 11:30 a.m., it says -it states "Issue escalation matrix." 13 14 Do you know what that means? 15 Α No. I can't tell if the word "issue" is being 16 17 used as a verb or a noun here, if this is an issue as 18 in a problem or are they issuing an escalation matrix. 19 Do you -- does that ring a bell? 20 MR. RAY: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: No. No, sir. 2.2 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Towards the bottom 23 of the day at 3:00, it says "Project issues." Do you know what issues were discussed 24 25 during this meeting?

Professional Reporters

www.proreporters.com

1 Α At that time, probably so, yes. Do you -- do you know now, right now 2. 0 3 as we speak? 4 I don't recall what the issues were but... Α 5 Okay. And again, it seems like this is the 0 6 day before excavation work would have started, and you don't know what the issues -- issues that would have 7 8 been discussed here? 9 No, I really don't recall. 10 We're going to go back to your Q Okay. declaration and we're going to go to paragraph 15 11 under A, little I, I'm going to actually let you read 12 this to yourself and let me know when you're done. 13 14 Α Okay. 15 You're making this, the declaration, the 0 statement in this section because you wanted the Court 16 17 to know about the excavation process in the case; is 18 that correct? 19 Α Yes. 20 In fact, you believe that by telling the Court about the excavation process, you were 21 2.2 somehow trying to be helpful to defeat the U.S.'s 23 request for injunction in the case; is that right? 24 MR. RAY: Object to form. 25 Now, say that again. THE WITNESS:

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

www.proreporters.com

0 1 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. By -- by making your statement here, by 2. informing the Court about the excavation process, 3 4 you're trying to be helpful to -- you know, in 5 opposition to the U.S.'s request for injunction; is 6 that right? 7 MR. RAY: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. At that time, I 8 9 think I was just basically explaining the excavation process on foundations, nothing to do with anything 10 11 else. 12 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. 13 Do you believe that everything within this section is accurate, an accurate -- accurately 14 15 reflects the excavation for foundation in the case, for the foundation in the case? 16 17 Α To the best of my knowledge, yes. 18 Q Okay. Nowhere in this section did you 19 inform about the significant amount of blasting that 20 was taking place at the time; is that correct? 21 This was prior to the blasting. Α 2.2 0 I'm sorry, when did the blasting take place? 23 I'm not sure of the specific date, but Α 24 everybody that was involved with the blasting of these 25 excavations were relying on a document that was put

- 1 together by one of our engineering firms and they give
- 2 a soils analysis and they drill holes and we should
- 3 have just been able to dig those holes conventionally,
- 4 but when we first put the first bucket down to dig our
- 5 hole, we ran into rock and there was rock all the way
- 6 down, which basically we had to stop and regroup, and
- 7 that's when it was decided to go ahead and drill,
- 8 charge it, and then shoot the foundation.
- 9 Q Okay. Let me -- go ahead. Sorry.
- 10 A We couldn't -- we couldn't excavate it
- 11 normally.
- 12 Q Okay. Just -- just based on your testimony,
- it seems to me that you're indicating that part of the
- 14 excavation process required blasting; is that correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Okay. And in this section of your
- 17 declaration, you're saying the excavation for the
- 18 foundation began in September 2014.
- 19 My question to you would be: There's
- 20 nowhere in this section did you tell the Court that
- 21 blasting was taking place as part of the excavation
- work that you detailed; is that correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 O And, in fact, it was -- it was your intent
- 25 to mislead the Court about the excavation process; is

1 that correct? Object to form. 2. MR. RAY: 3 THE WITNESS: Repeat that again. I'm sorry. 4 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. 5 It was your intent to mislead the Court 6 about the excavation process to get the Court to deny 7 the U.S.'s request for injunction? 8 MR. RAY: Object to form. THE WITNESS: 9 No, that was not the intent, 10 sir. 11 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. And why did you Q 12 detail the excavation process you -- it seems like you 13 went into detail, but you fail to mention to the Court about the blasting. 14 15 It -- I'm going to say it was an oversight Α 16 on my part. I'm not really sure why I phrased it this 17 way. 18 We're going to look at the next section Q 19 under, it's called two little I. There's two parts to 20 this first page and when you're done with that, we'll 21 switch to the second page. 2.2 Α Mmm-hmm. Okay. 23 It's on the next page, just two lines. 0 24 you're done with that, let me know. 25 Α Yes.

Professional Reporters

www.proreporters.com

1 0 Did you indicate to the Court anywhere in this section the purpose for the crushing -- the 2. crushing of larger rocks into smaller rocks? 3 4 Α No. 5 Did crushing the larger rocks into 0 Okay. smaller rocks fulfill any purpose? 6 7 Α Say that again. 8 0 Sure. 9 Did the crushing of the larger rocks into smaller rocks, did that serve any purpose? 10 11 Yes, it was used for backfill material in Α 12 the foundation itself. And we can't have anything 6 13 inches or greater in the foundation. 14 That was a requirement that you Q Okay. 15 couldn't have anything larger than 6 inches; is that right, as backfill? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 Q And do you know why that is so? 19 It's a requirement by Barr Engineering who Α 20 designed the foundation themselves. 21 Do you know what purpose it served to have 0 rocks smaller than 6 inches as backfill? 2.2 23 Α Yes, it's compaction issues. If you put the 24 larger rock in the hole, your smaller aggregates fall 25 through and create a void on top and you won't get

1 100 percent compacted areas around -- around your foundation so you had to follow --2 3 Why would -- I'm sorry, I cut you off there. 0 4 You had to follow what? 5 Α You had to follow the design engineer's 6 recommendations. 7 Q Okay. And why would it have been important that you would have voided those voids through 8 9 compaction? 10 I'm not sure if I understand your question. It has to deal with compaction efforts on their --11 12 0 Okay. 13 And you wouldn't want to use a large rock in that because you can compact over it, but underneath 14 15 it, you wouldn't have any compaction at all. 16 Let me re-ask the question this way: 17 What was the purpose for compaction of backfill? 18 Α To stabilize your foundation and also the 19 turbine on top of your foundation. 20 To stabilize the foundation. 0 21 We're talking about the wind tower 2.2 foundation; is that right?

Professional Reporters

reference to providing structural support for the wind

And to stabilize it, does that -- is that in

That is correct.

23

24

25

Α

0

1 tower? 2. Α Yes. 3 Q Okay. So then you would agree that by 4 crushing the rock into smaller pieces, the purpose of 5 that would be for structural support for use of backfill for structural support for the wind towers? 6 7 MR. RAY: Object to form. THE WITNESS: 8 Yes. 9 (By Mr. Ashworth) Is that correct? Q 10 Α Yes. 11 You would agree that by crushing the rock 0 12 into smaller pieces, Enel Green derived some use out 13 of the rocks; is that correct? 14 MR. RAY: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I think that we're restricted. 16 Once again, I don't really recall the whole situation 17 on why we did that. I know we couldn't move the rock 18 from one site to another and that's why we elected 19 to -- to crush the rock, to leave it in place. 20 MR. ASHWORTH: Okay. I'm going to have 21 Abby, the court reporter, reread my question. 2.2 COURT REPORTER: You bet. 23 (Court reporter read back requested portion 24 of transcript) 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

Professional Reporters 800,376,1006

1 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. In the middle of this section, it says "The contractor records the 2. 3 volume of rock crushed and rock is then stored at the 4 site." Did I read that correctly? 6 Α Yes. 7 0 Which contractor were you referring to here? The general contractor, which was IEA, had a 8 Α subcontractor. I can't remember what their organization's name was, but he was responsible for 10 11 the volume of rock quantities. 12 Was -- I'm sorry, was the contractor or the 0 13 subcontractor required? 14 The subcontractor was required under IEA to 15 track that. 16 Okay. Here you say contractor and not 0 17 subcontractor. 18 Is there a reason why you didn't tell the 19 Court that the responsibility referred to here was a 20 subcontractor? 21 MR. RAY: Object to form. 2.2 THE WITNESS: I don't have a contract --23 Enel Green Power did not have a contract with the 24 subcontractor. We only have a contract with the 25 general contractor themselves. That's why I

1 referenced it the way I did. (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. So are you 2. Q telling -- did you tell the Court -- scratch that. 3 4 You're representing to the Court that IEA 5 had the responsibility to record the volume of crushed 6 rock --7 Α Ultimately ---- is that what you're saying? 8 0 9 Ultimately, they do have the responsibility. Α Okay. Here you say -- let me kind of re --10 Q re -- redo this sentence. 11 12 It says the contractor records the volume of 13 rock, but it's your testimony today that the contractor did not record the rock, it would have been 14 15 the subcontractor? 16 MR. RAY: Object to form. 17 THE WITNESS: Right. It -- they had given 18 that responsibility themselves, and I can't really 19 speak to them why they did that, but that's the way I 20 understand that it went down. 21 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. 2.2 And I -- and I understand you could not 23 represent why IEA delegated this duty to a 24 subcontractor, but I'd like for you to speak as to why 25 you told the Court that the contractor recorded the

Professional Reporters

1 volume of rocks when, in fact, you tell me today the contractor did not do it. 2. 3 MR. RAY: Object to form. 4 The -- the contractor is THE WITNESS: 5 ultimately responsible for their subcontractors' work 6 in its entirety. They are the people, they are the 7 ones that answer to Enel Green Power and provide that information. If they fail to do so, I don't... 8 9 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. How -- go ahead, 0 I'm sorry if I interrupted you. 10 11 Α No, that's okay. 12 0 How did you know that the contractor was 13 recording the volume of rock that was crushed? Α I believe Mr. Price had made that agreement 14 15 with a Chris Hanson, which was a vice president of 16 construction for IEA. 17 0 So it's your understanding that Chris Hanson 18 or someone else at Enel Green would have told Enel --19 I'm sorry, would have told IEA that they were required 20 to record the volume of rock that was being crushed? 21 Α Yes. 2.2 0 Okay. Is it customary based on your 23 experience in the industry that whenever rock is 24 crushed during the excavation and backfill process, 25 that the volume of the rock that is being crushed is

1 recorded? I don't think in most cases that it is. 2. 3 This was just a special condition that we had gotten 4 into, and for some reason, they wanted them to keep 5 track of the -- the quantities. 6 So based on your experience in the industry, 7 your 12 years of experience in the industry, the 8 recording of the volume of crushed rock is not a 9 customary and standard construction process? 10 A lot of times we don't have the rock encountered on several projects; however, there --11 there's different projects throughout the industry 12 13 that have dealt with rocky conditions elsewhere. 14 Okay. My question is: Based on your Q 15 experience in the industry, whenever rock is crushed, is it customary in a standard construction practice to 16 record the volume of rock? 17 18 MR. RAY: Object to form. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. In preparing this declaration under oath, would you have spoken with IEA 21 2.2 or its subcontractor to verify that the volume of 23 rocks were indeed being recorded? 24 MR. RAY: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: I'm not -- I'm not sure if I

1 did or not. I just don't recall that. (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. If Chris Hanson or 2. Q someone else from Enel Green higher up would have 3 instructed IEA to record the volume of rock that was 4 5 being crushed, would it have been your responsibility to follow up with IEA or its subcontractor to verify 6 7 that indeed they were doing that? 8 MR. RAY: Object to form. 9 THE WITNESS: That, I -- I don't recall me 10 doing that but... 11 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Would it be Q necessary to record the volume of rock that is crushed 12 13 for any other purpose other than there was an issue that you alluded to earlier? 14 15 MR. RAY: Object to form. 16 THE WITNESS: No. 17 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Have you -- scratch that. 18 As site coordinator, would you have reviewed 19 the scope of work that would have been drafted by Enel 20 Green for IEA for this particular project? 21 No, I did not. Α 2.2 0 Let me re-ask that question. 23 As a site coordinator, would it have been 24 your responsibility to have reviewed scope of work for 25 a -- for a contractor so that you knew exactly what

1 work the contractor was supposed to do on a project? MR. RAY: Object to form. 2. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. While you may not 4 Q 5 have a recollection of what the scope of work stated, you would at the time have known or been familiar with 6 7 the scope of work for IEA; correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 If there was a requirement for IEA to record the volume of rocks that were being crushed, is 10 11 that something you would have anticipated that would 12 have been in the scope of work? 13 MR. RAY: Object to form. 14 THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe it was in 15 the scope of work. 16 (By Mr. Ashworth) Are you aware that Craig 17 Mazurowski gave a deposition in this case? 18 MR. RAY: Object to form. 19 THE WITNESS: Am I aware of it? 20 (By Mr. Ashworth) Yes. O 21 Α Yes. 2.2 0 Did you speak with him prior to your 23 deposition today? 24 Α No. 25 Q Okay. I'm terrible with his last name.

Professional Reporters

-800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

1 don't, you know, want to get caught up, but I'll just call him -- refer to him as Craig in your deposition. 2. 3 Do you know who Craig is? 4 Do I know who he is? Α 5 Q Yes. 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Who is Craig? He was project manager for IEA. 8 Α 9 Okay. Would it surprise you if I were to 0 tell you that Craig testified that IEA did not record 10 the volume of rocks that were being crushed on site? 11 12 MR. RAY: Object to form. 13 THE WITNESS: No, it wouldn't surprise me. (By Mr. Ashworth) Would it surprise you if I 14 Q 15 were to tell you that Craig testified that no one told 16 him that he needed to have the volume of rocks that 17 were crushed to be recorded? 18 MR. RAY: Object to form. 19 THE WITNESS: Like I said earlier, that --20 that decision was made by two vice presidents, one for Enel and one for IEA, and whatever the agreement was 21 2.2 or who would take care of the volume counts or 23 whatever was between them and their subcontractor so I 24 can't answer that truthfully. 25 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. My question would

1 Again, would it surprise you that Craig testified be: that no one told him that the rocks, the volume of 2. 3 rocks needed to be recorded? 4 MR. RAY: Object to form. 5 THE WITNESS: No, it doesn't surprise me. 6 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) But yet you made in your 7 declaration to the Court under oath, under penalty of perjury, that the contractor recorded the volume of 8 9 rocks crushed. 10 MR. RAY: Object to form. 11 (By Mr. Ashworth) Is that not correct? Q 12 Α Yes, that was the agreement. 13 Q Okay. Sorry, that was the agreement? Between those two parties I had mentioned 14 Α 15 earlier, yes. 16 So then it would surprise you if 17 Craig testified that no one told him that the rocks 18 needed to be -- the volume needed to be recorded? MR. RAY: Object to form. 19 20 I can't really recall, but it THE WITNESS: would be surprising. 21 2.2 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Why did you think 23 to include in your declaration to the Court anything about the volume of rocks being recorded? 24 25 MR. RAY: Object to form.

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

1 As I stated, the -- the THE WITNESS: declaration was not prepared by me. It was prepared 2 by others, and me being new with the company, I 3 4 thought it was standard procedure to do so. Give a 5 little bit of history on the declaration and 6 everything so that was my only response. 7 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. The -- what was the issue that was at 8 9 dispute during construction? 10 Α What was the issue with what? I'm sorry. 11 Q Sure. 12 What was -- what was that issue in -- well, 13 let me kind of back this, make this question a little bit more simpler. 14 15 The lawsuit that was filed by the United 16 States and Osage Minerals Council against Enel Green 17 and Osage Wind, do you know what the allegations were? 18 Α No. 19 MR. RAY: Object to form. 20 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Do you know that there was a dispute during the excavation process with 21 2.2 Enel Green? That's right; correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 What was the dispute about? 0 25 The aggregates themselves. Α

1 0 The -- the aggregates, we're talking Okay. about the rocks and the soil? 2. 3 Α Yes. 4 Okay. And you had indicated just a few Q 5 minutes ago that you said that you believe with this 6 declaration that you were just providing background 7 information about the process; is that right? 8 Α Yes. 9 But this -- this statement directly relates to rocks that were at issue in the proceeding being 10 brought against Enel Green; is that right? 11 MR. RAY: Object to form. 12 13 THE WITNESS: That, I'm not sure of. said, I wasn't involved in any of the disputes or 14 15 handlings of that at all. It was -- it was -- I was 16 never involved. 17 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. As site coordinator 18 on the Osage Wind project, you were aware at the time 19 that there was an allegation made that Osage Wind and 20 Enel Green was using rocks without permission; 21 correct? 2.2 MR. RAY: Object to form. 23 I'm not sure if it was without THE WITNESS: permission or not. Like I said, I -- that would be up 24 25 to somebody other than myself, but the way it trickled

1 down, you know, I guess it -- it was a conflict 2. between the two parties. 3 (By Mr. Ashworth) You were aware that 4 there -- that the rock and materials that were 5 excavated needed special handling? MR. RAY: Object to form. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know what you mean by "special handling." 8 9 (By Mr. Ashworth) Well, here you tell the 0 Court that the volume of rocks being crushed were 10 recorded, and so my question is, is why would you have 11 told the -- the Court this unless there was some type 12 13 of issue going on? 14 MR. RAY: Object to form. 15 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Relating to the rock. 16 Same objection. MR. RAY: 17 THE WITNESS: If it was a requirement, which 18 I believe it was, I believe it was a directive that 19 came from Enel to the subcontractor to go ahead and 20 keep track of the volume. Now, whether or not that subcontractor or contractor had not done that, I think 21 2.2 would be -- I think that information would probably be 23 in the as-built drawings someplace. 24 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. We'll talk about 0

Professional Reporters 800,376,1006

the as-builts later, but we're -- we're just past an

25

www.proreporters.com

1 hour. I would like to take a quick break if you're okay with that. Take about a ten-minute break. 2 3 MR. RAY: Sure. That would be good. 4 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at 5 11:14 a.m. 6 (A recess was taken from 11:14-11:28 a.m.) 7 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 11:28 a.m. 8 9 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sir, we're -- we're back on the record, and before we get any further, I just 10 11 want to let you know that you are under oath, that you swore to it during your deposition to give truthful 12 13 answers to the best of your ability. That being said, I just want to reiterate that at all points in your 14 15 deposition that oath will -- will, you know, still 16 apply. 17 Prior to -- now that we're back on the 18 record, is there anything about your prior test- --19 I'm sorry, your previous testimony before the break 20 that you'd like to change? 21 Α No. 2.2 0 Okay. About this declaration, you don't 23 have to pull it up just yet, the declaration that we 24 discussed earlier which is marked as Exhibit No. 60, 25 did anyone from Enel Green management or any Enel

- Green employee tell you that you needed to sign the declaration?
 - 3 A It was presented to me for signature, yes.
 - 4 Q Who presented it to you for signature?
 - 5 A I -- I just don't recall.
- 6 Q Would it have been presented to you via
- 7 e-mail or in person?
- 8 A I'm -- I'm guessing here, but I'm thinking
- 9 that it was an e-mail that I received.
- 10 Q Okay. Do you know who prepared it?
- 11 A I believe it was our legal department along
- 12 with outside attorneys as well.
- Q Okay. When it was given to you, do you know
- if you were allowed to review it before you signed it?
- 15 A Yes, I was -- basically said if I had any
- 16 changes, go ahead and make the corrections and send it
- 17 back or whatever.
- 18 Q Were there any drafts of this declaration
- 19 that you are aware of that were made before you signed
- 20 it?
- 21 A I don't really recall that.
- 22 Q Did anyone from Enel Green speak with you to
- 23 go over the process before this declaration was
- 24 drafted?
- 25 A I don't recall, but I don't think so.

1 0 Would you -- this had been the very Okay. first time that you would have seen the information or 2. 3 knew about the information that was presented in the 4 declaration? 5 MR. RAY: Object to form. Yes. 6 THE WITNESS: 7 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. When the declaration was given to you, were you able to ask any 8 9 questions, if you had them? 10 If I had them, yes. Α 11 Do you know if you had any questions when 0 you -- before signing the declaration? 12 13 Α I -- I really can't recall. 14 Did you make any changes to the Q Okay. 15 declaration before you may -- before you signed it? 16 I -- I don't recall if I did or not. 17 Q We'll go back to the declaration, the same 18 section on -- under paragraph or subparagraph two 19 little I in paragraph 15 on -- there we go. Right 20 there. A little bit down. All the way down so we can see subparagraph two little I. Two little I. 21 2.2 It says "After the foundations are built and 23 cured, the crushed rock and soil is returned to the hole from which it came." 24 25 Α True.

Professional Reporters

1 0 Is there anywhere -- okay. Is there anywhere in -- in this section that indicates to the 2. Court the reason why the crushed rock and soil is 3 4 returned to the hole from which it came from? 5 MR. RAY: Object to form. I really don't know the full 6 THE WITNESS: 7 history behind it, but I know that we weren't allowed 8 to use the material anywhere else on site. It had to 9 remain on that specific turbine site. 10 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. The next sentence, it says "The crusher is then moved to a new excavation 11 This is a customary and standard 12 location. 13 construction process including in wind projects and has been employed around the" -- on the next page --14 15 "country and at other projects in Oklahoma." 16 This language that you include, this is a 17 customary and standard construction process, are you 18 referring to the part that the crusher is moved to a 19 new excavation location or are you referring to this 20 entire section that you're telling the Court that this entire process is customary and standard construction 21 2.2 practice? 23 Α The -- the crusher was moved to another 24 foundation location. That -- we're just following the 25 sequence of turbines that --

1 Q Okay. -- we did. 2. Α 3 0 Well, let me -- sure. Let me ask it this 4 way: 5 In -- after foundations are built and cured, 6 is it a customary and standard construction practice within the industry that the crushed rock and soil is 7 8 returned to the hole from which it came from? 9 Α Yes. 10 So it would be your testimony that it 0 Okay. would not be a customary or standard construction 11 process to use the crushed rock and soil for any 12 13 purpose other than to return it to the hole from which 14 it came from? 15 MR. RAY: Object to form. 16 THE WITNESS: That's what we normally do, 17 yes, is replace that material that we excavated back 18 into the same hole and use it for compaction 19 requirements. Any of the other soils that remain, we 20 build the site up to ensure proper drainage around the 21 turbines -- away from the turbines, I'm sorry. 2.2 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. 23 So my question would be: It would not be a 24 customary or standard construction process to use the 25 excavated material for anything other than backfill

1 into the exact same hole from which it was -- it came 2. from? 3 MR. RAY: Object to form. 4 THE WITNESS: On this site, we had to use 5 all the material on that particular site. We used it 6 for backfill material and also the pad around the --7 the access pad around the turbines itself as well and... 8 9 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. So I'm just kind of 0 using logic here, you -- you just testified that it is 10 11 a customary and standard construction process in the 12 industry to return excavated material back to the hole 13 from which it came from, that that is the standard and customary practice within the industry, is when you 14 15 excavate material and it's been crushed, you return it 16 back to that same hole; is that right? 17 Α The majority -- yes. 18 Q Okay. Since that is the customary and 19 standard process, it would be -- logic to me would say 20 it's not -- would not be a customary process or standard construction practice to use the excavated 21 2.2 soil for any other purpose? 23 MR. RAY: Object to form. THE WITNESS: You would still use the 24 25 material on that specific site. Anything remaining,

- 1 you could probably use it elsewhere. However, the
- 2 restrictions on this project didn't allow us to do so.
- 3 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Then why did you tell the
- 4 Court that it is a customary and standard construction
- 5 process that crushed rock and soil is returned to
- 6 the -- that same hole from which it came from when
- 7 you're telling me today that that's not the customary
- 8 and standard construction process?
- 9 A It is -- it is the construction process
- 10 that -- that you do. Anything that is left over and
- 11 excess on other projects, you know, you can use in the
- 12 shallow area and build it up or you try to use a
- 13 majority of it around the location itself. This
- 14 particular project we had to leave everything within,
- 15 I can't remember the dimensions of the footprint, but
- 16 we had to leave everything on that particular site,
- 17 the backfill material, road, you know, the access road
- 18 leading up to it, et cetera.
- 19 Q Okay. Well, that's not what you're telling
- 20 the Court here in your declaration to the Court under
- 21 oath. You're telling the Court here that the reason
- 22 why you crushed -- why the crushed rock and soil was
- 23 returned in the hole from which it came from is
- 24 because it's a customary and standard construction
- 25 process, but now you're telling me that the reason why

1 it was done that way was because this was a unique situation. 2. 3 MR. RAY: Object to form. 4 THE WITNESS: No, it -- it -- it's still 5 customary practice. This job didn't allow us to do 6 the -- we were restricted in a sense. I'm just 7 basically giving you a little bit of stuff, what we do 8 in construction. It has nothing to do with anything 9 so... 10 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. Q 11 Why did you mislead the Court here with your 12 statement under oath? 13 MR. RAY: Object to form. 14 THE WITNESS: I didn't intentionally do 15 That -- at that time, when I -- when this was 16 written, it was to the best of my knowledge. 17 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. But you knew the 18 process at the time when it was written; correct? 19 Α I do know of a process -- of the process, 20 yes. 21 Okay. And, in fact, you signed this O 2.2 declaration to the Court on behalf of your employer in 23 order to defeat the plaintiffs' request for 24 injunction; is that not correct? 25 MR. RAY: Object to form.

1 That was not the intent. That THE WITNESS: 2 was not my intent, no, sir. 3 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. It was not your 0 4 intent that the request for injunction be defeated on 5 behalf of your employer? 6 MR. RAY: Object to form. 7 THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't get involved in any of the legal decisions that were there 8 9 or any of the lawsuit. I simply provided information to the parties that put this document out. 10 11 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. You provided 12 information for this document; that information was 13 misleading. 14 MR. RAY: Object to form. 15 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) True? 16 MR. RAY: Object to form. 17 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 18 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. The information did 19 not accurately reflect the process that you oversaw 20 during the construction process. 21 MR. RAY: Object to form. 2.2 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) That's true; correct? 23 MR. RAY: Object to form. 24 Say that again, I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: 25 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure.

Professional Reporters

www.proreporters.com

1 The information here -- I'll scratch that. We'll go to -- we'll pull up a different 2. 3 We'll go to the scope of work and we're exhibit. 4 going to go to page 12. We're going to look at -- on 5 page 12 of the document, it's paragraph five, what is 6 it, beginning here in the middle of the -- middle of 7 the page it says "Excess excavated material from the foundation, if suitable, may be used to backfill --8 9 sorry, to balance backfill excavations, construct 10 roadways, construct crane pads, and may be spread around the foundation pads." Then it goes on to the 11 last sentence, it says "Contractor shall not haul 12 13 material outside of the project boundaries nor use native material for any construction purpose other 14 15 than noted above." 16 So under the scope of work that was drafted 17 by Enel or Osage Wind, it was indicated to IEA that 18 they could use the excavated material in addition to 19 using as backfill, they could use it for other 20 projects within the project area. 21 MR. RAY: Object to form. 2.2 THE WITNESS: I -- I think that --23 (By Mr. Ashworth) Is that correct? 0 24 I think this document -- I'm not sure if Α 25 it's -- of its date that it was written. I really

www.proreporters.com

- 1 don't know.
- 2 As I stated before, you can use this
- 3 material if we didn't have the restrictions in place.
- 4 This is just a -- like a boilerplate type requirement
- 5 on the project.
- 6 Q Okay. So when you say this is a boilerplate
- 7 requirement for the project, are you indicating that
- 8 it's kind of a loose requirement that doesn't have to
- 9 be filled -- followed?
- 10 MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I don't think it was intended
- 12 to be followed, actually, because of the restrictions
- 13 that we had. Other than that, I -- I don't really
- 14 know.
- 15 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. But nowhere in your
- 16 declaration did you indicate that there was a scope of
- 17 work that would have allowed the crushed rock to be
- 18 used for something other than backfill?
- MR. RAY: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. We're going to go
- 22 to subparagraph, it's little numeral -- numeral
- 23 number -- or I'm sorry, numeral five which is on page
- 24 5. Oh, I'm sorry, it's declaration. Page 5, little
- 25 numeral five. One page down. In this section, I'm

1 going to look at the -- the second sentence, it says 2. "No sand, soil, or rock from any excavation is used 3 for any purpose other than to return to the hole from 4 which it came." 5 What does that sentence mean to you? 6 Α Exactly that, that it was to remain there. 7 Q That the sand, soil, and rock that was excavated, so the excavated material, the only purpose 8 9 for the excavated material was to be used as backfill to the hole from which it came from; is that right? 10 11 Yes, that's my interpretation, yes. Α 12 0 Okay. So you're telling the Court that the 13 excavated material was only going to be used for backfill or for no other purposes? 14 15 As long as the material stayed on that Α 16 designated site, yes. 17 0 Okay. But, in fact, this excavated material 18 was used for some -- for a purpose other than 19 backfill; is that correct? 20 MR. RAY: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: In some cases it was spread 2.2 around the turbine site itself, yes. 23 (By Mr. Ashworth) When it was -- so when it 0 was used as backfill, it was also being used for 24

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

structural support for the wind tower; is that

25

1 correct? The backfill material was for the structural 2. The other area was for drainage that we 3 support. 4 built up draining it away from the turbine itself, and 5 a portion of that in that little access road and apron around the terminal -- around the turbine, but it 6 7 didn't leave that particular site, to my knowledge. 8 Did you tell the Court that the material is Q 9 being used for structural support in your declaration? 10 MR. RAY: Object to form. 11 THE WITNESS: I don't think so, no. 12 (By Mr. Ashworth) Do you think it would have 13 been important for the Court to know that Enel Green and Osage Wind was using the backfill material for 14 15 structural support? 16 MR. RAY: Object to form. I -- I don't know the answer 17 THE WITNESS: 18 to that. 19 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Let me re-ask it 0 20 this way: Do you know if it would have been important for the Court to know that the materials that were 21 2.2 excavated on site was being used for structural 23 support for the wind tower? 24 MR. RAY: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't -- I don't know

1 that answer. (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Is the reason why 2. you failed to tell the Court in your declaration that 3 4 the purpose for the excavated material to be used was 5 for structural support? Is that reason -- scratch 6 that. 7 Is the reason why you said that -- did not say that was to help Enel Green defeat the request for 8 injunction? 9 10 T don't --Α 11 MR. RAY: Object to form. 12 THE WITNESS: I don't think that was the 13 intent, no. 14 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Under the --Q 15 paragraph underneath there, right under the page, it 16 says "No excavated rock or sand is sold or used for 17 commercial purposes." 18 Is that -- was that a correct statement? 19 Α Yes. 20 0 Okay. You separate sold and commercial 21 purposes. 2.2 When you're saying not used for commercial 23 purposes, are you meaning that the rock and sand were 24 not being used to advance any economic purpose of Enel 25 Green?

1 Α No, not -- not to my knowledge anyway. Ι know we didn't sell anything away from the job site. 2. 3 0 Sure. 4 You -- you didn't -- I know that you didn't 5 sell any excavated rock and sand that was excavated. I -- you know, I get that. 6 7 Α Okay. And -- and but you're also saying none of 8 9 the excavated rock or sand was used for commercial purposes, and I just want to know what you're 10 referring to here. 11 12 Are you saying that Enel Green did not use 13 the rock or sand for any economic purposes? what you're saying? 14 15 MR. RAY: Object to form. 16 Yes, that's exactly what I'm THE WITNESS: 17 saying. 18 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Let me just make sure I --19 that question -- my question -- the setup to that 20 question was not phrased very well. 21 When you say here no excavated rock or sand 2.2 was used for commercial purposes, you're indicating to 23 the Court that Enel Green did not use any of the 24 excavated rock or sand to advance any economic 25 interests of Enel Green?

www.proreporters.com

1 MR. RAY: Object to form. 2. THE WITNESS: That's my interpretation, yes. 3 And you -- you keep mentioning commercial. I'm unsure 4 what that would do to benefit Enel. I was not -- I'll 5 state it again. Whatever the issues were between Enel 6 and also the Osage, I had nothing to do with that. 7 had no knowledge of what they were doing on a day-to-day basis on -- on that case at all so this, to 8 the best of my knowledge, is -- is what we basically 10 did. 11 (By Mr. Ashworth) Well, I don't know what Q you mean by commercial or not used for commercial 12 13 purposes here because these aren't my words, and that's why I'm asking you what did you mean by -- by 14 15 this. You said that you interpret that to mean that 16 you were saying to the Court that Enel Green was not 17 using any of the excavated rock or sand to advance any 18 economic interests. 19 No, they did not. Α 20 Okay. We're going to look at paragraph 12, 21 page 3, paragraph -- I'm sorry, of the document, 2.2 towards the bottom it says -- it begins with, it says 23 "Osage Wind has not engaged in a beat-the-clock 24 strategy and has not accelerated construction work on 25 the project. Though it has taken reasonable steps to

1 stay on schedule." 2. Then it goes on and says, it says "In fact, contrary to the usual practice for a project at this 3 4 stage of development, all construction work was shut 5 down for four days for the Thanksgiving weekend." Did I read that correct? 6 7 Α Yes. It seems to me that you're telling the Court 8 0 9 here that you're not trying to increase work to get it done as soon as possible -- well, scratch that. 10 11 It seems to me that you're trying to tell 12 the Court that you weren't in a hurry and as evidence 13 of that, you took four days -- you-all took four days off during Thanksgiving weekend. 14 15 Is that -- am I reading that correctly? 16 Α Yes. 17 0 And you're -- you're telling the Court that 18 taking off for Thanksgiving weekend is not a usual 19 practice in the industry? 20 Normally, we work through the holidays, yes. 21 O Okay. And that's based on your experience 2.2 in the industry? 23 Α Yes. 24 I'm going to pull back up the Okay. Q 25 exhibit, the scope of work.

Professional Reporters

1 Did I mark that as an exhibit? I think it already is. 2 3 MS. McCLANAHAN: It's already been marked as 4 an exhibit. 5 MR. ASHWORTH: Okay. I will double check on 6 the scope of work, the number of that. 7 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) As we pull that up, I'm going to look at page 8 at the top of the page. Right 8 This is the scope of work between Osage Wind It says "The intent of contract is for 10 and IEA. Saturdays and Sundays to be utilized as make-up days, 11 12 contractor will notify owner if the work for schedule 13 varies significantly from this plan. No work will be performed on the following holidays without written 14 15 approval from owner." It says Thanksgiving day, day 16 after Thanksgiving day, and based on the first 17 sentence, it seems that weekends as well would not be 18 anticipated to be worked on. 19 You've just testified earlier that it's 20 based on your experience and practice, and also as you told the Court that you would work through 21 2.2 Thanksgiving, the day after Thanksgiving and the 23 weekend thereafter, but yet this scope of contract 24 seems to show that Enel had already decided that that 25 wasn't going to happen.

1 Α That, I don't --Am I missing --2. Q 3 That, I don't recollect, but it's normal Α that -- I normally used to work through the holiday 4 5 seasons themselves. This was a part of the contract that was written, I'm not sure by who or who 6 7 interjected this. That's maybe their regular holidays that they get, but I do know that they -- they did 8 take off for Thanksqiving and I believe it was also 10 Christmas Eve and Christmas day. 11 Okay. I also want to note for the record Q that this scope of work that I'm referring to was 12 13 previously admitted in a previous deposition as 14 Exhibit No. 46. 15 So going back to that, in your declaration, 16 if we could go back, you told the Court under oath, in 17 fact, contrary to the usual practice for a project at 18 this stage of development, all construction was shut 19 down for four days for the Thanksgiving weekend. While it may be your experience, you did not 20 tell the Court that that decision was already made 21 2.2 prior to this point by Enel Green. (Exhibit 46 Marked for Identification) 23 24 MR. RAY: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: I'm --

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

www.proreporters.com

1 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Is that not correct? 2. I'm not sure who made the decision at -- at 3 I'm sorry, I just don't recall every little bit 4 of it. 5 0 Sure. 6 It -- if you say that the higher-ups in Enel 7 Green, whether it's legal department or whatever, may have drafted or had a hand in drafting this, were they 8 9 trying to set you up to tell mistruths to the Court? 10 MR. RAY: Object to form. 11 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't think so. 12 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. But the purpose of this declaration was to get the Court to deny the 13 plaintiffs' request for injunction; correct? 14 15 MR. RAY: Object to form. 16 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't know the answer 17 to that question. I'm sorry. 18 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. In paragraph 16 of 19 your declaration, you wrote that "Currently there are 20 approximately 200 people on site and involved in the construction of the Osage Wind project. This includes 21 2.2 employees of Osage Wind, Enel, IEA RE Inc., referring to the general contractor, and several" -- I'm sorry, 23 "several contractors." 24 25 Was that a correct statement when you made

Professional Reporters

1 that? 2. Osage Wind... I really don't recall this, 3 but yes, I guess, yes. 4 Okay. How many Osage Wind employees were Q 5 there versus Enel Green employees on site? 6 MR. RAY: Object to form. 7 I believe there was one and THE WITNESS: possibly another at our monthly meetings initially. 8 (By Mr. Ashworth) When you say "one," are 9 Q you referring to Osage Wind employees? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. Do you know who that one Osage Wind 13 employee would have been? 14 No, sir, I just don't recall. Α 15 What was Osage Wind's involvement in the 0 construction of the project, that you were aware of? 16 17 MR. RAY: Object to form. 18 THE WITNESS: The project was theirs and 19 Enel had bought the project from them. 20 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. 21 Other than that, I -- I don't know. Α 2.2 0 But the -- Enel Green was the company that 23 was directing the construction process; correct? 24 MR. RAY: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

www.proreporters.com

1 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Going back up to -you don't have to go, scroll up. 2 3 Going back to the part where we talked about 4 Thanksgiving day weekend in your declaration where you 5 inform the Court under oath that that was a usual -contrary to the usual and customary process where 6 7 construction would be stopped during that four-day 8 weekend --9 Α Like I said --10 -- why did --0 11 Α Go ahead. 12 0 Yes. Yeah. The question is why, why did 13 you say that? 14 Repeat that again. I'm getting a lot of hum Α 15 on this end. 16 I'm sorry, that's on our end. That's the 17 tornado siren in Tulsa. It's every Wednesday. 18 Α Hmm. Could you repeat your last question, 19 please. 20 Let me say the -- the statement that O you'd made in paragraph 12 said "In fact, contrary to 21 2.2 the usual practice for a project at this stage of 23 development, all construction work was shut down for 24 the four days of Thanksgiving weekend." 25 My question is: Why do you think the

Professional Reporters

www.proreporters.com

1 purpose was for saying that statement to the Court? MR. RAY: Object to form. 2. 3 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't really know. 4 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. We're going to go 5 down to paragraph -- we're jumping around. We're going to go to paragraph 20. 6 7 Actually, this is a good stopping point for a break. We can do -- we can break for lunch, or if 8 9 we take a small break, sir, that's up to you and then 10 we -- you know, of course the other parties as well. 11 Do you have a preference, sir? 12 No, I don't. Α 13 MR. ASHWORTH: Mary Katherine? 14 MS. NAGLE: We're certainly fine to keep 15 going at this point. I don't know. Yeah, we're fine. 16 We're fine either way. 17 MR. ASHWORTH: Ryan? 18 MR. RAY: I think, I mean, we'd like a lunch break at some point. It doesn't necessarily have to 19 20 be now. 21 MR. ASHWORTH: Let's continue then, sir, 2.2 unless you want to take a five-minute break. Bill, do 23 you have a preference? 24 THE WITNESS: No, I don't. 25 MR. ASHWORTH: Great. Let's continue.

Professional Reporters

1 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Paragraph 20 of your declaration, it says "As of November 21, 2014, the 2. total amount expended on the project including 3 4 contracts that's been executed is 200" --5 VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me, sorry to interrupt but we need to go off the record. The reporter got 6 7 kicked off of the call so --8 MR. ASHWORTH: Okay. 9 VIDEOGRAPHER: -- we are off the record at 10 12:03 p.m. 11 (A recess was taken from 12:03-1:12 p.m.) 12 VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 1:12 p.m. and we are 13 back on the record. 14 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sir, we're back on -- on Q 15 the record. I just want to remind you that you're still under oath. The rules of perjury still apply. 16 17 Coming back from the break, is there 18 anything that you would like to change about your 19 previous testimony? 20 Α No. Anything you want to add to your previous 21 O 2.2 testimony? 23 No, thank you. Α 24 Who else is in the room with you other than 0 25 Ryan Ray and Megan Beauregard? Is there anyone else?

1 Α No. You understand that the minerals that 2. Okay. were under the Osage Wind projects were owned by the 3 4 Osage Nation; is that correct? 5 MR. RAY: Object to the form. 6 THE WITNESS: I was -- I was told that, yes. 7 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. And -- and -- and based on that, you -- you understood that the rocks 8 9 and soil underneath the project was owned by the tribe; is that right? 10 11 MR. RAY: Object to form. And we -- we lost you a little bit there, Stuart. Can you re-ask that 12 13 question, please. 14 MR. ASHWORTH: Sure. 15 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sir, and based on -- based Q 16 on that, you understood at the time that the rocks and 17 soil underneath the wind project was owned by the 18 tribe? 19 MR. RAY: Object to form. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. We're going to go 2.2 to your declaration, page 20. I'm sorry, paragraph 23 I don't have a page number. 20. 24 MS. McCLANAHAN: That's okay. I got it.

Professional Reporters

(By Mr. Ashworth) This says "As of

25

Q

- November 20 -- 21, 2014, the total amount expended on
 the project including contracts that have been
 executed is \$287 million."

 Is that correct?
 - 5 A You know, I -- I can't -- I can't comment on
 - 6 that because I don't -- that number was provided and I
 - 7 don't know who provided it. I just simply don't
 - 8 remember that number.
- 9 Q You can't comment on the words that you told
- 10 the Court in opposition to a request for injunction;
- is -- is that your testimony right now?
- MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: That was seven years ago, once
- 14 again, that I did that. I don't remember all the
- details with it at all at this present time.
- 16 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure.
- You know even though it's seven years ago
- 18 when you make a statement to the Court under oath, I
- 19 mean, I think we can all agree that we can do our best
- 20 to understand your statement.
- 21 You previously indicated that the
- 22 declaration that you made to the Court under oath was
- 23 based on your review of business records of Enel Green
- 24 and Osage Wind.
- Was that a correct statement?

1 Α Well, there were a lot of records that I did 2. review and stuff like that, but like I said, even 3 today, I -- I don't remember all of the things, if 4 any. I mean, I haven't been affiliated with this for 5 seven years, eight years, whatever the number is, but 6 I can't -- I can't remember everything, and as a 7 matter of fact, I don't. 8 Okay. Well, what -- if you indicated that 0 9 the declaration, the words that you told the Court were based on your review of business records of Enel 10 Green and Osage Wind, I want to know is if you made 11 12 this statement regarding this number to the Court, you 13 would have made that statement based on something that you saw in the business records of Enel Green or Osage 14 15 Wind; is that correct? 16 Yes and no. I mean, I don't know how to 17 answer that question. The numbers were probably 18 provided by somebody else, maybe in our finance 19 department. They weren't provided by myself. 20 numbers were just there due to the -- the records that I -- or that I reviewed on this at that time. 21 2.2 Q Okay. As you --23 I -- I really can't remember where the 24 \$287 million came from. 25 Q So as you sit here today, you don't know if

Professional Reporters

-800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

```
1
    this $287 million number is accurate or not?
               MR. RAY: Object to form.
 2.
 3
               THE WITNESS:
                             I'm -- I'm not sure.
 4
          Q
               (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. And, in fact, when
 5
    you made this declaration to the Court, at that time,
    you didn't know if that number was correct?
 6
 7
               MR. RAY: Object to form.
                             No, I think --
 8
               THE WITNESS:
 9
               (By Mr. Ashworth) Is that true?
          0
               At -- at the time I reviewed the document,
10
11
    the documents and everything else like that, the
    number might have been -- you know, that number
12
13
    probably is correct, but I -- like I said, it was
    provided by someone else and I assumed it was a
14
15
    correct number. Other than that, I just don't
16
    remember where the number came from.
17
          0
               Okay. Are you saying that you assumed at
18
    the time that the number was correct before you told
19
    that number to the Court?
20
          Α
               Yes.
                      And, in fact, you did not do anything
21
          O
               Okay.
2.2
    to verify that that number was accurate?
23
               MR. RAY: Object to form.
24
               THE WITNESS:
                             I'm not sure what -- what I
25
           I can't recall what my actions were at that
```

Professional Reporters 800,376,1006

- 1 time.
- 2 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Would it surprise
- 3 you that you would have made this statement to the
- 4 Court under oath without knowing or verifying that it
- 5 was a true and accurate statement?
- 6 MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: At the time when I signed
- 8 this, the number -- I probably verified the number,
- 9 but I'm not sure if I had or not. I can't give you
- 10 the particulars because I -- I have not remembered.
- 11 I've forgot or whatever.
- 12 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. If you did not --
- 13 scratch that.
- 14 Would you agree that if you made a statement
- under oath to the Court, that you would not make that
- 16 statement unless you know it's true?
- 17 A As I said, at the time when I -- when this
- 18 happened, I probably did verify that number, but
- 19 today, I just don't -- I don't even remember the
- 20 document today.
- 21 **Q** Sure.
- 22 Sir, you're going to have to listen to my
- 23 question. My question is: If you make a statement to
- the Court under oath, under penalty of perjury, that
- 25 statement that you make, you would have made sure that

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

www.proreporters.com

1 that was an accurate statement; is that -- is that 2. true? 3 MR. RAY: Object to form. 4 THE WITNESS: I'll clarify, the -- the 5 287 million probably was a correct number. I did not 6 at the present time have anything untruthful that I 7 reported back then on this document. I -- I did not lie or anything else of that nature. 8 9 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. You're saying that Q you didn't lie, and I'm not saying -- you know, make 10 11 any representations, what I am saying is, is if you 12 make a statement to the Court, would you not agree 13 that you had a duty to verify that that statement is 14 true? 15 MR. RAY: Object to form. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. This number, 18 \$287 million, that figure doesn't include any payments 19 to the Osage Nation, does it? 20 MR. RAY: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: Not -- not to my knowledge. 2.2 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. And -- and that's 23 because Enel Green never intended to pay for their 24 rocks and soil that they used to support their wind 25 towers; is that correct?

```
1
                         Object to form.
               MR. RAY:
                             I do not know that.
 2.
               THE WITNESS:
 3
          0
               (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Well, just to be
     clear, what I'm talking about, which -- which rock and
 4
 5
     soil I'm talking about, I'm talking about the rocks
 6
     and soil that Enel Green took from the Osage Minerals
 7
    without first obtaining permission or permit from the
8
     Osage Minerals Council.
 9
               MR. RAY: Object to form.
               (By Mr. Ashworth) Do we have the same
10
          Q
    understanding of what rocks and minerals I'm talking
11
12
    about?
13
               MR. RAY: Object to form.
14
               THE WITNESS:
                             No.
15
          Q
               (By Mr. Ashworth) I'm sorry, you don't know
16
    what minerals I'm referring to?
17
               I'm assuming that it is the limestone.
18
          0
               Okay. We'll just say the -- we'll call it
19
     the excavated material.
20
          Α
               Okay.
               The $287 million that was paid by Enel Green
21
          0
2.2
     for the project didn't include any type of payments
23
     for the excavated materials; is that correct?
24
               MR. RAY: Object to form.
25
               THE WITNESS:
                              Yes.
```

Professional Reporters

1 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) I'm sorry, yes, it did 2. include payment to --3 Α No. No, it did not. That -- that payment 4 was probably to the contractor themselves, IEA. 5 Q In this -- sorry, in this same Okay. 6 paragraph, I'm just going to reread it, it says "As of 7 November 21, 2014, the total amount expended on the project including contracts that have been executed is 8 9 287 million. These expenditures consist of the following," and then if we go down, we can look at, it 10 says "D, cost of construction, the original contract 11 price between Osage Wind, LLC and IEA RE Inc. for the 12 project construction is approximately \$54 million. 13 date, Osage Wind has incurred approximately round up 14 15 to 80 -- I'm sorry, 28 million in costs for work that 16 had been completed." 17 Why did you talk about the original contract 18 price between Osage Wind and IEA under the section 19 Cost of Construction? 20 MR. RAY: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure at this time. 2.2 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Is it because you 23 think you believed it was important to the Court to 24 know what the contract price was? 25 MR. RAY: Object to form.

Professional Reporters

1 No, like I said earlier, I THE WITNESS: didn't -- I provided some input to this declaration, 2. but as far as the numbers and everything else are --3 4 that concern, everybody else added to it and the 5 numbers seem to jibe on this declaration at that time. 6 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. As -- as we sit 7 here today, do you believe that it is relevant to 8 know -- for the Court to know what the original 9 contract price was in relations to the cost of 10 construction? 11 MR. RAY: Object to form. 12 THE WITNESS: I do not know what that number 13 was on that contract at all. Even at this time, I don't simply remember. 14 15 (By Mr. Ashworth) I'm going to pull up an Q 16 exhibit that is a change order dated December 1, and I'm going to mark it as Exhibit No. 62. 17 18 (Exhibit 62 Marked for Identification) 19 MR. RAY: Is there a Bates number on that, 20 Stuart, that you can give us? 21 MR. ASHWORTH: I don't know yet. We'll have 2.2 to -- as it gets pulled up. 23 It's -- it's -- is it already made an 24 exhibit? 25 MS. McCLANAHAN: No.

Professional Reporters 800,376,1006

www.proreporters.com

- 1 MR. ASHWORTH: It's not Bates stamped. This
 2 was provided by IEA in response to a subpoena, it's
- 3 my -- if I can recall. We'll go kind of towards the
- 4 beginning.
- 5 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Sir, I -- first off, have
- 6 you seen any of the change orders that were entered
- 7 relative to the Osage Wind project?
- 8 A That was not part of my responsibilities.
- 9 Those were handled by upper folks within the company.
- 10 I never got to see the -- the total numbers of the
- 11 change order. That was --
- 12 **Q** Okay.
- 13 A -- apparently none of -- none of my
- 14 business.
- Q Oh, okay. Okay. None of your business.
- Well, this -- this change order --
- 17 A I said apparently it was none of my
- 18 business.
- 19 Q I'm sorry, what? I didn't catch that.
- 20 A I said apparently it was -- it was none of
- 21 my business or it didn't fall within my
- 22 responsibility.
- 23 Q Perhaps higher-ups at Enel Green did not
- 24 believe it was your pay grade?
- 25 A No, they let me know what it was about, but

- 1 they never showed me the numbers. If I did get it, it
- 2 was always redacted.
- 3 Q Okay. Well, this change order is dated
- 4 December 1, 2014, around the same time as your
- 5 declaration. If we scroll down a little bit, in the
- 6 middle of this page, keep going down, right here, it
- 7 indicates this is a change order with IEA. It
- 8 indicates that the original contract price was
- 9 approximately \$51 million. With -- we're having a bit
- 10 of feedback over here.
- With change orders, previous change orders,
- 12 it made the revised contract of IEA approximately
- 13 **34 million.**
- 14 Did I read -- am I summarizing that
- 15 correctly?
- MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I'm sure you are, but, you
- 18 know, once again, I had nothing to do with the
- 19 numbers.
- 20 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure.
- 21 Maybe -- and I understand that you had
- 22 nothing to do with the numbers, but in the
- declaration, if we go back to the declaration, you
- 24 told the Court about the numbers even though you had
- 25 no involvement with the numbers.

- 1 Α And that's -- that is correct, and I didn't know who entered -- entered these numbers in that 2. agreement to begin with or that declaration to begin 3 4 I have no knowledge of that and, like I said, I 5 basically don't remember the entirety of this declaration in -- in itself. 6 7 0 Do you think it would have been appropriate for the Court to have relied upon your declaration in 8 ruling on the plaintiffs' requests for injunction, to rely on the statements and numbers that you provided 10 11 even though the numbers were not, you know, within 12 your duties with IEA? 13 MR. RAY: Object to form. 14 THE WITNESS: That, I -- I don't know. 15 (By Mr. Ashworth) You don't know if it would 0 16 be appropriate for the Court to rely on the numbers
- 17 that you presented?
- 18 MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I think at the time that this
- 20 was written, I think that it -- they were true
- numbers. However, I can't verify that now. I can't 21
- 2.2 really remember what -- what happened back then with
- 23 this declaration. I have no idea.
- 24 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Let me re-ask it
- 25 this way:

Professional Reporters www.proreporters.com

1 If you were not the numbers person at -- at 2 Enel Green, you would agree that the Court shouldn't 3 rely on the numbers that you gave to the Court because 4 you were not privy to the numbers? 5 MR. RAY: Object to form. 6 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sure that the numbers 7 that were provided were correct. I just don't have any way of verifying it now. I -- I really don't. 8 That was a financial department decision to come up with these numbers and that was not part of my job 10 11 description at that time. 12 (By Mr. Ashworth) Is there anywhere here 0 13 that you indicate to the Court that you don't know exactly what the numbers are but that you're relying 14 15 on someone else to -- for that? 16 MR. RAY: Object to form. 17 THE WITNESS: The numbers that were there, I trusted the -- the people that provided the numbers, 18 19 that they were accurate. 20 (By Mr. Ashworth) Well, under the Cost of Construction section here in your declaration to the 21 22 Court, why did you tell the Court about the original 23 contract price even though at the time of this 24 declaration, that original contract price had already 25 been revised many times and at the time it was around

\$34 million? Why did you tell --1 MR. RAY: Object to form. 2. 3 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure why they did it. 4 You would have to ask the people that wrote the change 5 orders or put these numbers in here. I don't have any information on that. 6 7 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sir, you know, I'm not wanting to know why they gave those numbers. I want 8 9 to know, is why did you tell the Court this? 10 MR. RAY: Object to form. 11 THE WITNESS: I seriously can't remember. 12 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. You don't know why 0 13 you misled the Court -- scratch that. 14 Do you think you did this, you made this 15 statement because you wanted to mislead the Court into 16 thinking that Enel Green's contract expenses were more 17 than what they actually were? 18 MR. RAY: Object to form. 19 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't intentionally do 20 anything of that nature at all, not to try to fool --21 (By Mr. Ashworth) Do you --0 2.2 Α -- anybody. I didn't realize that it was 23 going to have an effect on the tribe or, now, I had no 24 idea, you know, nothing. 25 Q Do you think the person that provided you

- this did so in an effort to mislead the Court into
 thinking that Enel -- Enel Green's contract expenses
- 3 were more than what they actually were?
- 4 MR. RAY: Object to form. Calls for
- 5 speculation.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. I would have to
- 7 refer you to that person what the -- the thought
- 8 process was, but I don't --
- 9 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) I'm not asking -- sure.
- 10 Sorry to interrupt you. I'm not asking about their
- 11 thought process. What I am asking is, is what your
- 12 current thought process is.
- Do you think that the individuals who gave
- 14 you this number did so to mislead the Court?
- MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I don't think so.
- 17 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Do you think it was
- 18 truthful to tell the Court -- scratch that.
- 19 Do you think that you were being truthful to
- 20 the Court when you failed to tell the Court that the
- 21 contract price as of the time of your declaration was
- 22 \$20 million less than the original contract --
- 23 contract price called for?
- 24 MR. RAY: Object to form. Lack of
- 25 foundation.

1 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. (By Mr. Ashworth) You're not sure if you're 2. Q 3 being truthful? 4 MR. RAY: Object to form. 5 THE WITNESS: No, I was truthful at the time 6 that this was presented, you know. Other than that, I 7 really don't remember who provided all this 8 information, who developed this report on the statements or anything else like that. I really can't remember the majority of the details. 10 11 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) And do you know who I 12 could ask to find out who did this declaration for 13 you? 14 I'm not sure. I don't know. Like I said, Α 15 there was a -- we had a legal department and I believe 16 they had outside legal as well working on this. Other 17 than that, I don't -- I don't know for sure. 18 Have you ever signed a declaration before a Q 19 Court before? 20 I'm not sure if it was a declaration or not. I really don't know. 21 2.2 Q Have you ever signed an affidavit before? 23 I can't remember if I did but... Α 24 Do you -- at the time that you signed this 0 25 declaration, did you know that by making the

statements, you were indicating that the statements 1 2. were true and accurate? Did you at least know that? 3 Α Yes. 4 Is -- would it be fair for me to --Q 5 to believe that the reason why you did not tell the 6 Court in the declaration here that the contract price, 7 the revised contract price was 20,000 -- 20 million less than what the original price was because you 8 didn't want the Court to -- to believe that Enel Green 10 was trying to cut costs on the project? 11 MR. RAY: Object to form. 12 THE WITNESS: No. 13 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Was Enel Green trying to 14 cut costs or save money on the project? 15 I think as an owner, they probably would Α 16 have liked to have saved some money, yes, but I'm not 17 even sure what the final numbers were on that project 18 so I don't think they -- everybody, every company 19 wants to save a little bit of money, but I don't know 20 what their actions were. In your position as site coordinator on the 21 0 2.2 Osage Wind project, was it your understanding that 23 Enel Green was trying to cut costs in relations to the 24 excavation process? 25 Not to my knowledge, no. Α

Okay. 1 0 I'm going to pull up an exhibit that was previously marked as Exhibit 48 and it's a change 2 order for blasting and we're going to go to page 4. 3 4 It's the middle of the page on page 4. Right there. 5 Second-to-last bullet point there, this is a change 6 order, which is I guess somewhat -- I don't -- I'll 7 say this, I do not see your name on it, but I just want to bring this to your attention, it's from Enel 8 9 Green. 10 It says "In an attempt to limit the cost impact of rock crushing, EP" -- sorry, "EGP E&C has 11 also inquired IEA/Barr to pursue" -- I assume that's 12 13 supposed to be "lighter crushing procedure. response was that the material larger than 3 inches 14 15 would not have been suitable to meet compaction 16 requirements." 17 Were you aware at the time that Enel Green 18 tried to pursue other options for crushing the rock to 19 save money. 20 (Exhibit 48 Marked for Identification) 21 MR. RAY: Object to form. 2.2 THE WITNESS: No, all I know is we couldn't 23 use anything larger than that in order to achieve our 24 compaction results. 25 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. And just to be

- clear of what -- the number that you believe is the
- 2 total on the project was \$287 million.
- 3 At least the number that you present to the
- 4 Court; is that correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. I'm going to pull up another exhibit
- 7 that I'm going to mark as Exhibit No. 63. It is going
- 8 to be e-mails regarding material costs. Right there
- 9 is perfect.
- 10 So this is an e-mail --
- 11 (Exhibit 63 Marked for Identification)
- MR. RAY: Is there a Bates range on this --
- is there a Bates number on this document?
- 14 MR. ASHWORTH: I don't believe there is, but
- 15 let's scroll down. There's not. I guess when IEA was
- 16 coordinating with counsel during the production, they
- 17 did not -- they failed to Bates stamp the production.
- 18 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Sir, this is an e-mail
- 19 from Craig Mazurowski and yourself, looks like May 22,
- 20 **2015.**
- 21 Do you recall seeing this e-mail?
- 22 A No, I don't recall.
- Q Okay. It indicates -- well, first off, do
- 24 you have any idea how much money Enel Green saved by
- using the Osage minerals without permission?

1 MR. RAY: Object to form. 2. THE WITNESS: No, I don't. 3 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Well, here, it says --4 these are calculations it seems like that Craig had 5 provided to you. It indicates 1200 cubic feet of 6 excavation, but I'd represent to you just based on the 7 numbers that perhaps it could be referred to cubic 8 yards, but it says 12 -- 1200 cubic feet of 9 excavation, please note the foundations were mixed 10 soil and not all limestone. We did not import any backfill material. It was crushed on site. It goes 11 12 on to say "Assumptions that 60 percent was limestone, 13 40 percent was soil, based on those assumptions here is what I figured what it would have cost to backfill 14 15 with imports. 480 cubic yards of soil at a cost of 16 \$7,488." Then it says "720 cubic yards of limestone 17 at a cost of 15,660." 18 If you add 1566 -- I'm sorry, 660 to 7,488, 19 I'll, you know, I'm terrible at math, but I'll represent that based on my numbers and -- and checking 20 and rechecking, that's \$23,184 per foundation. 21 2.2 Sir, how many foundations were done on the 23 project? 24 There was a total of 84 foundations. Α 25 Q If you times \$23,184 per foundation, Okay.

Professional Reporters

1 that's 84 foundations, that comes out to be a savings that Enel Green realized of \$1,944,432. 2. How much -- that's how much they saved by 3 4 using the Osage minerals without a permit. Were you 5 aware of that at the time? 6 MR. RAY: Object to form. 7 THE WITNESS: No. 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) You had no understanding 8 9 that Enel Green, had they chosen -- had they not used the minerals, this is the amount of money they would 10 11 have had to have spent to use substitute backfill? 12 MR. RAY: Object to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't know that. e-mail that I got, I more than likely had forwarded on 14 15 either to Giuseppe or Bill Price. 16 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. 17 I don't deal with it. 18 Q You would understand just based on this -well, scratch that. 19 20 It's your understanding that Enel Green did 21 not pay for any of the materials that were excavated; 2.2 correct? 23 MR. RAY: Object to form. 24 THE WITNESS: I did not -- I did not know

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

what they paid for, what they haven't paid for.

25

1 was none of my -- it wasn't my business. It just didn't fall in my realm of activities. 2. 3 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. It's -- it's -- as 4 site coordinator -- scratch that. 5 What is your realm of activities as site 6 coordinator for the Osage Wind project? 7 Α Oversee the construction that's happening around the job site. 8 9 Okay. Well, we're going to go back to your Q declaration, first page. The bottom, I'm looking at 10 page -- paragraph three, the last thing it says "My 11 duty as site coordinator include, among other things, 12 13 the overall management and supervision of construction of the project including timing and schedule of work 14 15 to ensure the project proceeds on schedule and on 16 budget." 17 And you're just telling me now that the 18 numbers were not within your bailiwick even though in 19 your declaration to the Court, it seems to me that you're telling the Court that budgeting was within 20 your responsibility as site coordinator? 21 2.2 MR. RAY: Object to form. 23 The budget was handled by our THE WITNESS: 24 project manager Giuseppe DiMarzio. He would let me 25 know where we were on budget and if I was going over

- 1 or whatever. Other than that, I mean, I just don't
- 2 remember and I don't recall what the whole thing was.
- 3 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) So you didn't write this
- 4 part about your responsibilities; is that what you're
- 5 telling me?
- 6 A That's exactly what I'm telling you.
- 7 Q Okay. So when this was presented to you to
- 8 sign, knowing that this was not your job
- 9 responsibilities, you signed it anyways?
- 10 MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 11 THE WITNESS: At that time, I believe I was
- 12 signing in good faith that it was true and correct.
- 13 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) So at the time in good
- 14 faith, you thought those were your job
- responsibilities; is that what you're saying?
- 16 A No, I'm not saying that. My God, I can't
- 17 remember the entire document from seven years ago to
- 18 today. I -- I really can't. I don't know what answer
- 19 you want me to give you, but I can't give you a lot of
- 20 the answers because I simply don't remember.
- 21 O Sure, sir. The answers that I want is the
- 22 truth. I expect the truth from you just as I would
- 23 expect you to tell the Court the truth with your
- 24 declaration.
- 25 A At the time that that declaration was

1 signed, it was the truth. I -- I don't think that it wasn't. 2. 3 So at the time of the declaration, you 4 believed your job responsibilities, as you told to the 5 Court, was the truth? 6 Α At the time --7 MR. RAY: Object to form. THE WITNESS: -- at the time of signing 8 9 this, yes. 10 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. We're going to go 0 11 back to -- we're actually going to go to a new 12 exhibit, change order for blasting. It may already 13 be... 14 MS. McCLANAHAN: It's already an exhibit. 15 MR. ASHWORTH: It's going to be Exhibit 48, 16 Middle of the page. Down, right there. 17 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) So this section it says at 18 the very top, it says "The decision process for rock 19 crushing was" -- I assume it's supposed to mean 20 derived -- "was derived by the following conditions," and then it goes on, which is the fourth bullet point 21 2.2 from the top, it says "Given the issues with the Osage 23 Nation, the disposal of excavated rock -- rocks and 24 imported backfill material from outside the county was 25 a more expensive solution."

1 Did I read that correctly? 2. Α Yes. It seems to me that Enel Green was making a 3 0 conscious decision that instead of not using the 4 5 excavated rocks, that they would save money by using 6 the rock -- scratch that. 7 It seems to me that Osage Nation -- sorry, I'm getting a little flustered there. 8 9 Seems to me that Enel Green is making the 10 conscious decision here that it would be much cheaper 11 to use the excavated material versus using material 12 from off site. 13 Is that how you read this bullet point? 14 MR. RAY: Object to the form. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. And -- and based on 17 the e-mail, it seems that the savings would have been 18 about \$1.9 million that Enel Green -- based on the 19 estimate provided by Craig Mazurowski? 20 MR. RAY: Object to form. 21 O (By Mr. Ashworth) Is that correct? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 We're going to pull up an exhibit that I'm 0 24 going to mark as Exhibit No. 64. It's an e-mail dated 25 October 29. So at the top it says -- where it says

1 thank you, it seems that this is coming from Barbara Matula to Chris Hanson. 2. 3 Do you know who Barbara Matula is? 4 (Exhibit 64 Marked for Identification) 5 Α No. Okay. We're going to go down to the second 6 7 page at the very bottom. 8 MR. RAY: Does this one also not have Bates 9 numbers? 10 MR. ASHWORTH: That's correct. 11 (By Mr. Ashworth) First off, who is Chris Q Hanson -- I'm sorry, Chris Hanson, I think you -- you 12 13 told -- talked about him earlier. 14 What was his position and relations to the 15 Osage Wind project? 16 He was a general contractor with IEA. 17 represented them. He was vice president of 18 construction for them, for IEA. 19 Okay. Here at the bottom, the second page, 0 20 this first bullet point it says "Osage Nation has asserted mineral rights permit is required to break 21 22 the surface of the ground, " and then it says "Enel 23 directed" -- or sorry, "Enel directs IEA to continue 24 without permit." 25 Did I read that correctly?

Professional Reporters

www.proreporters.com

1 Α Yes. And just to confirm, it was indeed Enel 2. Green who directed IEA to continue to work without 3 4 first obtaining a minerals permit from the Osage 5 tribe; is that correct? 6 MR. RAY: Object to form. 7 I'm sure they did, but I THE WITNESS: thought that the permit was in process, is the only 8 9 reason why they would have made that statement I'm 10 sure. 11 (By Mr. Ashworth) So you believed under this Q 12 statement where it says Enel directs IEA to continue 13 without permit, it's your understanding that Enel was trying to get a permit even though they're directing 14 15 IEA not to get a permit or to continue without a 16 permit? 17 MR. RAY: Object to form. 18 THE WITNESS: I'm not really sure. 19 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) You're not sure about 20 what? I'm not sure of that, if they directed IEA 21 2.2 to continue without a permit. 23 Okay. It says it --0 24 Go ahead. Α 25 No, I'm sorry, I -- I interrupted you. Q

Professional Reporters

- 1 A No, I don't even recall this, this e-mail
- 2 that you're showing me now. I don't even know where
- 3 it came from or anything. I just don't remember.
- 4 Q We'll -- sure. We'll scroll up to the
- 5 bottom of the first page.
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 Q Right here. We'll stop here. It's an
- 8 e-mail from Chris Hanson, and you said that Chris
- 9 Hanson was the vice president or one of the vice
- 10 presidents at IEA.
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q Is that right?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And if he's making this statement, Enel
- directs IEA to continue without permit, in relations
- 16 to Osage Nation has asserted mineral rights permit is
- 17 required.
- 18 A Okay.
- 19 Q Is it your testimony that -- you know, that
- 20 IEA was trying to get a permit?
- 21 MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. I'm not sure at
- 23 all.
- 24 O (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Is there anything
- 25 in here that would indicate to you that IEA was trying

1 to get a permit? 2. Α No. 3 0 Okay. If Enel Green had stopped work so that it could obtain a minerals permit, that would 4 5 have delayed the project; is that correct? 6 Α That, I'm not sure of. 7 Q Okay. Well, it says Enel here or at least in the e-mail that Chris is referencing it says "Enel 8 9 directs IEA to continue without permit." 10 You don't know if getting the permit would 11 have caused any delay? 12 MR. RAY: Objection. Asked and answered. 13 THE WITNESS: I'm not -- I'm not sure if it would or not. I think that possibly they did proceed 14 15 without the permit until we got the permit. I'm not 16 really sure. 17 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. By proceeding 18 without a permit, Enel Green stood to gain both time 19 and money on the project; would you not agree with 20 that? 21 MR. RAY: Object to form. 2.2 THE WITNESS: I'm not -- I'm not sure how 23 much money they saved on the project. Like I said, I 24 was never involved in any of that.

Professional Reporters 800,376,1006

(By Mr. Ashworth) Sure.

25

Q

1 Do you know how much time they would have 2. saved? 3 MR. RAY: Object to form. 4 THE WITNESS: No, I really don't. 5 (By Mr. Ashworth) During the project, did 0 6 any government employee or government official show up 7 to the project and direct that the project stop until a permit could be obtained? 8 9 There was one fellow from the Osage tribe that came to a site and he handed me a letter which I 10 in turn I forwarded it on to Giuseppe and Bill Price 11 12 that were handling the contract issues. 13 Do you know who that individual was? Q 14 I know he was tall. It was either, oh, oh, Α 15 Mr. Whitehead or a Frank Weir. I'm not really sure 16 which, which one. 17 0 Would an individual with the last name of 18 Whiteshield, does that sound familiar? 19 Α Yes. 20 I'm sorry, who was the first person that you -- the first name you said? 21 2.2 Α I'm not sure which one it was. There were a 23 couple of gentlemen out there, plus I think several 24 more that they -- they drove on the site, but it was 25 either Frank Weir I believe is his last name or

- 1 Whiteshield guy or whatever.
- 2 Q Would you have spoken with this Weir or --
- 3 or -- or Whiteshield individual outside of the letter
- 4 being handed to you?
- 5 A I'm not sure. I don't remember. I know we
- 6 took them on a quick job site tour and showed them
- 7 exactly what was happening on site. I do know that,
- 8 but other than that, I don't recall anything else.
- 9 O Did that occur at the same time that the
- 10 rock excavation and crushing was taking place?
- 11 A Yes, I believe so.
- 12 Q Okay. Do you recall anyone showing up to
- 13 the project other than these two individuals that you
- 14 just mentioned, that were either from the government
- or from the tribe?
- 16 A I know there were other visitors that came
- 17 out there, but it was reported to me that they were
- 18 back kind of site -- who those individuals were, I
- 19 really don't know. Normally, we have a protocol set
- 20 up, any visitors to the site must come to the main
- 21 office and check in with us and they would be escorted
- 22 out, but apparently that didn't happen on a few -- on
- 23 a few visits.
- 24 O And it's your understanding that when these
- 25 two individuals that you mentioned were on site, there

1 was a concern about the use of the excavated material; is that -- is that right? 2. 3 MR. RAY: Object to form. 4 That -- I can't answer that THE WITNESS: 5 because I don't know if there was a concern with that or not. 6 7 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. They just wanted to see what the site was 8 9 all about so... 10 And did any of these two individuals direct 11 you or anyone on site to stop construction? 12 Α The fellow that handed me the -- the letter, 13 it said that he wanted me to stop and I said I'll forward it on to my superiors and they'll make that 14 15 decision. 16 I'm going to pull up another exhibit 17 that's an e-mail dated October 14, and I don't know if 18 it's Bates stamped. We'll see. I'm going to mark it 19 as Exhibit No. 65, I believe, yeah, 65. It's not 20 Bates stamped. At the bottom of the page, we're going 21 to go actually all the way to the bottom of the 2.2 document. 23 Sir, this is an e-mail from Louise Red Corn

Professional Reporters

Do you know who that to line is, EGPNA PR?

and it's directed to it seems at EGPNA PR.

24

25

1 Is that the PR department of Enel Green? (Exhibit 65 Marked for Identification) 2. 3 MR. RAY: Object to form. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. 5 (By Mr. Ashworth) Oh, I'm asking you. Q 6 Do you know what that is? 7 Α Public relations, maybe. I don't know. It says "To whom it may concern, I'm 8 0 9 inquiring regarding a letter sent by the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Osage agency, in Oklahoma regarding an 10 un-permitted quarry operation at Enel's Osage Wind 11 12 project." It goes on "My question, what is Enel doing 13 to respond to this letter? Has the company ceased quarrying -- quarrying rock? Why did it not obtain a 14 15 permit before commencing such operations? The letter 16 sent by BI -- by the BIA to Enel NA to Francesco Venturini is attached." 17 18 Do you recall reading this e-mail? 19 Α No. 20 Do you know what letter is being referenced here, the letter by the BIA to Francesco Venturini? 21 2.2 Α I believe it was the same letter that was 23 hand delivered to myself. 24 0 Okay. 25 Can you pull up that letter? The letter

Professional Reporters

- 1 from Robin Phillips.
- 2 Pull up a letter that was previously marked
- 3 as Exhibit No. 38. It's my understanding, this is a
- 4 letter that was attached, I'll give you an opportunity
- 5 to look at this.
- 6 (Exhibit 38 Marked for Identification)
- 7 A That was the letter that was submitted to me
- 8 by one of those two individuals.
- 9 Q Okay. And if we scroll at the top, it's
- 10 dated October 9, 2014.
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q And towards the bottom -- actually the
- 13 middle, if you go down a little bit, it says "You are
- 14 to refrain from any further excavation of minerals
- until such time you have obtained a sandy soil permit
- 16 through the Osage agency."
- 17 Did I read that correctly?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. We're going to go back to the
- 20 previous exhibit, Exhibit 65, the e-mail. We're going
- 21 to go to the bottom of the second page. It seems that
- 22 it was forwarded to Steve Champagne.
- Who -- first of all, who is Steve Champagne?
- 24 A He was one of our legal counsel with Enel at
- 25 that time.

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

1 0 It says, he writes "My inclination Okay. would be to say we have not received a letter and 2. would not respond to it until we do. We are not 3 4 quarrying rock and we have all permits required for 5 the work we are doing." Do you recall reading that, this e-mail? 6 7 MR. RAY: Object to form. THE WITNESS: 8 No. 9 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Let's go to the Q very top of this document, page one. It's an e-mail 10 from Bill Price to Giuseppe DiMarzio and yourself, and 11 12 it says "Gents, please review the e-mail chain. 13 issue seems to be growing and we need to have a lock down on all communications at the site. At the site, 14 15 everything needs to be directed to Bill and then 16 deferred to internal communications, Michaela. 17 I am trying to get you some talking points, but for 18 now, if asked, one, we have all permits required to 19 build the facility, and, two, please direct any 20 further communications to Michaela." 21 Do you recall reading this e-mail now? 2.2 Α No. 23 Would it be safe for me to assume 0 Okav. 24 that none of the talking points that Bill Price is --25 gave you would have indicated that Enel Green was

1 using Osage minerals without permission so that it could have a substantial savings of money on the 2. project? Is that -- is that correct? 3 4 MR. RAY: Object to form. 5 THE WITNESS: I'm not -- I'm not sure. Ι didn't understand all of that. I'm sorry. 6 7 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. No problem. Is it safe for me to assume that none of the 8 9 talking points that Bill Price gave you would have been a talking point that said that Enel Green was 10 using the minerals in order to save money and time? 11 12 MR. RAY: Object to form. 13 THE WITNESS: That, I'm not sure of. don't think that was referring to me. I think that 14 15 was Michaela writing back to Bill Price. 16 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Do you know what 17 any of the talking points eventually ended up being? 18 Α No. 19 Okay. Do you recall you being told talking 0 points to give relative to this project? 20 21 I -- I don't remember. Α 2.2 0 Okay. Sir, earlier you referenced 23 as-builts. What -- first off, what are as-builts? 24 25 Α A record of the construction activities on

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

1 site, what other kind of changes were made, like if we relocated a road, you know, we would have to show that 2 3 on the as-built drawings. 4 It's my understanding that you have 12 years Q 5 of experience in the wind industry; is that correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 0 And you have more experience than that in the construction industry; is that correct? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 About how much experience do you have in the Q construction industry in general? 11 12 Thirty-five plus or minus years. Α 13 Q And you would be -- scratch that. 14 Would it be safe for me to assume that you 15 were very familiar with as-builts during your 35 years 16 of construction? 17 Α Yes. 18 Q Okay. And just so that I can understand the 19 as-builts a little bit better, based on your 20 explanation, is that an as-built, you have your original kind of blueprints or plans and that if 21 22 anything deviates from that as they're built, you have 23 the redlined version and that's what we're looking at 24 as as-builts.

Is that -- is that my -- is my understanding

25

1 correct? Object to form. 2. MR. RAY: 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. So if the project Q 5 said that a tower was supposed to be at X site but then eventually ended up being at a different site, 6 7 that would be in the as-built? 8 Yes, it would be. Α 9 0 Okay. And did Enel Green or IEA keep as-builts for the projects? 10 11 Α I believe they did, yes. 12 0 Okay. Is that based on your experience in 13 the wind industry, is it a customary practice to have as-builts or to have as-builts for the construction 14 15 process? 16 Α Yes. 17 Q Okay. Would as-builts be kept for all 18 aspects of the construction process for a wind 19 project, based on your experience? 20 Α Yes. 21 Okay. Would that include as-builts for 0 transmission lines? 2.2 23 Α Yes. 24 Would that include as-builts for any type of 0 25 buildings that were constructed?

Professional Reporters

1 Α Yes, it would. Would that include as-builts for excavation 2. 0 3 work? 4 I believe there was already contract Α 5 drawings in regards to the foundations on -- it was 6 one from Barr Engineering, any changes in that would have had to have been reflected on the -- on the 7 drawings itself but I don't believe any of them were. 8 9 Okay. And that's for the foundation, is Q that for the actual concrete foundation, or are we 10 11 talking about --12 Α Yes, that was the -- I'm sorry. 13 Q Were -- no, I'm sorry to interrupt there. As -- sorry, there's a bit of delay so I'm 14 15 sorry for the interruption. What were you going to 16 say? 17 Α That was for the foundations, yes. 18 Q Okay. 19 Not -- not for the excavated material. Α 20 Based on your experience, if -- if -- if there was a drawing or plan that indicated that X 21 2.2 amount of material was to be excavated and a different 23 amount was excavated, based on your experience in the 24 wind industry, would that have been documented in an 25 as-built or documented, yeah, in general?

1 MR. RAY: Object to form. Yes, it would have been 2. THE WITNESS: 3 reflected. 4 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. And that's just --0 5 and that's based on your belief that's a customary and standard practice in the industry, to reflect changes 6 7 from any deviation in excavation plans? 8 Α Yeah, it would have been reflected on your quantity sheets that are part of the package, drawing 10 package. 11 Who would have been responsible for that? Q 12 Α That was the contractor's responsibility. 13 Q Do you know if anyone directed the contractor to do as-builts for the entire project 14 15 except for excavation work? 16 No, I don't. 17 Q Okay. You never directed IEA to do 18 as-builts on the entire project except for the sole 19 aspect of excavation? 20 No, that was -- that was a contractual requirement, that they provided as-builts once the 21 22 project was completed. 23 0 Okay. I don't believe that it -- it's -- had 24 Α 25 anything to do with excavated materials in specific to

1 that. But if there was a deviation in the amount 2. 3 of what was actually excavated versus what the plans 4 called for, you believe it should be documented? 5 Α Yes. 6 Q By the contractor? 7 Α Yes. Okay. And if Craig Mazurowski testified in 8 0 9 his deposition that they were never told to keep or document changes in excavation material, would that 10 11 surprise you? 12 MR. RAY: Object to form. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 (By Mr. Ashworth) That would be against your Q 15 experience in the industry; correct? 16 I'm -- I'm not sure what the question was. 17 Q You didn't hear the question or would you 18 like me to re -- rephrase the question? 19 Α Rephrase the question. 20 0 Sure. 21 If Mr. -- I'm sorry, if Craig Mazurowski 2.2 testified during his deposition that they did not 23 document the deviations between the plans and what was actually excavated, if he testified that that wasn't 24 25 documented, that would be contrary to your experience

1 in the industry? MR. RAY: Object to form. 2. 3 I'm sorry, I still don't THE WITNESS: understand your last portion of that question. 4 5 Q (By Mr. Ashworth) Sure. Let me just back it 6 back up. 7 In your experience in the industry, your 12 years of experience, when drawings say that a certain 8 9 amount of material is supposed to be excavated --10 Mmm-hmm. Α 11 -- if the amount -- if there was a deviation 0 12 of those plans, based on your experience, they should 13 be reported, that information should be reported? 14 Α Yes. 15 And if that didn't happen here with the Q 16 Osage Wind project, that would be in contradictory --17 in contradiction to your experience within the 18 industry? 19 Α Yes. 20 Have you ever lived in Oklahoma outside of working on the Woodward project and the 21 2.2 Osage project? 23 Have I ever lived? Α 24 Yes, like resided in Oklahoma. 0 25 I lived in Ponca City, I lived in Α

Professional Reporters

1 Weatherford, and I lived in Rush Springs. When did you live in Rush Springs? 2. Q 3 Α What's that? Let me ask this: Was Rush Springs part of a 4 Q 5 wind project? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Okay. Do you have any family members in Oklahoma? 8 9 In Oklahoma? I don't know --Α 10 Q Yeah. 11 -- but my wife does. Α 12 0 Do you know where they live? 13 Α Maysville. 14 Okay. Sir, you were the site coordinator on Q 15 the project and you previously indicated that the site 16 manager, which was your supervisor, was Giuseppe 17 DiMarzio. 18 Do you know why you were asked to provide 19 the declaration to the Court instead of the site manager Giuseppe DiMarzio? 20 21 MR. RAY: Object to form. 2.2 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. 23 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. When you were asked 0 24 to do this declaration, you had only worked with Enel 25 Green for less than a year; is that correct?

- 1 Α That is correct. Do you think that they gave or do you think 2. Enel Green had you sign the declaration to the Court 3 4 because you do not have as much experience or 5 knowledge of Enel Green at that time? 6 MR. RAY: Object to form. 7 THE WITNESS: No, I don't think that was the 8 case. 9 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Then why -- why do Q you think Enel Green chose you to make the statements 10 11 that you did to the Court? 12 MR. RAY: Object to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Based on what you 14 Q 15 know today, do you wish someone would have signed the 16 declaration at Enel Green instead of you? 17 MR. RAY: Object to form. 18 THE WITNESS: That would have been nice, I 19 guess. Other than that, I did sign it. 20 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. Do you think there 21 was anyone -- scratch that.
- that would have been a better person to have signed a

 declaration to the Court with the information that you

 had -- had provided?

Would there have been anyone at Enel Green

2.2

1 MR. RAY: Object to form. I'm not sure. A lot of things 2. THE WITNESS: 3 come into play, maybe it was a language barrier from 4 Giuseppe. He was Italian and maybe he didn't 5 understand everything. I'm really not sure how they 6 came about that decision. 7 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Okay. My question -- let me re-ask the question this way: 8 9 Do you think there would have been anyone at Enel Green that would have been more qualified or 10 had -- be in a better position to sign the declaration 11 12 before in opposition to the plaintiffs' request for 13 injunction? 14 MR. RAY: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Probably Bill Price. 16 (By Mr. Ashworth) And do you believe that 17 Bill Price would have been a better person to sign the 18 declaration because he would have had more knowledge 19 of the statements that were in your declaration? 20 MR. RAY: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2.2 0 (By Mr. Ashworth) Sir, why did you leave 23 Enel Green? 24 I was in North Dakota and the project was Α 25 finishing up and I was sick at the time so I went

1 ahead and retired. And then I was diagnosed with cancer at the time. 2. 3 Sir, it's my understanding that at the 4 beginning of the project, the project called for only 5 27 of the foundation areas to be blasted but then later on that number had to change. 6 7 Do you recall that part? Α 8 Yes. And I understand that IEA indicated to Enel 9 10 Green that the additional blasting was required 11 because of more rock that was encountered? 12 They base their -- their Α That's true. 13 contractual amount off of the soils report that we had gotten on the project and it didn't reflect actual 14 15 conditions. 16 Do you agree with IEA? Okay. 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. Do you believe that additional Q 19 blasting was -- was necessary? 20 Α Yes. 21 MR. ASHWORTH: Okay. Let's take a 2.2 ten-minute break. I am pretty sure I'm almost done.

Professional Reporters

Let me just take a quick break so I can gather

THE WITNESS:

everything so I can end this quickly. Okay, sir?

Okay.

23

24

25

```
1
                             We are off the record at
               VIDEOGRAPHER:
 2
     2:22 p.m.
 3
               (A recess was taken from 2:22-2:35 p.m.)
 4
               VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at
 5
    2:35 p.m.
               (By Mr. Ashworth) Sir, we're back on the
 6
          Q
 7
    record and I just want to remind you that you're still
 8
    under oath. The rules of perjury still apply.
 9
               Is there anything that you wanted to change
    about your previous testimony today?
10
11
         Α
               No.
12
          0
               Okay.
                      Is there anything that you would like
13
    to add to your previous testimony today?
14
          Α
               No.
15
               MR. ASHWORTH: Okay. I have nothing,
16
    nothing further, and I will pass the witness.
17
               MS. NAGLE: Great.
18
               MR. ASHWORTH: Thank you for your time.
19
               THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
20
               CROSS EXAMINATION
21
    BY MS. NAGLE:
2.2
          0
               Good afternoon, Mr. Moskaluk. My name is
23
    Mary Katherine Nagle and I'm a partner here at
24
    Pipestem & Nagle and I represent the Osage Minerals
25
    Council. And so I will be following up to Mr.
```

Professional Reporters

- 1 Ashworth's questions and asking a few -- a few of our
- own, and I hope to not be repetitive and not repeat
- 3 questions he asked and hopefully not take too much
- 4 more of your time.
- 5 A Okay.
- 6 Q So thank you for indulging me and I know
- 7 you've -- I know you've already discussed things quite
- 8 at length so, you know, just -- just jumping right in,
- 9 and I can pull up the exhibits if we want to look at
- 10 them again, but earlier, you know, I know Mr. Ashworth
- showed you Exhibit 48 which was a change order.
- Just kind of stepping back more broadly,
- what was your role in the change order process?
- 14 A I would take the change orders that came in
- and I would pass them on to Giuseppe which he in turn
- 16 would pass it on to Bill Price. Both of them would
- 17 review it and then they would get back to me, telling
- 18 me, yes, this is -- this is good or whatever. That
- 19 was the extent of it. They were the ones that
- 20 approved the change orders.
- 21 Q Okay. So really you just passed it along
- 22 but you didn't personally have any decision-making
- 23 authority over whether they were approved or denied?
- 24 A No decision making at all.
- Q Would that have been Bill Price or Giuseppe,

- 1 do you know?
- 2 A It would have been them if not more people.
- 3 Q And did you receive -- when you would
- 4 receive the change orders, were they coming from Craig
- 5 Mazurowski?
- 6 A Most of them, I believe, they did. He was
- 7 responsible for writing the change orders and, like I
- 8 said, they would come to me and then I would forward
- 9 them on.
- 10 Q Mmm-hmm.
- 11 And do you remember if you ever got any
- 12 change orders from anyone else other than Craig
- 13 Mazurowski?
- 14 A I don't recall.
- 15 Q Okay. Do you remember EGPNA ever denying a
- 16 change order request?
- 17 A I don't recall that either. It's possible
- 18 they might have, but for sure, I don't know.
- 19 Q And was it your understanding when you would
- 20 submit these change order requests to Giuseppe
- 21 DiMarzio, was he employed by Enel Green Power North
- 22 America?
- 23 A Yes, he was.
- 24 Q Okay. I know earlier we were looking at the
- 25 dollar amounts.

1 Was it your general impression that overall the change order requests were decreasing the cost of 2 3 the contract to EGPNA? 4 No, I didn't -- I didn't think that way. Α 5 Do you recall what most of the change orders 0 related to? 6 7 The only thing -- the only thing I can think Α of is -- is the -- the blasting --8 9 Mmm-hmm. Q -- and excavation. I really don't know. 10 Α 11 Q Mmm-hmm. 12 And I know -- I know earlier we discussed 13 the fact that there was a little bit more blasting than was -- was originally inspected simply because of 14 15 the amount of rock under the surface. 16 Do you recall when you joined the project 17 and -- and was hired -- when you were hired by EGPNA 18 in May of 2014, what was your understanding of what 19 the construction plan was for the foundations of the 20 turbines? 21 Only what was shown to me on -- on a 2.2 drawing, that that's what they had planned to build on 23 it. 24 At that time in -- in May of 2014, was the 25 plan to use rock crushers?

Professional Reporters

1	A To use rock crushers?
2	Q Mmm-hmm.
3	A No.
4	Q Was it at that time to use imported backfill
5	material purchased from off site?
6	A No, normally they use the material that they
7	excavated from the foundation. However, we could not
8	break through that foundation with a conventional
9	operation, and that's mainly excuse me, mainly the
10	geotech report that all parties were using was not
11	reflective of the actual materials that were on site.
12	So it caught us all by surprise
13	Q Mmm-hmm.
14	A that we couldn't dig these foundations
15	without drilling and shooting.
16	Q I see.
17	Do you recall when that realization
18	occurred? I know it must have been after May 2014
19	but
20	A Yeah, I'm I'm not really sure.
21	Q Okay. Let's see. Did you yourself while
22	you were working on the Osage Wind farm ever
23	communicate directly with anyone from the Tradewind
24	Energy company?

1 0 While you worked on the Osage Wind farm, did you yourself ever have any communications with anyone 2 3 from Tradewind? 4 Yes. Α Yes. 5 And do you recall any names of anyone 0 that you communicated with at Tradewind? 6 7 Α Actually, no, because they were only on the project for short periods of time. There was one 8 fellow there and probably another guy from their 10 office every once in a while, like on the monthly meetings, but once -- once Enel had solidified the 11 12 contracts with Tradewinds on the purchase of that 13 project, they -- they disappeared. 14 Okay. And you were employed by EGPNA; is Q 15 that correct? 16 Α Yes, ma'am. 17 Q While working on the Osage Wind farm, did 18 you ever communicate with anyone from Enel Kansas? 19 Enel Kansas? Α 20 0 Yes. 21 I don't -- I don't remember if I did. Α 2.2 Q Okay. Fair enough. 23 Are you -- are you familiar with the 24 corporate entity Enel Kansas? 25 Α No.

1 0 Did you, while working on the Osage Okay. Wind farm project, ever communicate with anyone who 2 was an employee of Osage Wind, LLC? 3 4 That was the same people I just finished Α 5 talking about. There's one representative on site and 6 I thought they were Tradewinds, not Osage Wind. 7 Q Okay. So -- so you may have communicated with people from Osage Wind, LLC, but you thought they 8 9 were folks from Tradewind; is that correct? 10 Α Correct. 11 0 Okay. And -- and during your work on the Osage Wind farm, did you ever communicate with anyone 12 13 from General Electric? 14 Yes, their project manager with GE --Α 15 0 Mmm-hmm. 16 -- was on the -- on the project site, along 17 with one of his helpers that he had. 18 And do you recall his name, the project Q 19 manager's name? 20 We called him Gunny. Α 21 Q Okay. 2.2 Α Because he was an ex-marine. 23 And do -- you don't remember Gunny's 0 Okay. 24 last name by any chance, do you? 25 Α I actually don't.

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

0 1 Okay. No worries. What about Gunny's -- you mentioned he had a 2. 3 helper or an assistant. 4 Do you, by any chance, recall that person's 5 name? Yeah, it was his right -- it was his 6 7 right-hand man, Mark. 8 0 Mmm-hmm. 9 I can't remember. I -- I think he's an employ -- employee with Enel at this time. 10 11 Q Okay. And -- okay. 12 Do you recall, you know, at that time, would 13 Gunny have been at the project site once a week or 14 more often than that or less often, do you recall? 15 He was there full time. Α 16 0 Full time. Okay. 17 Did he ever express any -- any stress or 18 anxiety over project construction delays that were 19 occurring? 20 I believe he might have mentioned that, but when he did it, I'm not really sure. 21 2.2 0 Did you have an understanding that if 23 construction on the wind turbines was delayed past a certain amount of time, that there could be issues 24

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

with the contract between EGPNA and General Electric?

25

1 MR. RAY: Object to form. 2. THE WITNESS: Yes, there -- there were some 3 penalty clauses in there. 4 Q (By Ms. Nagle) And do you recall discussions 5 about those penalty clauses? 6 Α No. 7 Q Okay. How -- do you recall how were you aware that there were penalty clauses in the contract 8 with GE? 9 I -- I had asked Giuseppe and Bill Price 10 Α 11 about that and they -- they sent me some information, that it -- it was so much per day, I believe it was, 12 but I -- I can't recall exactly what it was. 13 Do you -- did you know or did you 14 Q Mmm-hmm. 15 have an understanding -- do you know today or did you 16 have an understanding at that time that -- that if 17 project construction on the wind farm wasn't finished 18 by a certain date, that Enel could lose entire --19 entirely lose its contract with GE? 20 No, I did not know that. 21 0 Okay. Did you, while working on the Osage 2.2 Wind farm, ever communicate directly with anyone from 23 JP -- JPM Capital Corporation? 24 I'm not sure who they are. Α 25 Q Okay. And while working on the Osage Wind

Professional Reporters

- 1 farm, did you ever communicate with anyone from
- 2 Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company regarding
- 3 the project?
- 4 A That, I'm unsure of as well.
- 5 Q Fair enough.
- And while working on the Osage Wind farm
- 7 project, did you ever communicate with anyone from
- 8 State Street Bank & Trust Company?
- 9 A Not that I -- I recall, no.
- 10 Q Okay. And I understand seven years ago is a
- 11 long time.
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q I'd have a hard time remembering myself so
- 14 I -- believe me, I understand.
- 15 A I couldn't even -- I couldn't even tell you
- 16 what I had for dinner last night so how about that.
- 17 Q I'm with you.
- 18 So now I just -- I have some questions I'd
- 19 like to ask you sort of about industry standards and
- 20 some definitions and words that have been thrown
- 21 around in the documents in this case.
- 22 What is your understanding of what is -- how
- 23 is it different to lay the foundation for a wind
- 24 turbine versus the foundation for just a building?
- 25 A They're a lot different. To support that

Professional Reporters

- 1 tower, it's almost in a conical shape, if you will,
- 2 like so, and it's -- in its circumference it's about
- 3 60 to 80 feet in width all the way around and it comes
- 4 up and it's got the pedestal that comes up with the
- 5 bolt hole sticking through the top of your foundation
- 6 which are in sync and in line with the flange plate
- 7 that fits over it and that's in the base of your
- 8 turbine, but it's nothing like a foundation for a
- 9 building or anything like that.
- 10 Q And is it the case that, I think what we're
- 11 referring to or I guess how -- how would you describe
- 12 or define backfill?
- 13 A It's material that we use to backfill the --
- 14 the foundation, to get the correct compaction around
- 15 it for the structural integrity of that foundation as
- 16 well, stops your movement this way and this way
- 17 (indicating).
- 18 **Q** I see.
- And so in terms of structural integrity, is
- it true that without the backfill, the wind turbine
- 21 would not be able to remain standing upright?
- 22 A Right. Exactly. I wouldn't want to try it.
- 23 **Q Yeah.**
- 24 And -- and what is a borrow pit?
- 25 A I'm sorry, I didn't catch all that.

0 1 No worries. I said how would you define a borrow pit? 2. 3 Material where I could take material out of Α and use for around the project. 4 5 Q And is it typical to have a borrow pit on 6 site during the construction of a wind farm? 7 Α It's always a nicety. It --Do you -- oh, go ahead. 8 It will save you a lot of costs in trucking if it -- if it was right there on site. 10 11 Q Is that something that you would normally expect the -- the subcontractor, for instance in this 12 13 case IEA, to create as a part of their work in -- in constructing the wind farm? 14 15 Α I think it has to be designated ahead No. 16 of time, the borrow areas on the project site. 17 Q Do you know, were there borrow pits used or 18 created for -- for this construction, for the 19 construction of the Osage Wind farm? 20 Α No. 21 Q Do you --2.2 Α I --23 0 Go ahead. 24 -- I don't think we had one. Α 25 Was that a decision -- was the decision to Q

Professional Reporters

- not have one a decision that you participated in or
 was that made by other folks?
- 3 A That was made by others in 2013 or 2010, I
- 4 have no idea.
- 5 Q Do you know who at -- at EGPNA would have
- 6 made that decision to not have a borrow pit?
- 7 A No, I don't.
- 8 Q Do you know whether (audio glitch-inaudible)
- 9 a plan -- a construction plan is to have a borrow pit
- 10 on site?
- 11 A I caught half of that. The first half broke
- 12 up.
- 13 **Q** Sorry.
- 14 A That's okay.
- 15 Q Yeah, it's telling me my internet is
- 16 unstable for some reason.
- Do you -- do you know whether initially the
- 18 plan was for there to be a borrow pit on site at the
- 19 Osage Wind farm?
- 20 A No, I don't.
- 21 O Okay. And what does it mean to balance a
- 22 construction site?
- 23 A It's your cut and fill areas. You want to
- 24 make sure that you balance the site in that regard so
- 25 you have enough material to fill the voids to lower

- 1 depressed areas so you fill them up, so it's more or
- 2 less a -- a suitable roadway, et cetera, that you can
- 3 use in cut and filling your material.
- 4 Q Okay. So let's see. I'm going to go ahead
- 5 and show you an exhibit. Let's see if I can share my
- 6 screen. And so this has already been entered into the
- 7 record as Exhibit 37. I will note that it's Bates
- 8 stamped Osage Wind-024749, and this is an e-mail from
- 9 Joan Heredia on May 22, 2014, and I will note that it
- 10 looks like -- let's see here. I'm actually not sure
- if you were copied on this.
- 12 (Exhibit 37 Marked for Identification)
- 13 A Doesn't look like it.
- 14 Q Doesn't look like it. Okay.
- In any event, I'm going to read to you a
- 16 couple lines from this May -- this earlier e-mail from
- 17 May 22, 2014, from Aaron Weigel to Ron, Mike, and
- 18 Craig, which I -- I would assume is Craig Mazurowski
- 19 and Ron Ritter, but I'm -- I'm -- you know, I'm not
- 20 sure.
- A Mmm-hmm.
- 22 Q He writes "It is my understanding that the
- 23 sieve analysis is on aggregate that's coming from the
- 24 quarry, but as Joan suggests below, please confirm
- 25 that's the case. It is very important that we not

Professional Reporters

- 1 remove ANY soil from the project site or use site
- 2 materials in lieu of materials we would typically buy
- off site in developing a wind project. Osage Nation
- 4 has mineral rights for the project lands and removal
- 5 of soil especially for commercial gain could
- 6 constitute mining."
- 7 Is that -- so I'm just reading there from
- 8 Aaron's e-mail. Is that -- does Aaron's statement
- 9 here, is that in accordance or does that agree with
- 10 your understanding of what the restrictions were at
- 11 the time of construction of the Osage Wind farm?
- MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 14 Q (By Ms. Nagle) And I know you're not copied
- on this e-mail, but did -- did anyone ever communicate
- 16 that to you?
- 17 A Communicated it, yes. Yes, they have.
- 18 Q Do you remember -- oh, sorry. Go ahead.
- 19 A Yeah, it -- it was Bill Price who -- who
- 20 told me that. He wanted to make sure that I knew that
- 21 I was not to remove any materials from site in any
- 22 manner or form. I knew that.
- 23 O And did Bill Price tell you that we -- that
- 24 you were also not to use site materials in lieu of
- 25 materials that could be bought or purchased off site?

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

- 1 Α No, he did not. He did not tell you that. Okay. 2. 3 Now, further on down in this e-mail from 4 Aaron Weigel, he writes "Please make sure this message 5 is widely communicated to any subcontractors working 6 on the project." 7 Do you recall anyone at EGPNA asking you to communicate, make sure that the folks at IEA 8 9 understood the message that Aaron Weigel is sharing 10 here in this e-mail? 11 Α No. 12 Do you have any memory of anyone at 13 EGPNA, other than Bill Price, communicating to you that it was important to not use the site materials in 14 15 lieu of materials that could be purchased off site? 16 Α No. 17 0 Okay. Now, I think earlier you did mention 18 that one of the restrictions you understood at the 19 time was that you were not allowed to take site 20 materials and -- and move them across the wind farm and use them elsewhere. 21 2.2 Can you explain to me -- is that -- is that 23 a correct understanding of what you understood to be
 - A Yes, it -- all the material that we, say,

the limitation at the time?

24

25

- 1 excavated from the turbine site or that particular
- 2 turbine site had to remain right there. I couldn't
- 3 use that material any place on the job site other than
- 4 that hole.
- 5 Q So your understanding was you -- you -- you
- 6 could take the minerals out of the ground, but you had
- 7 to put them right back where you got them; is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Was your understanding that it was
- 11 permissible for EGPNA to -- to do that and crush the
- 12 materials before putting them back in the ground?
- 13 A I caught a little bit of that but I didn't
- 14 really understand --
- 15 **Q** Sure.
- 16 A -- the last part.
- 17 Q So I guess let me rephrase and ask a better
- 18 question.
- 19 Did anyone ever express to you, anyone from
- 20 EGPNA ever express to you any limitations on rock
- 21 crushing?
- 22 A No.
- Q Okay. Let's see here. Okay. So I'm now
- 24 going to show you a different document so if you'll
- 25 give me just a second to pull that up. I'm going to

- look at what has been previously marked as Exhibit 8
- in this litigation, and this is Osage -- oh, I'm
- 3 sorry, yeah, no, this is -- it just doesn't -- for
- 4 some reason this version doesn't seem to have the
- 5 reporter's stamp on it, but I can represent to you
- 6 this is Exhibit 8 from the fall deposition and it's
- 7 Bates stamped Osage Wind Priv-000089, and this is a
- 8 May 15th e-mail from Joan Heredia.
- 9 Now, do you -- and I -- I see that it
- doesn't look like you're copied on this e-mail either.
- 11 (Exhibit 8 Marked for Identification)
- 12 A Mmm-hmm.
- 13 Q Do you know who Daren Daters is,
- 14 **D-A-T-E-R-S?**
- 15 A Yes, he's -- he's another environmentalist
- 16 that works along with Joe -- Joan Heredia.
- 17 Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of
- 18 what his job duties and responsibilities were in
- 19 relation to the Osage Wind farm?
- 20 A He was -- he was there to ensure that we had
- 21 our silt control all in place throughout the job site
- 22 and that it was done properly.
- Q Okay. And so I note for you here that --
- 24 that Joan also writes "...it is very important that we
- 25 not remove ANY soil from the project site or use site

Professional Reporters

- 1 materials in lieu of materials we would typically buy
- off site in developing a wind project. Osage Nation
- 3 has mineral rights for the project lands and removal
- 4 of soil especially for commercial gain could
- 5 constitute mining."
- Does it -- does this sound familiar to you,
- 7 this -- this statement and this understanding of what
- 8 was permissible and what was not?
- 9 MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Partially, yes, but, like I
- 11 said, I'm not familiar with this one, with all... any
- 12 soils from the site and I never heard of using the
- 13 materials in lieu of materials from -- we had
- 14 typically bought off site. I've -- I've never heard
- 15 that before.
- 16 Q (By Ms. Nagle) Okay. And did you -- did
- 17 Joan Heredia ever communicate directly with you about
- 18 any limitations in constructing the Osage Wind farm?
- 19 A She visited the job site I believe once and
- 20 we had a conversation basically in regards to this.
- 21 She asked me if I was removing any of the material off
- 22 site and I said no. She basically said, well, good.
- 23 **O Mmm-hmm.**
- 24 Do you -- do you recall when that visit was,
- 25 what month it would have been?

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

www.proreporters.com

1 Α No, ma'am, I don't. That's fine. 2. Q Okay. 3 Did Joan, Bill Price, or anyone else at 4 EGPNA ever inform you that they had a legal memorandum 5 from their attorneys telling them that it was fine to -- to -- to use these materials on site as backfill 6 7 for the wind turbines --8 MR. RAY: Object. 9 (By Ms. Nagle) -- without getting a permit Q 10 from Osage Nation? 11 I'm not -- I'm not sure. Α 12 0 Okay. 13 Α I don't recall anything like that. 14 So you don't recall ever hearing about a Q 15 legal memorandum that stated that EGPNA's construction at the Osage Wind farm was perfectly legal and did not 16 trigger the need for a mining permit; is that correct? 17 18 MR. RAY: Object to form. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. 20 (By Ms. Nagle) Did you ever have any conversations with anyone at EGPNA about getting a --21 22 a mining permit or lease from the Osage Nation? 23 Yes, I did, and that was with Steve Α 24 Champagne, and basically I was told that it was -- the 25 site didn't require one.

1 0 And what -- what explanation did Steve Champagne give you for that determination, that one 2 3 was not needed? 4 Yeah, he didn't -- he didn't elaborate on Α 5 He was just very short and to the point and that 6 was that. 7 Q Okay. Do you recall when he communicated that there would be no need for a permit to you? 8 9 No, I really don't. Α Do you recall if -- like, did -- did 10 Q Okay. 11 anyone from EGPNA ever inform you that Osage Nation 12 had taken the position that a permit was required? 13 Α No. 14 So I am going to show you another Q Okay. 15 document, and let me just pull that up and this one 16 has also previously been entered as an exhibit in a 17 previous deposition, so this is Exhibit 53 and it is 18 Bates stamped IEA-00227119, and see here, it does look 19 like you -- you are a recipient of this e-mail. The 20 e-mail is dated July 9, 2014, and it's from Ron 21 Ritter. 2.2 Do you recall who Ron Ritter was? 23 (Exhibit 53 Marked for Identification) 24 He -- he started out the project prior to Α 25 Craig Mazurowski coming on site.

1 0 And was he with IEA? 2. Α Yes, he was. 3 Okay. And it looks like below, we've got 0 4 this -- at the very bottom we've got this e-mail here 5 from Brian Jensen. 6 Do you recall who Brian Jensen was? 7 Α Yes, he worked for Tradewinds. In what capacity, I'm -- I'm not really sure. 8 9 Okay. Did you ever interact with him while Q working on the Osage Wind farm? 10 11 I might have talked to him a couple of Α 12 times. Nothing pertinent but... 13 Q Sure. He writes here in his July 9, 2014, e-mail, that "And as we have discussed in the past, we 14 15 will not be able to transport fill from one part of 16 the project to another due to Osage Nation mining 17 laws." 18 Α Right. 19 Does that conform with your understanding of 0 20 what some of the limitations were at the time of 21 construction? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 And let's see here. Let me keep 0 Okay. 24 going. What was your understanding, though -- you 25 told me that Steve Champagne had -- had told you that

Professional Reporters

1 there would not be any need for a permit. 2. Was it your understanding that that was a 3 determination made by EGPNA as opposed to IEA? 4 Α Say that one more time. 5 Sure. So I guess let me ask a better Q 6 question. 7 Do you recall whether IEA had -- had the authority to determine whether or not a mining permit 8 9 was necessary for this project, or was that a decision 10 made by EGPNA? 11 MR. RAY: Object to form. 12 THE WITNESS: I -- I think it was Steve 13 Champagne that -- Champagne that made that 14 determination. 15 (By Ms. Nagle) Okay. And so let's see. Q So 16 Still looking at this document, going back 17 down here, so if we're looking at this July 9, 2014, 18 e-mail again from Brian, he says "How much fill are 19 you anticipating hauling into the site? Will this be 20 a change order as the construction plans initially had a borrow on project site which is no longer a viable 21 2.2 method?" 23 I know I asked you earlier and you said you 24 did not recall whether or not the construction plans

initially entertained the idea or planned for having a

25

- 1 borrow pit on site.
- 2 Does this help jog your memory at all or do
- 3 you still not recall?
- 4 A No, I don't recall that.
- 5 Q Okay. And do you recall any conversations
- 6 around the fact that a borrow pit was no longer a
- 7 viable method?
- 8 A Not really, no.
- 9 Q Okay. All right. So let's see. I'm going
- 10 to take a look at a different document now so give me
- 11 just a second to find this. I'm trying to do this all
- 12 digitally is a -- is a new -- a new thing here. Okay.
- 13 So I think I've pulled it up. So I -- I believe that
- 14 we are on Exhibit 66 now, if I look at my notes real
- 15 quick. Yeah, I think that Mr. Ashworth ended on 65 so
- 16 I'll introduce this document as Exhibit 66, and I will
- 17 note for the record it is Bates stamped IEA-00095047.
- 18 And it looks like this says here these are meeting
- 19 notes, excuse me, from June 26, 2014.
- It states here that participants include
- 21 Bill Maluska.
- 22 Do you -- do you think that is supposed to
- 23 refer to you and that it might be a typo?
- 24 (Exhibit 66 Marked for Identification)
- 25 A Yes.

Professional Reporters

- 1 Q Okay. That was my thought too. And it
- 2 lists the other folks here.
- 3 Do you recall this meeting on or around
- 4 June 26, 2014?
- 5 A No, ma'am.
- 6 Q Okay. Is this group here of folks that are
- 7 listed, Ron Ritter, Andrew Landoll, Justin Larson,
- 8 Craig Mazurowski, Mike Welch, Randy Gardner, Giovanni
- 9 Nicoletti, Giuseppe DiMarzio, Bill Moskaluk, and Brian
- 10 Jensen, is that a group -- would you all meet
- 11 routinely or was this more of just a spontaneous not
- 12 recurring meeting that took place?
- 13 A Actually, I think this has to pertain to a
- 14 daily report and it was just informing everybody where
- they were, "No safety issues and no rain today,"
- 16 just -- just an informative document.
- 17 Q Okay. Okay. And if we look down a little
- 18 further, we see on this page it lists action items.
- 19 A Mmm-hmm.
- 20 Q And some things are crossed out, but I see
- 21 here it refers to earthwork balance confirmation for
- 22 mitigation of Osage mining permit risk, and then in
- 23 parenthesis it says Jacob.
- 24 Do you -- do you know whether Jacob refers
- 25 to Jacob Valentine?

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

www.proreporters.com

1 Α I have no idea who Jacob --2. Q No idea. Okay. 3 Α No. 4 So you're not sure sitting -- and I realize Q 5 this was seven years ago, so sitting here today, 6 you're not sure who Jacob was? 7 Α Correct. Okay. What was your understanding at the 8 0 time in June of 2014 of what -- what -- what was meant by Osage mining permit risk? 10 11 I'm not really sure. Α 12 0 Okay. Do you -- do you recall anyone ever 13 discussing the Osage mining permit risk? 14 No, just -- just what I was told, that the Α 15 permit was not necessary by Steve Champagne was the 16 only... 17 Q Okay. 18 I think there were other conversations after 19 I think I might have placed a call in to 20 Giuseppe and also Bill Price on that matter as well. 21 Did -- after having that conversation with 0 2.2 Steve Champagne about how a permit would not be 23 necessary, did anyone ever give you instructions on how to continue with the project and avoid the risk of 24

having to get a permit?

25

- 1 Α I know I was told to continue on with what I 2. was doing. And was -- were those instructions 3 Q Okay. coming from your supervisors at Enel as opposed to 4 5 IEA? 6 Α No, that was coming from Steve Champagne. 7 Q Okay. So -- so this is -- so let me actually go back just for a moment here to Exhibit 53, 8 9 which we were looking at just a few moments ago, so 10 I've already shown you this document; I'm just going 11 back to it. 12 Here, if we look at Ron Ritter's e-mail, 13 kind of here to -- to Brian Jensen, Randy Gardner, and to Jacob Valentine, Ron writes -- let's see if I can 14 15 find where I am. Okay. Now of course I've -- I'm 16 Oh, sorry. I need to go all the way to the 17 top. Here we go. Okay. My apologies. I want to 18 look at this e-mail from Ron Ritter. July 9, 2014, 19 and you are -- you are a recipient I see here. 20 Α Yes. Ron -- Ron writes "It would not be practical 21 0 2.2 for us to perform this work with imported fill at no 23 extra cost if the original scope was for us to be
 - **Professional Reporters**

Does that statement from Ron, is that in

allowed to mine onsite fill."

24

25

1 agreement with what your understanding was at the time, in the summer of 2014? 2. 3 Α Yes, I agree with it. 4 0 Okay. And -- okay. Great. 5 Do you -- do you know whether the original scope was for the IEA team to be allowed to mine on 6 site as -- as Ron states here? 7 8 No, I don't. Α 9 Okay. But it is your understanding that 0 originally the plan was for them to fill the -- the 10 back -- use backfill from the construction site on the 11 12 wind farm; is that correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 Do you recall at any point in time, was Q 15 there a decision ever made to start importing the 16 backfill instead and purchasing those materials off 17 site? 18 Α I don't recall that. 19 Q Okay. 20 No, wait a second. I think there was the opportunity for everybody to put together a cost 21 22 estimate, but to my knowledge, it didn't go any 23 further.

Professional Reporters

Okay. Do you recall reviewing the cost

24

25

0

estimate?

-800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

- 1 A No, I don't.
- Q Okay. Did anyone ask you to assist in
- 3 preparing the cost estimate?
- 4 A Yes, Giuseppe had asked me. Hold on now.
- 5 I'm not sure what it was, what estimate I did. I
- 6 think it was on manhours or something of that nature,
- 7 not -- not materials.
- 8 Q Okay. And would that have been -- well, let
- 9 me -- let me actually ask this way:
- 10 If you -- if -- if EGPNA and IEA had had to
- import backfill for the wind farm off site onto the
- 12 site, would that have increased the number of hours
- of -- in terms of just manpower and labor to do that?
- 14 A I'm not really sure. If it was -- if it was
- in the contract originally, there wouldn't be any
- 16 additional cost factors in it so...
- 17 Q Mmm-hmm.
- 18 A I'm unsure.
- 19 Q Okay. All right. Let me move on to another
- 20 exhibit, and this was previously entered as Exhibit 55
- 21 in another deposition, and -- and so -- okay. Here we
- 22 go. So let's see here, this looks like it is dated --
- 23 well, first of all, I will say this is -- this is
- 24 Exhibit 55. It's Bates stamped Osage Wind-019901 and
- 25 it looks like at the bottom here we've got an e-mail

- 1 from Craig Mazurowski to you, CCing Chris Hanson and
- 2 Ron Ritter, dated September 2, 2014, Craig writes
- 3 "Bill, in the past we have had a couple conversations
- 4 regarding crushing rock on site. It is my
- 5 understanding that Enel/Tradewinds does not want any
- 6 crushing on site due to mineral right issues. Please
- 7 confirm. Thanks."
- 8 And you write back "Craig, let's discuss
- 9 this a.m. Bill."
- 10 Do you recall this e-mail exchange in
- 11 September of 2014 with Craig Mazurowski?
- 12 (Exhibit 55 Marked for Identification)
- 13 A Vaguely, but I do remember -- whatever the
- 14 outcome was, I -- I can't really remember, but I
- 15 vaguely remember this e-mail, yes.
- Okay. Do you recall much -- well, do you
- 17 know first -- did you end up having this conversation
- 18 with Craig?
- 19 A I'm not even certain about that either.
- Q Okay. That's fine. I -- I know it was a
- 21 long time ago.
- 22 When he writes "In the past we've had a
- 23 couple conversations regarding crushing rock on site,"
- 24 do you -- do you recall what those conversations were
- 25 about specifically?

Professional Reporters 800,376,1006

1 Α No. He also writes "It is my 2. Okay. 3 understanding that Enel/Tradewinds does not want any 4 crushing on site due to mineral -- mineral right 5 issues." Do you recall anyone from Enel or Tradewind 6 7 saying something to you along those lines? 8 They might have said something to Craig. Α 9 That -- that, I don't know. 10 Do you recall anyone like Bill Price Okay. Q or Steve Champagne ever mentioning to you that there 11 12 should not be crushing on site due to mineral right 13 issues? 14 Α No. 15 Okay. So you -- you really don't recall Q ever kind of hearing any messaging around we need to 16 17 stop crushing due to mineral right issues? 18 Α No. 19 And it is true that actually crushing 0 20 continued after September 3, 2014, on the Osage Wind 21 farm; is that correct? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 Do you recall whether the Bureau of 0 Okay. Indian Affairs ever communicated to EGPNA that 24 25 crushing minerals on the Osage Wind farm would require

- 1 a lease or permit?
- 2. In that letter that was presented to me, I
- 3 believe that's when they told Enel or whomever and
- 4 that was a sandy soil permit.
- 5 Okay. And do you recall reviewing that Q
- 6 letter when -- when it was received by EGPNA?
- 7 Α No, I -- I read it briefly and passed it on
- to Giuseppe DiMarzio and also Bill Price. 8
- 9 Okay. And did they, after that, ask you to Q
- 10 tell IEA to cease construction while they reviewed the
- 11 letter or considered the legal issues, or were you
- ever asked to even pause on construction? 12
- 13 Α No.
- 14 Q Did they inform you at that time that there
- 15 would be no need to pause on construction because they
- 16 had a legal memorandum that -- that explained that the
- 17 BIA was wrong?
- 18 Α No.
- 19 0 Okay. So let's -- I'm going to -- we
- 20 don't -- I don't think we need to look at this
- 21 document anymore. I'm actually going to show you
- 2.2 another document. Here we go. Okay. And this one
- 23 was previously entered as Exhibit 56. Here's its
- 24 stamp and it's -- it's Bates stamped Osage
- 25 Wind-018666. And this looks like an e-mail to -- from

Professional Reporters

www.proreporters.com

1 you to Giuseppe, dated September 16, 2014. Do you happen to recall this e-mail? I'll 2. 3 give you a chance to look at it. 4 (Exhibit 56 Marked for Identification) 5 It's basically giving Giuseppe an update on Α 6 where we were at. It was like a daily report. 7 Report. And was Giuseppe your direct supervisor or 8 0 9 would that be more Bill Price or was it a combo of 10 both? 11 More of a Bill Price. Α 12 Okay. So I note here that you write 0 13 "Running into rock conditions affecting the 14 operation." 15 What exactly did you mean by that? 16 Means I couldn't dig the hole. 17 0 Okay. And so I note that sort of in this --18 this e-mail you mention having to -- let me see if 19 I -- you know, those areas will be charged and shot. 20 What -- what does it mean to -- and I may not get the lingo right, to -- to charge those areas 21 2.2 or to shoot them, what is that referring to? 23 It's referring to the dyn -- dynamite charge Α

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

that you put in the drilled hole and then you

basically set it off.

24

25

www.proreporters.com

1 0 Mmm-hmm. And you shoot your foundations so it's just 2. 3 a construction term that we use. 4 Q Mmm-hmm. Mmm-hmm. Mmm-hmm. 5 I note here that -- and -- and -- and so was 6 some of that not a part of the initial plan or design 7 or it wasn't fully anticipated when you commenced construction? 8 9 Yeah, it was -- it was not -- it was not part of the plan initially and, like I said, all of us 10 11 were under the impression that the geotech report was true in its findings of the types of soil, but it 12 13 wasn't -- it didn't even reflect the correct soils that were in there so somebody got mixed up. 14 15 Q I see. 16 And you write here as of September 16 "Falling behind with excavations due to rock." 17 18 Α Yes. 19 At current -- do you know how far behind you 0 20 would have been from the projected schedule at that 21 time? 2.2 Α One or two of the foundations, I believe, 23 were at -- at risk. We had to complete them at a 24 certain time for GE to bring their turbines in, and 25 that's what we based -- that's what they based the

- 1 initial schedule on, was the delivery of turbines. I
- 2 had to have some place to put them.
- 3 Q Mmm-hmm.
- 4 A Without any -- you know, without any
- 5 construction activity going around.
- 6 Q Right. Okay.
- 7 And you write here "At current rate, I don't
- 8 know if they can meet GE delivery time frames."
- 9 So is it correct to say that at that point
- 10 in time, in mid September, if there were any further
- 11 delays or pauses on construction, Enel would not be
- 12 able to meet GE's delivery time frame? Is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 A Yeah, they couldn't figure out what we were
- 15 going to do at the time, and that was just a little
- 16 nudge on my part to have them make a decision on which
- 17 way to go.
- 18 Q Did they ever get back to you in response to
- 19 this with a decision?
- 20 A I'm not really sure.
- 21 O Okay. All right. I think -- I think that
- 22 is enough with this document and so I am going to now
- 23 move on to another document. Here we go. And I
- 24 believe this one has not yet been introduced so this
- is going to be Exhibit 67 and it is Bates stamped

1 Osage Wind Priv-000094. And I will note that this is an e-mail from 2. 3 Joan Heredia to -- to many folks, including yourself, 4 dated September 30, 2014. 5 I'll give you a chance to look at it. 6 Do you recall this e-mail at all? 7 (Exhibit 67 Marked for Identification) Α 8 No. 9 I see in this -- in the very top line 0 e-mail to Giuseppe, Joan writes on September 30, 10 11 "Giuseppe, we need to act with an abundance of 12 caution. We should not be using materials at the site 13 that would be otherwise commercially available. 14 understood backfill would come from an offsite 15 quarry." 16 Was your understanding, while working on the 17 Osage Wind farm, the same as Joan's here in this 18 e-mail? 19 No, it was not. I didn't know that Α 20 initially it was set up for backfill to come from an offsite quarry. I did not know that. I -- I think 21 2.2 all that changed. I'm not really sure. 23 So -- so -- okay. 0 Okay. 24 Maybe Joan had some other information that I Α 25 didn't have but...

	214
1	Q Mmm-hmm.
2	A I don't know.
3	Q Okay. So this so this e-mail from Joan
4	would be in conflict with with the instructions you
5	received in in doing your work on this site?
6	A Yes.
7	MR. RAY: Object to form.
8	Q (By Ms. Nagle) Okay. She also writes
9	"Please do not crush rock further until we have had a
10	chance to discuss."
11	Do you recall after this e-mail was sent on
12	September 30th whether you-all stopped crushing rock
13	on on the site for any period of time?
14	A I don't believe we did, no.
15	Q Okay. Do you recall, were you a part of any
16	discussions in response to this e-mail from Joan,
17	discussions that may have taken place about whether or
18	not to stop crushing rock?
19	A No.
20	Q Okay. Were you aware of any conversations
21	of that nature taking place?
22	A Yeah, they were going back and forth on it
23	and basically it was that's that's a management
24	decision up there and I didn't have any input on it.
25	Q Was that something that Steve Champagne

Professional Reporters 800,376,1006

1 would have been involved in? 2. Α Yes. 3 And -- and did Steve Champagne ever explain 0 4 to you Enel's reasoning or rationale behind his 5 decision to not stop crushing rock? 6 Α No. 7 Q Okay. All right. Let's -- I think we're 8 finished with this document. Moving right on, so this 9 will be, I believe, Exhibit 68 and I note for the 10 record that Exhibit 68 is Bates stamped Osage Wind 11 Priv-000165. It's an e-mail with the subject line 12 "Osage - Bureau of Indian Affairs." 13 And have you seen this e-mail exchange 14 I will note it looks like you're copied here before? 15 at the top. 16 (Exhibit 68 Marked for Identification) 17 Α Vaguely I remember it, yes. 18 Q Okay. It looks like it's dated 19 September 30, 2014, and Bill Price writes -- I'm 20 sorry, Steve Champagne writes "Agree with Bill that it's okay to continue excavating but hold off on the 21 2.2 crushing for now." 23 Does this refresh your memory, do you recall 24 ever being instructed to stop the crushing on site? 25 Α No.

1 0 And I -- I think just a little bit Okay. further down on September 30th, Bill Price writes here 2 "The large rocks removed from the excavation works is 3 4 being crushed and reused for backfill. This is normal 5 as we do not want to dispose of the large excavated 6 rocks (possibly would then be considered mining) and 7 cannot use large rocks for backfill. We are basically putting them back where we removed them - just in 8 smaller pieces." 9 10 Α Yes. 11 Does that -- is that -- does that sound like 0 12 what your -- your understanding of what the 13 construction process was at the time? 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. Did you at the time or do you now Q 16 have an understanding of why disposal of large excavated rocks would be considered mining? 17 18 Α No, I don't. 19 That's fine. 0 Okay. Bill also writes "We are expecting to be a 20 week delayed on GE WTG deliveries and any further 21 2.2 stoppages will..... you get the picture." 23 Do you know what WT -- I know what GE -- I 24 would assume GE means General Electric. 25 Do you know what WTG stands for?

Professional Reporters

-800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

1 Α Wind turbines themself. Okay. And does this align with your 2. understanding at the time that there could be 3 4 significant consequences to Enel if they were to delay 5 construction of the wind turbines any further? 6 Α Yes. 7 0 Okay. Let's see here. Could we take a break -- could we take a 8 Α break for about five minutes? 9 10 MS. NAGLE: Absolutely. Absolutely. And just so everyone knows, I'm getting a lot closer to 11 the end but not -- not -- I still have a little bit 12 13 more to go, but I know it's been a long day so let's take five and then I'm hoping, like, 30 minutes more 14 15 and I'm done so... 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record at 17 3:29 p.m. 18 (A recess was taken from 3:29-3:34 p.m.) 19 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 20 3:34 p.m. 21 0 (By Ms. Nagle) Okay. So let's see. We were 2.2 looking at Exhibit 68 and I think that -- I think that 23 I don't -- do not have any more questions for Exhibit 24 68 at this time so let me look through my notes here. 25 I think -- so I think earlier we -- we

Professional Reporters

- 1 did -- we -- we looked at some e-mails about possibly
- 2 bringing in fill from off site to use as backfill for
- 3 the wind turbines.
- 4 Do you recall why that option was not
- 5 pursued?
- 6 A No, I don't.
- 7 Q Okay. Do you recall any discussions with
- 8 anyone at EGPNA about the option of purchasing
- 9 backfill off site?
- 10 A I do, but I'm not sure what the final
- 11 outcome was. I don't think we ever bought any
- 12 material off site to bring to site, and that's what I
- 13 know.
- Q Okay. Okay. And let's see here. Do you --
- 15 all right. I'm going to now show you what has
- 16 previously been marked as Exhibit 38 in this
- 17 litigation, and this is Bates stamped Osage Wind
- 18 Priv-000243, and it is a October 9, 2014, letter from
- 19 superintendent Robin Phillips to Francesco Venturini
- 20 and, Mr. Moskaluk, do you recall ever having reviewed
- 21 this letter or seen it in the past?
- 22 A Yes, this is -- this is the letter that,
- 23 like -- like I said earlier, I can't remember the
- 24 gentleman's name that actually handed it to me. It
- 25 was either Frank Weir or White himself. I'm not --

- 1 I'm not really sure, but I took this letter and passed
- 2 it on to both Giuseppe and Bill Price at the time.
- 3 Q Okay. So you were the person actually on
- 4 site at the wind farm when the letter was hand
- 5 delivered?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Was that the first time that you were on
- 8 site at the wind farm when someone from the Bureau of
- 9 Indian Affairs showed up?
- 10 A No, they showed up once before as well.
- 11 Q Do you remember when that time before was?
- 12 A No, ma'am, I sure don't.
- Q Okay. Did you speak with that person from
- 14 the BIA when they showed up the previous time?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And do you recall what -- what that
- 17 conversation was about or what you-all discussed?
- 18 A I was basically taking them for a tour to
- 19 show them the job site and what we were doing, and if
- 20 he asked me any questions, I really don't remember,
- 21 but I'm sure -- I'm sure he did and I'm sure I gave
- 22 him some answers, but what those answers were, I -- I
- 23 really don't remember.
- 24 Q Okay. Fair enough.
- 25 And here it says that "You are to refrain

- 1 from any further excavation of minerals until such
- time that you have obtained a Sandy Soil permit
- 3 through the Osage Agency."
- 4 Do you recall after this letter any steps
- 5 being taken by you or anyone else at EGPNA to obtain a
- 6 sandy soil permit?
- 7 A I believe that they had, and I'm not sure
- 8 who was responsible for obtaining that permit, but
- 9 T -- T --
- 10 Q Mmm-hmm.
- 11 A -- I was led to believe that they were
- 12 trying to obtain it, yes.
- 13 Q And what -- do you understand what steps
- 14 were being taken to obtain that permit?
- 15 A No, I don't.
- 16 Q Did anyone communicate to you that -- that
- 17 excavation would be stopped to comply with this demand
- 18 from the BIA?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Okay. Do you know ultimately whether or not
- 21 EGPNA obtained the correct permit through the Osage
- 22 agency?
- 23 MR. RAY: Object to form.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if they -- they
- 25 had.

1 0 (By Ms. Nagle) Okay. So you just don't know 2. either way whether someone followed through on that or 3 not? 4 Α No. 5 Did you have an understanding at the time of 0 6 whose job at EGPNA it would have been to get this 7 permit? 8 Not really. Being new to the company, I Α really didn't know all the players and what their 9 specific roles were. I -- I could assume that it 10 would -- would have fallen underneath -- no, I -- I 11 12 don't know who -- who handled it for Enel. 13 Q Okay. And that's fine. 14 I am going to move on to our next Okay. 15 exhibit. And that is going to be, I believe, Exhibit 16 69, if that is where we are in the process, and this 17 Exhibit 69 is Defendants' Fifth Amended and 18 Supplemental Privilege Log, and so actually what I'm 19 going to be asking us to take a look at is on page 8, 20 one of the entries in defendants' privilege log in this litigation specifically Osage Wind Priv-000111 is 21 22 an undated entry, but it lists you here as the author 23 and states that "Bill Moskaluk handwritten notes 24 regarding the costs associated with halting excavation 25 at Project site as requested by Bill Scott, Esquire."

1 Do you know who Bill Scott is? (Exhibit 69 Marked for Identification) 2. 3 Α No. 4 Q Do you have any memory of -- of ever 5 communicating with him? 6 Α Not -- not really, I don't, no. 7 Q Okay. Do you -- oh, sorry, go ahead. Was he an Enel person? 8 Α 9 I actually don't know. So there we go. Q 10 Α Okay. 11 But and -- and I of course don't want you to 0 12 share any kind of privileged information that may have 13 come from an attorney to you, but in terms of just a business reason, do you -- do you recall what your 14 15 understanding was at the time of why you were being 16 asked to create notes, you know, about what the cost 17 would be for halting the excavation at the project 18 site? 19 MR. RAY: Object to form. 20 THE WITNESS: I -- I believe what this is 21 pertaining to, I think I was given the man-hours, the 2.2 rock crushers possibly, if we had halted the rental 23 and all that stuff from... I believe that's what it 24 was, but I'm not actually sure. 25 (By Ms. Nagle) Okay. And so you do at least Q

Professional Reporters

- 1 have some recollection of undertaking an analysis of
- 2 how much it would cost to stop construction; is that
- 3 correct?
- 4 A Yeah, I gave them some -- I gave them some
- 5 preliminary numbers, and I'm sure they discussed it
- 6 and added some numbers as well. I'm not really
- 7 100 percent sure.
- 8 Q And you wouldn't happen to recall those
- 9 numbers sitting here today, would you?
- 10 A No, ma'am, I don't.
- 11 Q Is it your recollection that at -- at this
- 12 time when you were preparing these notes, that -- that
- 13 Enel was at least considering halting construction,
- 14 that was, like, an option on the table?
- 15 A I was not aware of that.
- Okay. Do you recall who else may have been
- 17 asked to prepare these kinds of numbers or financial
- 18 data on the costs of halting construction?
- 19 A I believe Giuseppe was asked as well.
- Q Okay. And were the numbers that you were
- 21 crunching, was that really just in relation to
- 22 man-hours or were you looking at other things like the
- 23 cost of losing the contract with GE or anything else
- 24 like that?
- 25 A No, I just had to pertain to man-hours and

- 1 rental costs on the -- on the equipment being used.
- Q Okay. And this looks like October 17, 2014,
- 3 I'm looking at Osage Wind Priv-108 to 110, it looks
- 4 like this is e-mail to counsel and others forwarding
- 5 Bill Moskaluk construction cost information.
- 6 Does that -- does that refresh your memory
- 7 that you were asked to prepare these numbers sometime
- 8 after October 9, 2014?
- 9 A I'm not really sure of the dates, but it
- 10 was -- the requests came from Giuseppe to me.
- 11 Q Mmm-hmm.
- 12 A Right.
- 13 Q And -- and was the request after EGPNA
- 14 received the cease and desist letter from
- 15 superintendent Phillips?
- 16 A Oh, I couldn't -- I couldn't tell you that.
- 17 Q You're not sure. Okay.
- 18 A I am not sure.
- 19 **Q** Okay.
- 20 Did you have any understanding whether or
- 21 not EGPNA was asking for these numbers because they
- 22 had received this letter from superintendent Phillips?
- A No, not to my knowledge, no.
- 24 O Did you see them -- did you see this
- 25 number-crunching exercise at all -- as being at all

1 related to that letter from superintendent Phillips? 2. Α You broke up on that last one. 3 Q Sure. I was just wondering if you thought this 4 5 might at all be related -- at the time, did you have an understanding that the number crunching you were 6 7 being asked to do was at all related to the September 9 letter from superintendent Phillips? 8 9 MR. RAY: Object to form. 10 THE WITNESS: I'm not really sure at the I don't think I did think that it was in 11 time. relationship to that letter. I'm not really sure. 12 13 Q (By Ms. Nagle) Okay. That's fine. 14 All right. So I'm going to stop sharing 15 that, my screen on that document and move on to the 16 next document, and this document that I am introducing 17 will be Exhibit 70, and it's Bates stamped Osage 18 Wind-036433 and it's a document titled "Project Short 19 Views Update, "looks like it's dated October 17, 2014. 20 Are you at all familiar with this document 21 or does this -- do you remember documents that looked 2.2 like this? 23 (Exhibit 70 Marked for Identification) 24 I'm not familiar with this document at all, Α 25 but I -- I think it was a monthly report that went to

1 Italy management in Rome. And at this point in October of 2014, you 2. would have still been the site coordinator; is that 3 4 correct? 5 Α Yes. 6 If we look at page, let's go down to page, 7 let's see, 58 here. So -- so if we go to page ending in Bates stamp 36490 -- hold on. It might not be --8 I'm looking, actually, sorry, page 36491, here we go. 10 it looks like there's a list of different folks from 11 IEA who were involved in the project. 12 Did you have any direct communications with 13 any of these folks during the course of your work on the Osage Wind farm? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 What about Chris Hanson, were you in contact 0 17 with him? 18 Α Yes. 19 And Craig Mazurowski? 0 20 Α Yes. Is there anyone else on this list that --21 O 22 that you would have been routinely in contract[sic] 23 with that we haven't previously discussed? 24 I was in contact with Mike Welch, Kenny Α 25 Reck, Scott Beach, Robbie Lloyd, and Jerry Klemesten.

800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

And what was Mike Welch's role on the 1 0 Okay. Osage Wind farm project? 2 3 Mike Welch? Α 4 Q Yes. 5 Α I believe he was like the erection 6 superintendent. 7 Q And so what were his sort of responsibilities on the site? 8 9 Putting the towers, stacking the towers up with his --10 11 Okay. Okay. And was Mike Welch with IEA? Q 12 Α Yes. 13 Q Okay. What about Pat Ringler, do you know 14 who Pat Ringler was? 15 He's, like, the office manager. Α 16 Okay. And with IEA? 0 17 Α Yeah, I'm not really sure what his role was. 18 Q Okay. Fair enough. 19 Let's see here. I'm just seeing if I 20 really -- okay. I think that I have one last document 21 I would like to show you --2.2 Α Okay. 23 -- and then I will be finished with my questioning. So let me just pull up that document. 24 25 And this is a document that was previously entered as

Professional Reporters

- 1 an exhibit in Craig Mazurowski's deposition and it is
- 2 Exhibit 52, and for the record, I'll note it's Bates
- 3 stamped Osage Wind-035610.
- 4 Mr. Moskaluk, are you familiar with this,
- 5 what looks to be a contract between Osage Wind and
- 6 IEA, dated April 11, 2013?
- 7 (Exhibit 52 Marked for Identification)
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Okay. Do you recall ever receiving a copy
- 10 of this when you were working on the Osage Wind farm?
- 11 A I know I had requested but it was several
- 12 months before I had received it.
- 13 Q Okay. So you -- do you recall if you ever
- 14 actually received a copy of this contract while you
- were working on the wind farm?
- 16 A I was -- the contract itself with the
- 17 numbers in it and everything else like that was
- 18 reda -- redacted so I didn't really get to see the
- 19 numbers of the contract, et cetera, but as far as
- 20 scopes of work were concerned, I believe I had those,
- 21 yes.
- Q Okay. It looks like the -- this contract
- 23 was signed by David Bostwick for IEA and Rob Freeman
- 24 for Osage Wind.
- 25 Do you know who Rob Freeman is?

- 1 Α No, ma'am. Do you have any understanding of who 2. 3 at Osage Wind or at EGPNA worked on negotiating the 4 different provisions of this contract? 5 Α No, ma'am. 6 MS. NAGLE: Okay. Would you have -- okay. 7 Well, actually, I think -- you know what? I think 8 those -- I think those are all the questions that I 9 have and I thank you for your time and for -- and for 10 sitting through this with us today and I -- I am ready 11 to pass the witness so thank you, sir. 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am. 13 MR. RAY: We're -- we're going to take a 14 short break. We'll be back in ten minutes. 15 MS. NAGLE: Okay. 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record at
- 17 3:50 p.m.
- 18 (A recess was taken from 3:50-4:01 p.m.)
- 19 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at
- 20 4:01 p.m.
- 21 MR. RAY: The defendants will reserve
- 2.2 questioning for Mr. Moskaluk to the time of trial and
- 23 he will read and sign.
- 24 MR. ASHWORTH: I will say I have one, two,
- 25 two follow-up questions to M.K.'s, though. Sorry,

Professional Reporters

www.proreporters.com

- 1 Mary Katherine's questions.
- 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. ASHWORTH:
- 4 Q Sir, I just have two quick questions. The
- 5 first question is: Earlier you had indicated in
- 6 reference to the use of backfill and -- and -- and why
- 7 it was helpful for structural support, and you
- 8 indicated that it prevents the tower from doing -- you
- 9 said this and this.
- Just for the record, you're refer -- just
- 11 for the record, to clarify, the backfill structural
- 12 support prevents the tower to go -- from going side to
- 13 side; is that right?
- 14 A Yeah. It --
- 15 Q Or wobbling?
- 16 A -- it's to prevent the -- the tipping of the
- 17 tower in either direction.
- 18 Q Okay. I just wanted to make sure because
- 19 when we read the transcript, I just want to make sure
- 20 it's clear.
- 21 And then also, you had indicated that Enel
- 22 Green provided to you with documents that were -- that
- 23 they redacted, that you reviewed, and perhaps, how I
- 24 define redacted and how you may define redaction or
- 25 redacted may be different so what do you mean by

800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

```
"redacted"?
1
               It was blacked out.
 2.
 3
               Blacked out?
          Q
 4
          Α
               Yes.
 5
               So when Enel Green gave you certain
          Q
    documents, they made the conscious decision to black
 6
7
    out something before they gave it to you, another Enel
8
    Green employee?
9
               MR. RAY: Object to form.
10
               THE WITNESS: Somebody did, yes.
11
               MR. ASHWORTH: Okay. I have nothing
12
    further.
13
               MR. RAY: We'll reserve our questions for
14
    the time of trial. Mr. Moskaluk will read and sign.
15
               VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We are off the record
16
    at 4:03 p.m.
17
               (The deposition of BILL MOSKALUK was
18
    concluded at 4:03 p.m.)
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

1	JURAT
2	USA & Osage Minerals Council vs. Osage Wind LLC, et
3	al.
4	JOB No. 151136
5	I, BILL MOSKALUK, do hereby state under oath
6	that I have read the above and foregoing deposition in
7	its entirety and that the same is a full, true and
8	correct transcription of my testimony so given at said
9	time and place.
10	
11	
12	BILL MOSKALUK
13	
14	
15	Subscribed and sworn to before me, the
16	undersigned Notary Public in and for the State of
17	Oklahoma by said witness, BILL MOSKALUK, on this
18	, day of, 2021.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF OKLAHOMA
24	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:
25	(AER)

Professional Reporters 800.376.1006

1	ERRATA SHEET
2	USA & Osage Minerals Council vs. Osage Wind LLC, et
3	al.
4	DEPOSITION OF: BILL MOSKALUK
5	REPORTER: Abby Rhodes, CSR, RPR
6	TAKEN ON: JUNE 16, 2021
7	JOB NO.: 151136
8	PAGE LINE IS SHOULD BE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Professional Reporters

-800.376.1006 www.proreporters.com

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF OKLAHOMA)
3) SS:
4	COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA)
5	
6	I, Abby Rhodes, CSR, RPR, do hereby certify
7	that on June 16 2021 at the offices, via Zoom, there
8	came before me BILL MOSKALUK who was duly sworn to
9	testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
10	the truth; and that the foregoing pages constitute a
11	full, true, and correct transcript of the deposition
12	of said witness on the date as indicated.
13	I do further certify that I am not counsel,
14	attorney, or relative of either party, or otherwise
15	interested in the event of this suit.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
17	hand and affixed my seal at my office in Oklahoma City
18	Oklahoma County, Oklahoma, this 23rd day of June,
19	2021.
20	Work Modes
21	
22	Abby Rhodes, CSR, RPR
23	CSR No. 1939.
24	
25	

			L4	
	WORD INDEX	144 3:9	82:1 88:7 91:4	35 117: <i>15</i>
		147 3:17	114:10 130:18, 24	36490 175:8
	<\$>	14-CV-704-GFK-	131:18 140:9, 17	
	\$1,944,432 101:2	JFJ 1:7 5:6	147:20 148:13	37 3:10, 23 140:7,
	\$1.9 105:18	15 39:11 59:19	149:17 150:19	12
	•			1
	\$20 95:22	15,660 100: <i>17</i>	151:4 152:9	38 3:11 114:3, 6
	\$23,184 100:21, 25	150 4:6	153:18 154:2	167: <i>16</i>
	\$287 82: <i>3</i> 83:2 <i>4</i>	151136 181: <i>4</i>	156:2, 11 157:20	
	84:1 86:18 87:21	182:7	159: <i>1</i> 162: <i>4</i>	<4>
	99:2	156 3:18	164:19 167:18	4 98:3, 4 104:16
	\$34 94: <i>1</i>	1566 100: <i>18</i>	173:2, 8 174:19	4:01 178:20
	\$51 91:9	159 3:19	175:2	4:03 180: <i>16</i> , <i>18</i>
	\$54 88: <i>13</i>	15th 144:8	2015 99:20	40 100: <i>13</i>
	\$7,488 100: <i>16</i>	16 1: <i>13</i> 4: <i>18</i> 5: <i>7</i>	2017 18:7, 9	401 2:4, 8
		76:18 159:1	2021 1:13 4:18	46 3:13 75:14, 23
	<1>	160:16 182:6	5:7 181:18 182:6	48 3:14 98:2, 20
	1 89: <i>16</i> 91: <i>4</i>	183:7	183:7, 19	104:15 128:11
	1:12 80:12	162 4:7	21 80:2 82:1	480 100: <i>15</i>
	10 36:8	164 4:8	88:7	4th 16:4
	10 30.8 10/14/14 4:5	17 3:20 17:3	22 99: <i>19</i> 140: <i>9</i> ,	401 10.4
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<5>
	10/17/14 4: <i>11</i>	173:2 174:19	17	
	10/9/14 3: <i>11</i>	171 4:9	2200 2:4	5 67:24
	10:00 1: <i>13</i> 5: <i>7</i>	174 4:11	23rd 183:18	5/15/14 3:9
	100 44: <i>1</i> 172:7	1746 25:5 26:2,	24 3:21	5/22/14 3:10
	1000 2: <i>12</i>	13	25 34:7	5/22/15 4:3
	105 16: <i>4</i>	177 3: <i>15</i>	26 150: <i>19</i> 151: <i>4</i>	5:30 37:9
	106 4:4	179 3:6	27 126:5	500 2:12
	11 34:6 177:6	1939 183:23	28 25:5 26:2, 13	505.848.1800 2: <i>13</i>
	11:14 57:5		88:15	52 3: <i>15</i> 177:2, 7
	11:14-11:28 57:6	< 2 >	287 86:5 88:9	53 3:17 147:17,
	11:28 57:8	2 156:2	29 105:25	23 153:8
	11:30 38: <i>12</i>	2:22 127:2	2900 2:8	55 3:18 155:20,
	110 1:18 173:3	2:22-2:35 127: <i>3</i>		24 156:12
	113 4:5	2:35 127:5	<3>	56 3:19 158:23
	114 3:11	20 79:6 80:1	3 72:21 98:14	159:4
	12 16: <i>13</i> 34: <i>23</i> ,	81:22, 23 82:1	157:20	58 175:7
	24, 25 49:7 66:4,	97:7	3:00 38:23	59 3:20 17:5, 11
	5 72:20 78:21	20,000 97:7	3:29 166: <i>17</i>	0, 0,20 1,10,11
	100:8 117:4	200 76:20 80:4	3:29-3:34 166: <i>18</i>	<6>
	122:7	2000 75.25 55.7	3:34 166:20	6 3:3, 4 43:12, 15,
	12:03 80: <i>10</i>	2010 29:24 30:16	3:50 178: <i>17</i>	22
	12:03 80:10 12:03-1:12 80:11	31:11 139:3	3:50-4:01 178:18	6/26/14 4:6
				6:30 37:25
	1200 100:5, 8	2013 34:7, <i>13</i>	30 162:4, 10	
	127 3:5	139:3 177:6	164: <i>19</i> 166: <i>14</i>	60 3:21 23:19, 24
	13 16:11 35:1	2014 3:18, 19 4:4,	300 1:18	24:3 31:14 57:24
	14 16: <i>10</i> , <i>11</i>	7, 8 18:7 24:20	30th 163:12	100:12 137:3
	112:17	30:12 34:7 35:4,	165:2	61 3:23 36:23
- 1	7.40 2.10		- 19 AL (N.1. 1.2)	1 77 1

Professional Reporters

34 91:*13*

37:*1*

11 36:8, 14, 18

37:14 41:18 80:2

140 3:*10*

		14	
62 4:2 13:3	9/9/14 3:24	add 8:17 80:21	140:4 141:18
89: <i>17</i> , <i>18</i>	918.382.2700 1:19	100:18 127:13	171:7
63 4:3 99:7, 11	918.583.7571 2:9	added 89:4 172:6	Airport 7:13
64 4:4 105:24	918.936.4705 2:5	addition 66:18	al 5:4 181:3
106:4	94571 16:5	additional 126:10,	182: <i>3</i>
		1	
65 4:5 112:19	98 3:14	18 155:16	Albuquerque 2:12
113:2 114:20	99 4: <i>3</i>	address 16:3	Alexander 6:13,
150:15		22:11	21
66 4:6 150:14, 16,	<a>	admitted 75:13	alienation 33:12,
24	A.M 1:13 5:7	advance 70:24	14
660 100: <i>18</i>	37:8, 25 38:12	71:24 72:17	align 166:2
67 4:7 161:25	57:5, 6, 8 156:9	AER 181:25	Alignment 3:23
162:7	Aaron 140:17	Affairs 3:12	37:3
68 4:8 164:9, 10,	142:4, 9	22:17 113:10	all-day 37:6
<i>16</i> 166:22, 24	Aaron's 141:8	157:24 164:12	allegation 55:19
69 4:9 170:16, 17	Abby 1:25 4:19	168:9	allegations 54:17
171:2	13:8 45:21 182:5	affidavit 96:22	allow 63:2 64:5
	183:6, 22	affiliated 83:4	allowed 58:14
<7>	ability 20:6 57:13	affixed 183:17	60:7 67:17
7,488 100: <i>18</i>	able 41:3 59:8	afternoon 127:22	142:19 153:24
7/9/14 3: <i>17</i>	137:21 148:15	agency 113:10	154:6
7:00 37:7	161:12	114:16 169:3, 22	alluded 50:14
70 4: <i>11</i> 13: <i>4</i>	Absolutely 166:10	Agenda 3:24	alluding 27:7
174: <i>17</i> , <i>23</i>	abundance 162: <i>11</i>	36:22 37:2, 3	Amended 4:9
720 100: <i>16</i>	accelerated 72:24	38:6	170:17
74103 2:4, 9	access 62:7 63:17	aggregate 140:23	AMERICA 1:1, 9
74119 1: <i>18</i>	69:5	aggregates 43:24	5:3 17:23 18:3
75 3: <i>13</i>	accidentally 8:21	54:25 55:1	129:22
7th 1:18	account 17:16	ago 6:22 7:5, 7,	amount 40:19
	accurate 14:16	20 13:1 16:21	80:3 82:1 88:7
< 8 >	17:24 25:7 26:3,	17:17 26:24 55:5	101:10 119:22, 23
8 3:9 74:8 144: <i>1</i> ,	9 28:3 40:14	82:13, 17 103:17	121:2 122:9, 11
6, 11 170:19	84:1, 22 85:5	136:10 152:5	126:13 130:15
8,400 30: <i>1</i>	86:1 93:19 97:2	153:9 156:2 <i>1</i>	134:24
8:00 37:25	accurately 40:14	agree 45:3, 11	amounts 129:25
80 3:14 88:15	65:19	82:19 85:14	analysis 41:2
137:3	achieve 98:23	86:12 93:2	140:23 172:1
84 100:24 101:1	acres 30:1	109:19 126:16	Andrew 151:7
840 30: <i>1</i>	act 162:11	141:9 154:3	answer 8:10, 12,
87103 2: <i>12</i>	action 151:18	164:20	16, 21, 22 10:3, 8,
89 4:2	actions 84:25	agreement 4:17	14, 15 19:24
	97:20	48:14 52:21	21:17 22:22 48:7
<9>	activities 19:11	53:12, 13 92:3	52:24 69:17 70:1
9 24:17 37:14	20:7 29:12 35:12	154:1	76:16 83:17
114:10 147:20	102:2, 5 116:25	ahead 10:15 41:7,	103:18 112:4
148:13 149:17	activity 34:13, 18	9 48:9 56:19	answered 13:17
153:18 167:18	161:5	58:16 78:11	109:12
173:8 174:8	actual 119:10	107:24 126:1	
	106 14 101 11	120.0 15 22	

Professional Reporters

126:14 131:11 138:8, 15, 23

answers 33:7 57:13 103:20, 21 168:22 anticipate 9:9 anticipated 51:11 74:18 160:7 anticipating 149:19 **anxiety** 134:18 anybody 94:22 **anymore** 158:21 **anyway** 71:1 anyways 103:9 apologies 153:17 apparently 90:13, *17*, *20* 111:22 **appear** 23:25 **APPEARANCES** 1:14, 21 2:1 appears 17:7 29:15 35:9 37:6, *13* 38:5 **apply** 57:16 80:16 127:8 appropriate 32:25 92:7, 16 **approval** 74:15 approved 128:20, 23 approximately 29:25 34:23 76:20 88:13, 14 91:9. 12 **April** 177:6 **apron** 69:5 architecture 16:21 **area** 30:3 63:12 66:20 69:3 areas 44:1 126:5 138:16 139:23 140:1 159:19, 21 **as-built** 56:23 117:3, 20 118:7 119:25 **as-builts** 56:25 116:23, 24 117:15, *19*, *24* 118:*10*, *14*, *17*, *21*, *24* 119:2 120:14, 18, 21

214 **Ashworth** 1:15 3:4, 6 5:10 6:6, 7 10:10 12:17 13:7, *14*, *18* 20:*1* 21:23 22:25 23:9 26:16, 25 27:11, 24 28:22 31:3, 19, 24 32:18, 24 33:5, 25 34:5, 24 36:1 38:22 40:1, 12 42:4, 11 45:9, 20 46:1 47:2, 21 48:9 49:20 50:2, 11, 17 51:4, 16, 20 52:14, 25 53:6, 11, 22 54:7, 20 55:17 56:3, 9, 15, 24 57:9 59:7 60:10 61:22 62:9 63:3 64:10, 17 65:3, 11, 15, 18, 22, 25 66:23 67:15, 21 68:23 69:12, 19 70:2, 14 71:18 72:11 74:5, 7 76:1, 12, 18 77:9, 20 78:1 79:4, 13, *17*, *21*, *25* 80:*1*, *8*, *14* 81:7, *14*, *15*, *21*, 25 82:16 84:4, 9 85:2, 12 86:9, 17, 22 87:3, 10, 15 88:1, 22 89:6, 15, 21 90:1, 5 91:20 92:15, 24 93:12, 20 94:7, 12, 21 95:9, 17 96:2, 11 97:13 98:25 99:14, 18 100:3 101:8, 16 102:3 103:3, 13 104:10, 15, 17 105:16, 21 106:10, 11 107:11, *19* 108:24 109:*17*, 25 110:5 112:7 113:5 115:9 116:7, 16 118:4 120:4 121:14

124:9, 14, 20 125:7, 16, 22 126:21 127:6, 15, *18* 128:*10* 150:*15* 178:24 179:3 180:11 Ashworth's 128:1 **asked** 6:17 13:16 109:12 115:18 123:18, 23 128:3 135:10 145:21 149:23 155:4 158:12 168:20 171:16 172:17, 19 173:7 174:7 **asking** 8:*3* 72:*14* 95:9, 10, 11 113:5 128:1 142:7 170:19 173:21 **aspect** 120:19 **aspects** 118:18 **asserted** 106:21 108:16 assigned 19:21 20:10, 12, 22 21:25 22:11 assist 155:2 assistant 134:3 associated 29:4 170:24 **assume** 10:5 22:9 98:12 104:19 115:23 116:8 117:14 140:18 165:24 170:10 **assumed** 84:14, 17 assuming 34:14 87:17 **Assumptions** 100:12, 13 **attached** 113:*17* 114:4 **attempt** 98:10 attention 22:1 98:8 **attorney** 10:11 171:13 183:14

attorney-client 11:8 attorneys 5:12 6:8 10:11 58:12 146:5 **ATTORNEY'S** 1:17 5:11, 14 **audio** 139:8 author 170:22 authority 128:23 149:8 **available** 162:*13* **Avenue** 2:4, 8 avoid 152:24 aware 15:17 21:14 51:16, 19 55:18 56:3 58:19 77:16 98:17 101:5 135:8 163:20 172:15

< B > **B**(i 3:13 **back** 12:15 13:11 14:23 27:8, 14 36:24 39:10 45:23 54:13 57:7. 9, 17 58:17 59:17 61:17 62:12, 16 73:24 75:15, 16 78:1, 3 80:13, 14, 17 86:7 91:23 92:22 102:9 104:11 111:18 114:19 116:15 122:5, 6 127:4, 6 128:12, 17 143:7, 12 149:16 153:8, 11 154:11 156:8 161:18 163:22 165:8 166:19 178:14, 19 **backfill** 43:11, 16, 22 44:17 45:6 48:24 61:25 62:6 63:17 66:8, 9, 19 67:18 68:9, 14, 19, 24 69:2, 14 100:11, 14 101:11

Professional Reporters

122:5 123:23

104:24 131:4
137:12, 13, 20
146:6 154:11, 16
155:11 162:14, 20
165:4, 7 167:2, 9
179:6, <i>11</i>
background
12: <i>13</i> 55: <i>6</i>
bailiwick 102:18
balance 66:9
139:2 <i>1</i> , 2 <i>4</i> 151:2 <i>1</i>
Bank 136:8
Barbara 106:1, 3
BARNETT 2:7
Barr 43:19 119:6
barrier 125: <i>3</i>
base 14:8 126: <i>12</i>
137:7
based 29:19
34:16 35:9, 16, 23
38:6 41:12 48:22
49:6, 14 73:21
74:16, 20 81:8, 15
82:23 83:10, 13
100:6, 13, 20
101:18 105:16, 18
117:19 118:12, 19
119:20, 23 120:5
122: <i>12</i> 124: <i>14</i>
1.00.05
Basically 12:10, 25 19:9 23:6
25 19.9 23.0
25:23 40:9 41:6 58:15 64:7 72:9
92:5 145:20, 22
146:24 159:5, 25
163:23 165:7
168:18
basis 72:8
Bates 89:19 90:1
99:12, 13, 17
106:8 112: <i>18</i> , <i>20</i>
140:7 144:7
147: <i>18</i> 150: <i>17</i>
155:24 158:24
161:25 164:10
167: <i>17</i> 174: <i>17</i>
175:8 177:2
Beach 175:25

2
beat-the-clock 72:23
Beauregard 1:22
5:22 11:4 80:25
began 18: <i>19</i> 29:25 35:2 36:8,
17 41:18
beginning 18:6
30:16 66:6 90:4
126:4
begins 36:6 72:22
BEHALF 1:12, 15
2:2, 5 64:22 65:5
belief 120:5
believe 5:21 7:4
14:3, 13 20:23
24:12, 17 25:18,
20 26:14, 15
27:10 35:8 37:7
39:20 40:13
48:14 51:14 55:5
56:18 58:11 75:9
77:7 89:7 90:24
96: <i>15</i> 97: <i>5</i> , <i>9</i>
99:1, 14 103:11
110:25 111: <i>11</i>
112:19 113:22
118:11 119:4, 8
120:24 121:4
125:16 126:18
129:6 134:20
135: <i>12</i> 136: <i>14</i>
145: <i>19</i> 150: <i>13</i>
158:3 160:22
161:24 163: <i>14</i>
164:9 169:7, <i>11</i>
170: <i>15</i> 171: <i>20</i> , <i>23</i>
172:19 176:5
177:20
believed 88:23
104: <i>4</i> 107: <i>11</i>
bell 38:19
benefit 32:6 72:4
best 8:11 14:15
25:7, 8, 17 26:4
30:19 40:17
57:13 64:16 72:9
82:19
bet 45:22

1160- 111 03DC ND/OI
better 117: <i>19</i>
124:23 125:11, 17
143:17 149:5
BI 113: <i>16</i>
BIA 113:16, 21
158:17 168:14
169:18
BILL 1:12 3:3,
20 4:16 5:2 6:2,
14, 18, 21 10:18
13:9, 14 14:17
17:24 21:8 23:23
37:25 38:2 79:22 101: <i>15</i> 110: <i>11</i>
101:15 110:11
115:11, 15, 16, 24
116:9, 15 125:15,
<i>17</i> 128: <i>16</i> , <i>25</i>
135:10 141:19, 23
142:13 146:3
150:2 <i>1</i> 151:9
152:20 156:3, 9
157:10 158:8
159:9, 11 164:19,
20 165:2, 20
168:2 170:23, 25
171: <i>1</i> 173: <i>5</i>
171: <i>1</i> 173: <i>5</i> 180: <i>17</i> 181: <i>5</i> , <i>12</i> ,
<i>17</i> 182: <i>4</i> 183: <i>8</i>
bit 11:23 17:7
23:21 30:18 37:9
54:5, 14 59:20
64:7 76:3 81:12
91:5, 9 97:19 114:13 117:19
119:14 130:13
143: <i>13</i> 165: <i>1</i>
166: <i>12</i>
black 180:6
blacked 180:2, 3
Blake 2: <i>3</i> 5: <i>19</i> blasted 126: <i>5</i>
blasting 40:19, 21,
$27 \ 24 \ 11 \cdot 14 \ 21$
22, 24 41:14, 21 42:14 98:3
104:12 126:10, 19
130:8, 13
block 7:12
blueprints 117:21
11/12/

boilerplate 67:4, 6 **bolt** 137:5 boots-on-the**ground** 19:20 **borrow** 137:24 138:2, 5, 16, 17 139:6, 9, 18 149:21 150:1, 6 **Boston** 2:4, 8 **Bostwick** 177:23 **bottom** 25:4 38:22 72:22 102:10 106:7, 19 108:5 112:20, 21 114:12, 21 148:4 155:25 **bought** 77:19 141:25 145:14 167:11 **boundaries** 66:13 **break** 9:10, 12, 15 57:1, 2, 19 79:8, 9, 19, 22 80:17 106:21 126:22, 23 131:8 166:8, 9 178:*14* breakfast 37:7 breaks 9:9, 11, 12 **Brian** 148:5, 6 149:18 151:9 153:*13* **briefly** 14:8 158:7 bring 22:1 98:8 160:24 167:12 bringing 167:2 **broadly** 128:12 **broke** 139:11 174:2 **brought** 21:14 55:11 **bucket** 41:4 **Buddy** 6:23 **budget** 102:16, 23, 25 budgeting 102:20 **build** 61:20 63:12 115:19

130:22

Professional Reporters

	2	14	
building 136:24	caught 52:1	117: <i>1</i> 119:6	command 21:13,
137:9	131:12 139:11	120:6 121:10	18
buildings 118:25	143:13	charge 41:8	commenced 34:7,
built 59:22 61:5	caused 35:21	159:21, 23	9 160:7
69:4 117:22	109:11	charged 159:19	commencing
bullet 98:5	caution 162:12	cheaper 105:10	113:15
104:21 105:13	caveat 8:18 9:13	check 74:5	comment 13:6
106:20	CCing 156:1	111:21	82:5, 9
bumper 7:12	cease 158:10	checking 100:20	commenting 12:12
Burbank 24:20	173:14	chose 124:10	commercial 70:17,
30:3	ceased 113:13	chosen 101:9	20, 22 71:9, 22
Bureau 3:11	certain 122:8	Chris 48:15, 17	72:3, 12 141:5
113:9 157:23	134:24 135:18	50:2 106:2, 11, 12	145:4
164:12 168:8	156:19 160:24	108:8 109:8	commercially
business 29:3, 12	180:5	156: <i>1</i> 175: <i>16</i>	162:13
82:23 83:10, 14	certainly 79:14	Christina 1:23	COMMISSION
90:14, 15, 18, 21	CERTIFICATE	5:13	181:24
102:1 171:14	183:1	Christmas 75:10	communicate
buy 141:2 145: <i>1</i>	certify 183:6, 13	circumference	131:23 132:18
	cetera 63:18	137:2	133:2, 12 135:22
<c></c>	140:2 177:19	citation 26:18	136:1, 7 141:15
calculations 100:4	Chain 3:10, 17, 18,	27:2	142:8 145:17
California 16:4	19 4:3, 4, 5, 7, 8	City 122:25	169:16
call 5:21 22:3, 4	21:13, 18 115:12	183:17	communicated
52:2 80:7 87:18	Champagne	Civil 4:18	132:6 133:7
152:19	114:22, 23 146:24	clarify 86:4	141:17 142:5
called 42:19	147:2 148:25	179:11	147:7 157:24
95:23 121:4	149:13 152:15, 22	clauses 135:3, 5, 8	communicating
126:4 133:20	153:6 157:11	clear 8:8, 15 9:4	142:13 171:5
Calls 95:4	163:25 164:3, 20	10:1 30:11 34:1	communication
cancer 126:2	chance 36:24	87:4 99:1 179:20	22:5
cancerous 13:5	133:24 134:4	Clearing 35:1	communications
capacity 148:8	159:3 162:5	closer 166:11	115:14, 16, 20
Capital 135:23	163:10	clue 34:2	132:2 175:12
care 52:22	CHANDLER 2:7	colleague 5:19	Community 16:23
Case 5:6, 12	change 28:16	college 16:15, 19,	compact 44:14
15:25 27:13, 17,	57:20 80:18	23	compacted 44:1
21 28:1 33:22	89:16 90:6, 11, 16	combo 159:9	compaction 43:23
39:17, 23 40:15,	91:3, 7, 11 94:4	come 38:11 93:9	44:9, 11, 15, 17
16 51:17 72:8	98:2, 5 104:12	111:20 125:3	61:18 98:15, 24
124:8 136:21	126:6 127:9	129:8 162:14, 20	137:14
137:10 138:13	128:11, 13, 14, 20	171:13	company 54:3
140:25	129:4, 7, 12, 16, 20	comes 101:1	77:22 90:9 97:18
cases 49:2 68:21	130:2, 5 149:20	137:3, 4	113:13 131:24
catch 9:21 90:19	changed 28:20	Coming 80:17	136:2, 8 170:8
137:25	162:22	106:1 129:4	complete 160:23
Cathryn 1:16	changes 28:11	140:23 147:25	completed 16:9
Cathy 5:11	58:16 59:14	153:4, 6	88:16 120:22

Professional Reporters

completion 20:19 **comply** 169:17 concern 89:4 112:1, 5 113:8 concerned 177:20 concerning 29:7 concerns 23:7, 10, 12 **concluded** 180:18 **concrete** 119:*10* condition 49:3 conditions 19:9 49:13 104:20 126:15 159:13 conducted 29:11 **confirm** 107:2 140:24 156:7 confirmation 151:2*1* conflict 56:1 163:4 **conform** 148:19 **conical** 137:*1* connection 10:21 29:1 conscious 105:4, *10* 180:6 consequences 166:4 considered 158:11 165:6, *17* considering 172:13 consist 88:9 constitute 141:6 145:5 183:10 **construct** 66:9. 10 constructed 118:25 constructing 138:14 145:18 construction 7:15, *16* 12:8, 23 19:10, 18 29:7 34:6, 9, 12, 14 35:2, 5, 12, 18 38:4 48:16 49:9, 16 54:9 60:13, 17, 21 61:6, 11, 24 62:11, 21

63:4, 8, 9, 24 64:8 65:20 66:14 72:24 73:4 75:18 76:21 77:16, 23 78:7, 23 88:11, 13, 19 89:10 93:21 102:7, 13 106:18 112:11 116:25 117:8, 11, 16 118:14, 18 130:19 134:18, 23 135:17 138:6, 18, 19 139:9, 22 141:11 146:15 148:21 149:20, 24 154:11 158:10, 12, 15 160:3, 8 161:5, 11 165:13 166:5 172:2, 13, 18 173:5 contact 12:16, 19 22:12, 13, 14 175:16, 24 contained 12:3, 21 14:16 28:2 contemporaneously

29:8 **continue** 79:21, 25 106:23 107:3, 12, 15, 22 108:15 109:9 152:24 153:1 164:21 continued 1:21 2:1 3:25 35:3 157:20 Contract 3:15 46:22, 23, 24 74:10, 23 75:5 88:11, 17, 24 89:9, 13 91:8, 12 93:23, 24 94:16 95:2, 21, 22, 23 97:6, 7 110:12 119:4 130:3 134:25 135:8, 19 155:15 172:23 177:5, 14,

16, 19, 22 178:4

214 contract[sic 175:22 **contractor** 46:2, 7, 8, 12, 16, 25 47:12, 14, 25 48:2, 4, 12 50:25 51:1 53:8 56:21 66:12 74:12 76:23 88:4 106:16 120:14 121:6 contractors 76:24 contractor's 120:12 contracts 80:4 82:2 88:8 132:12 contractual 120:20 126:13 contradiction 122:17 contradictory 122:16 contrary 73:3 75:17 78:6, 21 121:25 **control** 144:21 conventional 131:8 conventionally 41:3 conversation 8:3 145:20 152:21 156:17 168:17 conversations 146:*21* 150:*5* 152:18 156:3, 23, 24 163:20 coordinating 99:16 coordinator 18:22 19:7 20:3, 5 21:10, 25 32:10 33:17 36:13 37:19 50:18, 23 55:17 97:21 102:4, 6, 12, 21 123:14 175:3

164:*14* copy 177:9, 14 **Corn** 112:23 corporate 132:24 Corporation 135:23 correct 6:18, 19 9:2 14:4, 25 16:17 18:4, 7, 12, 20 19:5, 6, 22 21:6, 12 22:18 25:21 26:14 27:10 31:21 34:10, 11 36:3, 15 39:18 40:20 41:14, 22 42:1 44:23 45:9, 13 51:7 53:11 54:22 55:21 64:18, 24 65:22 66:23 68:19 69:1 70:18 73:6 76:1, 14, 25 77:23 81:4 82:4, *25* 83:*15* 84:*6*, *13*, 15, 18 86:5, 25 87:23 92:1 93:7 99:4 101:22 103:12 105:21 106:10 107:5 108:11 109:5 116:3 117:5, 8 118:*1* 121:*15* 123:25 124:1 132:15 133:9, 10 137:14 142:23 143:8, 9 146:17, 19 152:7 154:12 157:21 160:13 161:9, 13 169:21 172:3 175:4 181:8 183:11 corrected 23:14 corrections 58:16 **correctly** 25:9, 10, 13 29:16 35:7 46:5 73:15 91:15 105:1 106:25 114:17

Professional Reporters

copied 140:11

141:14 144:10

cost 88:11, 19 89:9 93:20 98:10 100:14, 15, 17 130:2 153:23 154:21, 24 155:3, *16* 171:*16* 172:2, *23* 173:5 costs 88:15 97:10, 14, 23 99:8 138:9 170:24 172:18 173:*1* COUNCIL 1:6 5:3, 18 54:16 87:8 127:25 181:2 182:2 **counsel** 5:7, 22 11:8, 10 15:15, 18, 23 99:16 114:24 173:4 183:13 **country** 60:15 **counts** 52:22 **County** 30:4 104:24 183:4, 18 couple 11:5 16:15 110:23 140:16 148:11 156:3, 23 **course** 29:10 79:10 153:15 171:*11* 175:*13* **COURT** 1:1 5:5 8:6, 8, 22 10:14 13:8, 10, 11 30:15, 24 31:15, 20, 25 32:1, 21, 25 33:1 34:1 39:16, 21 40:3 41:20, 25 42:5, 6, 13 43:1 45:21, 22, 23 46:19 47:3, 4, 25 53:7, 23 56:10, 12 60:3, 20 63:4, 20, 21 64:11, 22 68:12 69:8, 13, 21 70:3 71:23 72:16 73:8, 12, 17 74:21 75:16, 21 76:9, 13 78:5 79:1 82:10, 18, 22 83:9, 12

214 84:5, 19 85:4, 15, 24 86:12 88:23 89:8 91:24 92:8, 16 93:2, 3, 13, 22 94:9, 13, 15 95:1, *14*, *18*, *20* 96:*19* 97:6, 9 99:4 102:19, 20 103:23 104:5 123:19 124:3, 11, 24 Craig 51:16 52:2, 3, 7, 10, 15 53:1, *17* 99:*19* 100:*4* 105:*19* 121:*8*, *21* 129:4, 12 140:18 147:25 151:8 156:1, 2, 8, 11, 18 157:8 175:19 177:*1* **crane** 66:10 **create** 43:25 138:13 171:16 **created** 138:18 Cross 3:5 127:20 **crossed** 151:20 **crunching** 172:21 174:6 **crush** 45:19 143:11 163:9 crushed 46:3 47:5 48:13, 20, 24, *25* 49:8, *15* 50:5, 12 51:10 52:11, 17 53:9 56:10 59:23 60:3 61:7, 12 62:15 63:5, 22 67:17 100:11 165:4 **crusher** 60:11, 18, **crushers** 130:25 131:*1* 171:22 **crushing** 43:2, 3, 5, 9 45:4, 11 98:11, 13, 18 104:19 111:10 143:21 156:4, 6,

19, *25* 163:*12*, *18* 164:5, 22, 24 **CSR** 1:25 4:19 182:5 183:6, 22, 23 **cubic** 100:5, 7, 8, 15.16 **cured** 59:23 61:5 current 16:2 31:13 95:12 160:19 161:7 currently 16:25 76:19 customary 48:22 49:9, 16 60:12, 17, 21 61:6, 11, 24 62:11, 14, 18, 20 63:4, 7, 24 64:5 78:6 118:*13* 120:5 **cut** 10:18 14:17 17:25 44:3 97:10, *14*, *23* 139:23 140:3

daily 20:7 151:14 159:6 **Dakota** 125:24 **Daren** 144:13 **data** 172:18 date 27:8 40:23 66:25 88:14 135:18 183:12 **dated** 37:13 89:*16* 91:*3* 105:24 112:17 114:10 147:20 155:22 156:2 159:*1* 162:*4* 164:18 174:19 177:6 **Daters** 144:13 D-A-T-E-R-S 144:*14* dates 36:19 173:9 **David** 177:23 day 24:19 37:14 38:23 39:6 74:15,

< D >

16, 22 75:10 78:4 135:12 166:13 181:18 183:18 days 73:5, 13 74:11 75:19 78:24 **day-to-day** 72:8 **deal** 44:11 101:17 **dealt** 49:13 December 24:20 89:16 91:4 decided 41:7 74:24 decision 52:20 75:21 76:2 93:9 104:18 105:4, 10 112:15 125:6 128:24 138:25 139:*1*, *6* 149:9 154:15 161:16, 19 163:24 164:5 180:6 decision-making 128:22 decisions 20:5 65:8 declaration 11:17 13:22, 23 14:1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 12, 16, 22 23:18, 20, 22, 23 24:1, 17, 18 25:19 27:12, 16, 20 28:7 29:2, 19 30:8, 14, 24 31:4, 10, 12, 14, 16, 20, 25 32:20 39:11, 15 41:17 49:21 53:7, 23 54:2, 5 55:6 57:22, 23 58:2, 18, 23 59:4, 8, 12, 15, 17 63:20 64:22 67:16, 24 69:9 70:3 75:15 76:13, 19 78:4 80:2 81:22 82:22 83:9 84:5 89:2, 5 91:5, 23 92:3, 6, 8, 23 93:21, 24 95:21

96:12, 18, 20, 25

Professional Reporters

23 157:4, 12, 17,

97:6 102:10, 19 103:24, 25 104:3 123:19, 24 124:3, 16, 24 125:11, 18, 19 declarations 14:24 15:2, 5, 8 24:1 declare 25:5 26:2 declared 26:7 decreasing 130:2 **defeat** 39:22 64:23 70:8 defeated 65:4 **DEFENDANT** 2:5 **Defendants** 1:11 3:21 4:9 5:20, 21 170:17, 20 178:21 **deferred** 115:16 **define** 137:12 138:2 179:24 definitely 24:6 definitions 136:20 **degree** 16:20 **degrees** 16:19 **delay** 109:11 119:14 166:4 **delayed** 109:5 134:23 165:21 **delays** 134:18 161:*11* delegated 47:23 delivered 113:23 168:5 deliveries 165:21 **delivery** 161:1, 8, 12 **demand** 169:17 **denied** 128:23 **deny** 42:6 76:13 **denving** 129:15 department 58:11 76:7 83:19 93:9 96:15 113:1 departments 32:17 **DEPOSITION** 1:12 4:16 5:2 6:25 7:17, 25 9:1, 7 10:11, 18, 23

214 11:3, 9 14:7 15:10, 15, 17 17:5 24:2 30:23 51:17, 23 52:2 57:12, 15 75:13 121:9, 22 144:6 147:17 155:21 177:1 180:17 181:6 182:4 183:11 depositions 7:3, 10 depressed 140:1 **derived** 45:12 104:20 **describe** 137:11 **Description** 3:8 4:1 93:11 **design** 44:5 160:6 designated 68:16 138:15 designed 43:20 **desist** 173:14 **detail** 42:12, 13 detailed 41:22 **details** 26:15 82:15 96:10 determination 147:2 149:3, 14 determine 149:8 developed 96:8 developing 141:3 145:2 development 29:7 73:4 75:18 78:23 deviates 117:22 deviation 120:7 121:2 122:11 deviations 121:23 diagnosed 126:1 difference 8:5 20:2 different 8:22 33:6, 7 49:12 66:2 118:6 119:22 136:23, 25 143:24 150:10 175:10 178:4 179:25 difficulties 6:16

dig 41:3, 4 131:14 159:16 **digitally** 150:12 **DiMarzio** 21:3 102:24 115:11 123:17, 20 129:21 151:9 158:8 dimensions 63:15 dinner 136:16 **Direct** 3:4 6:5 20:7 110:7 112:10 115:19 159:8 175:12 **directed** 106:23 107:3, 21 112:24 115:15 120:13, 17 directing 77:23 107:14 **direction** 179:17 directive 56:18 directly 55:9 131:23 135:22 145:17 **directs** 106:23 107:12 108:15 109:9 disagreed 12:4 disappeared 132:13 **discuss** 156:8 163:10 discussed 15:24 38:24 39:8 57:24 128:7 130:12 148:14 168:17 172:5 175:23 discussing 152:13 discussions 135:4 163:16, 17 167:7 disposal 104:23 165:16 **dispose** 165:5 **dispute** 54:9, 21, 24 **disputes** 55:14 **DISTRICT** 1:1, 17 5:5 **document** 17:12

25:23 26:7, 9, 19, 22 27:3, 7, 25 28:5, 9, 10, 15 30:22 36:25 40:25 65:10, 12 66:5, 24 72:21 84:10 85:20 86:7 99:13 103:17 112:22 115:10 121:10, 23 143:24 147:15 149:16 150:10, 16 151:16 153:10 158:21, 22 161:22, 23 164:8 174:15, 16, 18, 20, 24 176:20, 24, 25 documented 119:24, 25 121:4, 25 documents 11:13, 15 15:9, 13, 23 29:19 84:11 136:21 174:21 179:22 180:6 doing 50:7, 10 72:7 113:12 115:5 153:2 163:5 168:19 179:8 dollar 129:25 **double** 74:5 **drafted** 50:19 58:24 66:16 76:8 drafting 76:8 **drafts** 58:18 drainage 61:20 69:3 draining 69:4 **drawing** 119:21 120:9 130:22 drawings 56:23 117:3 119:5, 8 122:8 drill 41:2, 7 **drilled** 159:24 **drilling** 131:*15* **drove** 110:24

Professional Reporters

24:11, 14, 25

due 83:20 148:16 156:6 157:4, *12*. *17* 160:*17* **duly** 6:3 183:8 **duties** 92:12 144:18 duty 47:23 86:13 102:12 **dyn** 159:23 **dynamite** 159:23 $\langle E \rangle$ **E&C** 98:11 **earlier** 15:11 25:18 28:8 50:14 52:19 53:15 57:24 74:19 89:1 106:13 116:22 128:10 129:24 130:12 140:16 142:17 149:23 166:25 167:23 179:5 early 35:11, 18 **earthwork** 151:21 economic 70:24 71:13, 24 72:18 education 16:9 **effect** 94:23 **effort** 95:1 **efforts** 44:11 **EGP** 98:11 **EGPNA** 112:24, *25* 129:*15* 130:*3*, *17* 132:*14* 134:*25* 139:5 142:7, *13* 143:11, 20 146:4, 21 147:11 149:3, 10 155:10 157:24 158:6 167:8 169:5, 21 170:6 173:13, 21 178:3 **EGPNA's** 146:*15* **eight** 13:1 20:15 33:9 83:5 either 79:16 101:15 110:14, 25 111:14 129:17

144:10 156:19

167:25 170:2 179:17 183:14 elaborate 27:9 147:4 **elected** 45:18 **Electric** 133:*13* 134:25 165:24 elementary 16:13 **Elk** 20:25 **Email** 3:17 **E-mail** 3:9, 10, 18, 19 4:3, 4, 5, 7, 8 22:4 58:7, 9 99:10, 18, 21 101:14 105:17, 24 108:1, 8 109:8 112:17, 23 113:18 114:20 115:6, 10, 12, 21 140:8, 16 141:8, 15 142:3, 10 144:8, 10 147:19, 20 148:4, 14 149:18 153:12, *18* 155:25 156:*10*, *15* 158:25 159:2. *18* 162:2, *6*, *10*, *18* 163:3, 11, 16 164:11, 13 173:4 e-mails 11:16, 18, 21, 22, 24 12:4, 6, 11, 21, 22 13:19 15:8, 11 99:8 167:1 **employ** 134:10 **employed** 16:25 60:14 129:21 132:14 employee 23:1 58:*1* 77:*13* 110:*6* 133:3 134:10 180:8 employees 76:22 77:4, 5, 10 employer 64:22 65:5 encountered 49:11 126:11 **ended** 116:17

118:6 150:15 **ends** 37:9 **ENEL** 1:7, 9 17:23 18:3, 11, 15, 19 19:2, 3, 20 20:9, 22 21:15, 25 22:2, 7, 8, 9, 20 23:1 27:14, 20, 25 29:3, 13, 20 30:11 38:4 45:12 46:23 48:7, 18 50:3, 19 52:21 54:16, 22 55:11, 20 56:19 57:25 58:22 66:17 69:13 70:8, 24 71:12, 23, 25 72:4, 5, 16 74:24 75:22 76:6, 22 77:5, 19, 22 82:23 83:10, 14 86:23 87:6, 21 90:23 93:2 94:16 95:2 97:9, 13, 23 98:8, *17* 99:24 101:2, 9, 20 105:3, 9, 18 106:22, 23 107:2, *12.13* 108:*14* 109:3, 7, 8, 18 113:1, 12, 16 114:24 115:25 116:10 118:9 123:24 124:3, 5, 10, 16, 22 125:10, *23* 126:9 129:21 132:11, 18, 19, 24 134:10 135:18 153:4 157:6 158:*3* 161:*11* 166:4 170:12 171:8 172:13 179:21 180:5, 7 **Enel/Tradewinds** 156:5 157:3 **Enel's** 27:17 29:11 113:11 164:4 **Energy** 3:16 34:21 131:24

engineering 38:4 41:1 43:19 119:6 engineer's 44:5 enlarge 24:4 **ensure** 61:20 102:15 144:20 **entered** 23:20 90:6 92:2 140:6 147:16 155:20 158:23 176:25 entertained 149:25 **entire** 18:15 19:4, 13 60:20, 21 103:17 120:14, 18 135:18 **entirely** 135:19 entirety 14:7 48:6 92:5 181:7 **entitled** 23:23 **entity** 132:24 **entries** 170:20 entry 38:12 170:22 environmental 23:7, 10 environmentalist 22:16 144:15 **EP** 98:11 equipment 173:1 erection 176:5 **ERRATA** 182:1 escalation 38:13, 18 **escorted** 111:21 especially 141:5 145:*4* **Esquire** 170:25 estate 30:2 33:10, 11 **estimate** 105:19 154:22, 25 155:3, .5 et 5:4 63:18 140:2 177:19 181:2 182:2 **Eve** 75:10 event 140:15 183:*15* events 12:7

Professional Reporters

engaged 72:23

eventually 116:17 118:6 everybody 40:24 89:4 97:18 151:14 154:21 evidence 73:12 exact 20:15 62:1 **exactly** 50:25 68:6 71:16 93:14 103:6 111:7 135:13 137:22 159:15 Examination 3:4, 5, 6 6:5 127:20 179:2 examined 6:3 excavate 41:10 62:15 excavated 56:5 61:17, 25 62:12, 21 66:7, 18 68:8, 9, 13, 17 69:22 70:4, 16 71:5, 9, 21, 24 72:17 87:19, 23 101:21 104:23 105:5, 11 112:1 119:19, 22, 23 120:25 121:3, 24 122:9 131:7 143:1 165:5, 17 excavating 164:21 excavation 36:7, 9, *17* 37:*15* 39:*6*, *17*, 21 40:3, 9, 15 41:14, 17, 21, 25 42:6, 12 48:24 54:21 60:11.19 68:2 97:24 100:6, 9 111:10 114:14 119:2 120:7, 15, *19* 121:*10* 130:*10* 165:3 169:1, 17 170:24 171:17 excavations 40:25 66:9 160:17 **excess** 35:21 63:11 66:7 **exchange** 156:10 164:13

Excuse 80:5 131:9, 25 150:19 executed 24:19 80:4 82:3 88:8 exercise 173:25 **Exhibit** 3:8, 13 4:1 17:4, 5, 11 23:19, 23 24:3 31:14 36:21, 22, 23 37:1 57:24 66:3 73:25 74:1, 4 75:14, 23 89:16, 17, 18, 24 98:1, 2, 20 99:6, 7, 11 104:12, 14, 15 105:23, 24 106:4 112:16, 19 113:2 114:3, 6, 20 128:11 140:5, 7, 12 144:1, 6, 11 147:16, 17, 23 150:14, 16, 24 153:8 155:20, 24 156:12 158:23 159:4 161:25 162:7 164:9, 10, 16 166:22, 23 167:16 170:15, 17 171:2 174:*17*, 23 177:1, 2, 7 EXHIBITS 3:7, 25 128:9 **ex-marine** 133:22 **expect** 103:22, 23 138:12 expecting 165:20 expended 80:3 82:1 88:7 expenditures 88:9 expenses 94:16 95:2 expensive 104:25 experience 34:17, 20 35:16, 23 48:23 49:6, 7, 15 73:21 74:20 75:20 117:5, 7, 10 118:12, 19 119:20,

214 122:7, 8, 12, 17 124:4 **EXPIRES** 181:24 **explain** 142:22 164:3 **explained** 158:*16* explaining 40:9 explanation 117:20 147:1 **express** 134:17 143:19, 20 extent 128:19 extra 153:23 < F > **facility** 115:19

facilitation 23:13 fact 19:4 31:24 39:20 41:24 48:1 64:21 68:17 73:2 75:17 78:21 83:7 84:4, 21 130:13 150:6 **factors** 155:16 **fail** 42:13 48:8 **failed** 70:3 95:20 99:17 **fair** 9:16 10:4 97:4 132:22 136:5 168:24 176:18 **faith** 103:12, 14 **fall** 43:24 90:21 102:2 144:6 **fallen** 170:11 **Falling** 160:17 familiar 29:1 51:6 110:18 117:15 132:23 145:6, 11 174:20, 24 177:4 **family** 123:7 **far** 14:3 89:3 160:19 177:19 farm 30:6 32:5 33:11 35:2, 6 131:22 132:1, 17 133:2, 12 135:17, *22* 136:*1*, *6* 138:*6*,

14, 19 139:19 141:11 142:20 144:19 145:18 146:16 148:10 154:12 155:11 157:21, 25 162:17 168:4, 8 175:14 176:2 177:*10*, *15* **Federal** 4:18 **fee** 30:2 feedback 91:10 **feet** 100:5, 8 137:3 **fellow** 21:8 110:9 112:12 132:9 **Fields** 1:16 5:12 **Fifth** 4:9 170:17 **figure** 86:18 161:*14* **figured** 100:14 **filed** 5:4 54:15 **fill** 139:23, 25 140:1 148:15 149:18 153:22, 24 154:10 167:2 **filled** 67:9 **filling** 140:*3* **final** 97:17 167:10 **finance** 83:18 financial 93:9 172:17 **find** 96:12 150:11 153:15 **findings** 160:12 fine 23:14 25:15 79:14, 15, 16 146:2, 5 156:20 165:19 170:13 174:13 **finish** 8:11, 12 9:14 **finished** 20:17 133:4 135:17 164:8 176:23 **finishing** 125:25 **firms** 41:1 **first** 6:3 13:25 14:23 20:10

Professional Reporters

23 121:15, 25

23:20 26:12 27:13 28:6, 25 29:15 34:18 41:4 42:20 59:2 74:16 87:7 90:5 99:23 102:10 106:11, 20 107:4 108:5 110:20, 21 114:23 116:24 139:11 155:23 156:17 168:7 179:5 **fits** 137:7 **five** 28:23 29:24 66:5 67:23, 25 166:9, 14 **five-minute** 9:10 79:22 **flange** 137:6 **Florida** 7:11 16:24 flustered 105:8 **folks** 90:9 133:9 139:2 142:8 151:2, 6 162:3 175:10, 13 **follow** 44:2, 4, 5 50:6 **followed** 67:9, 12 170:2 following 1:21 60:24 74:14 88:10 104:20 127:25 follows 6:4 **follow-up** 178:25 **fool** 94:20 **footprint** 63:15 foregoing 25:6 26:3 181:6 183:*10* **forgot** 85:11 **form** 10:7, 12 12:9, 24 13:16 19:23 21:16 22:21 23:5 26:10, 21 27:5, 22 28:19 31:1, 17, 22 32:14, 22 33:3, 20 34:3, 22 35:24 38:20

39:24 42:2, 8 45:7, 14 46:21 47:16 48:3 49:18, 24 50:8, 15 51:2, 13, 18 52:12, 18 53:4, 10, 19, 25 54:19 55:12, 22 56:6, 14 59:5 60:5 61:15 62:3, 23 64:3, 13, 25 65:6, 14, 16, 21, 23 66:21 67:10, 19 68:20 69:10, 16, 24 70:11 71:15 72:1 75:24 76:10, *15* 77:6, *17*, *24* 79:2 81:5, 11, 19 82:12 84:2, 7, 23 85:6 86:3, 15, 20 87:1, 9, 13, 24 88:20, 25 89:11 91:16 92:13, 18 93:5, 16 94:2, 10, 18 95:4, 15, 24 96:4 97:11 98:21 100:1 101:6, 12, 23 102:22 103:10 104:7 105:14, 20 107:6, *17* 108:21 109:21 110:3 112:3 113:3 115:7 116:4, 12 118:2 120:*1* 121:12 122:2 123:21 124:6, 12, *17* 125:*1*, *14*, *20* 135:1 141:12, 22 145:9 146:18 149:11 163:7 169:23 171:19 174:9 180:9 **forth** 163:22 **forward** 112:*14* 129:8 **forwarded** 101:*14* 110:11 114:22 forwarding 173:4

214 13, 20 44:2, 18, 19, 20, 22 60:24 66:8, *11* 95:25 100:21, *25* 119:9, *10* 126:5 131:7, 8 136:23, 24 137:5, 8, 14, 15 **foundations** 36:8 40:10 59:22 61:5 100:9, 22, 24 101:*1* 119:5, *17* 130:19 131:14 160:2, 22 four 6:23 28:24, 25 73:5, 13 75:19 78:24 **four-day** 78:7 **Fourth** 2:12 104:2*1* frame 161:12 **frames** 161:8 **Francesco** 113:*16*, 21 167:19 **Frank** 110:15, 25 167:25 Freeman 177:23, 25 **froze** 6:15, 17 **fulfill** 43:6 **full** 6:10 60:6 134:15, 16 181:7 183:11 **full-day** 37:11, 20 **fully** 160:7 further 35:5 57:10 114:14 115:20 127:16 142:3 151:18 154:23 161:10 163:9 165:2, *21* 166:5 169:*1* 180:12 183:13 <G> **gain** 109:18 141:5 145:4 **garage** 7:13 Gardner 151:8

153:*13* gather 126:23 **GE** 133:14 135:9, *19* 160:24 161:8 165:21, 23, 24 172:23 **general** 19:19 46:8, 25 76:23 106:16 117:11 119:25 130:*1* 133:13 134:25 165:24 generally 19:13 35:21 37:10 gentleman's 167:24 **gentlemen** 110:23 Gents 115:12 **geotech** 131:10 160:*11* **GE's** 161:*12* **getting** 78:14 105:8 109:10 146:9, 21 166:11 Giovanni 151:8 **Giuseppe** 21:3, 5 101:15 102:24 110:11 115:11 123:16, 20 125:4 128:15, 25 129:20 135:10 151:9 152:20 155:4 158:8 159:*1*, *5*, *8* 162:10, 11 168:2 172:19 173:10 **give** 8:4 41:1 54:4 57:12 85:9 89:20 103:19 114:4 116:20 143:25 147:2 150:10 152:23 159:3 162:5 **given** 6:25 7:3 21:24 47:17 58:13 59:8 104:22 171:21 181:8 giving 64:7 159:5

Professional Reporters

foundation 40:15,

16 41:8, *18* 43:*12*,

glitch-inaudible 139:8 **go** 6:14, 17, 23 7:24 9:8 10:15 12:7, 15 17:9 24:16 28:5, 22 39:10, 11 41:7, 9 48:9 56:19 58:16, 23 59:17, 19 66:2, 3, 4 67:21 75:16 78:2, 11 79:4, 6 80:6 81:21 88:10 90:3 91:23 98:3 102:9 104:10, 11 106:6 107:24 112:21 114:13, 19, 21 115:9 138:8, 23 140:4 141:18 153:8, 16, 17 154:22 155:22 158:22 161:*17*, *23* 166:13 171:7, 9 175:6, 7, 9 179:12 **God** 103:16 goes 29:6 37:8 66:11 73:2 100:11 104:21 113:12 going 7:24 8:2, 3 9:8, 9 13:1, 8 17:4, 5, 6 23:18, 19 24:16 28:22, 23 34:25 36:21, 22 39:10, 11, 12 42:15, 18 45:20 56:13 66:4 67:21 68:1. 13 72:20 73:24 74:8, 25 75:15 78:1, 3 79:4, 6, 15 81:21 85:22 88:6 89:15, 17 91:6 94:23 98:1, 3 99:6, 7 102:9, 25 104:10, 11, 15 105:23, 24 106:6 112:16, 18, 20 114:19, 20 119:15 140:4, 15 143:24, 25 147:14

148:24 149:16 150:9 153:10 158:19, 21 161:5, *15*, 22, 25 163:22 167:15 170:14, 15, *19* 174:*14* 178:*13* 179:12 **good** 57:3 79:7 103:12, 13 127:22 128:18 145:22 **Gosh** 7:6, 19 16:7 20:14 **go-to** 23:6 **gotten** 49:*3* 126:*14* government 110:6 111:14 **grade** 32:16 90:24 graduated 16:16 **Great** 79:25 127:17 154:4 **greater** 43:13 **GREEN** 1:9 17:23 18:3, 11, 15, *16*, *19* 19:2, *3*, *20* 20:9 21:15, 25 22:2, 7, 9, 20 23:1 30:12 45:12 46:23 48:7, 18 50:3, 20 54:16, 22 55:11, 20 57:25 58:1, 22 69:13 70:8, 25 71:12, 23, 25 72:16 75:22 76:7 77:5, 22 82:23 83:11.14 86:23 87:6, 21 90:23 93:2 97:9, 13, 23 98:9, 17 99:24 101:2, 9, 20 105:3, 9, 18 107:3 109:3, 18 113:1 115:25 116:10 118:9 123:25 124:3, 5, 10, 16, 22 125:10, 23 126:10 129:21 179:22

214 Green's 27:21 28:1 94:16 95:2 **ground** 7:25 106:22 143:6, 12 **group** 151:6, 10 **growing** 115:13 grubbing 35:1 guess 56:1 77:3 98:6 99:15 124:19 137:11 143:17 149:5 guessing 58:8 Gunny 133:20 134:13 Gunny's 133:23 134:2 **guy** 111:1 132:9 <H> half 21:20, 21 139:11 **halted** 171:22 **halting** 170:24 171:*17* 172:*13*, *18* Hammock 1:22 5:13 **hand** 76:8 113:23 168:4 183:17 **handed** 110:10 111:4 112:12 167:24 handled 90:9 102:23 170:12 **handling** 56:5, 8 110:12 handlings 55:15 hands 32:17 handwritten 170:23 **Hanson** 48:15, 17 50:2 106:2, 12 108:8, *9* 156:*1* 175:16 **happen** 74:25 111:22 122:15 159:2 172:8

happened 13:2

85:18 92:22

happening 25:16 102:7 111:7 **happy** 9:23 **hard** 13:3 136:13 **HARRIS** 2:11 hate 8:20 10:1 **haul** 66:12 **hauling** 149:*19* hear 9:19 10:2, 19, 25 14:19 22:23 121:17 **heard** 10:5 145:12, 14 **hearing** 146:*14* 157:16 **held** 32:5, 7, 12, 19 33:11, 13, 24 **help** 15:24 70:8 150:2 **helped** 15:24, 25 **helper** 134:*3* **helpers** 133:17 **helpful** 39:22 40:4 179:7 **Heredia** 22:*15* 23:1, 15 140:9 144:8, 16 145:17 162:3 **hereunto** 183:*16* Heridia's 23:3 hev 9:21 **high** 16:12, 14, 16 **higher** 50:3 higher-ups 22:2 76:6 90:23 highest 16:8 hired 18:20, 21 30:11 130:17 history 30:7, 15, 19 54:5 60:7 **Hmm** 78:18 **Hold** 155:4 164:21 175:8 **hole** 41:5 43:24 59:24 60:4 61:8, 13, 18 62:1, 12, 16 63:6, 23 68:3, 10 137:5 143:4

Professional Reporters

180:5, 8

159: <i>16</i> , <i>24</i>
holes 41:2, 3
holiday 75:4
holidays 73:20
74:14 75:7
hope 9:2 128:2
hopefully 128:3
hoping 166: <i>14</i>
hour 9:9 57:1
hours 155:12
huh-uh 8:19 9:1
hum 78:14
hurry 73:12

< I > idea 92:23 94:24 99:24 139:4 149:25 152:1, 2 **Identification** 17:11 24:3 37:1 75:23 89:18 98:20 99:11 106:4 113:2 114:6 140:12 144:11 147:23 150:24 156:12 159:4 162:7 164:16 171:2 174:23 177:7 **IEA** 3:16 46:8, *14* 47:4, 23 48:16, 19 49:21 50:4, 6, 20 51:7, 9 52:8, 10, 21 66:17 74:10 76:22 88:4, 12, 18 90:2 91:7, 12 92:12 99:15 106:16, 18, 23 107:3, 12, 15, 21 108:10, 15, 20, 25 109:9 118:9 120:17 126:9, 16 138:13 142:8 148:*1* 149:*3*, 7 153:5 154:6 155:10 158:10 175:11 176:11, 16 177:6, 23 **IEA/Barr** 98:12

IEA-00095047 150:*17* IEA-00227119 147:18 **impact** 98:11 **import** 100:10 155:11 important 44:7 69:13, 20 88:23 140:25 142:14 144:24 **imported** 104:24 131:4 153:22 **importing** 154:15 **imports** 100:15 impression 130:1 160:11 inches 43:13, 15, 22 98:14 inclination 115:1 **include** 53:23 60:16 86:18 87:22 88:2 102:12 118:21, 24 119:2 150:20 **includes** 76:21 **including** 60:13 80:3 82:2 88:8 102:14 162:3 inconsistent 12:22 13:19 **incorrect** 14:13 28:16 increase 73:9 increased 155:12 **incurred** 88:14 **INDEX** 3:1 **Indian** 3:12 113:10 157:24 164:12 168:9 indicate 30:24 32:19 43:1 67:16 93:13 108:25 indicated 55:4 66:17 82:21 83:8 115:25 119:21 123:15 126:9

214 indicates 18:2 31:4, 15, 20 60:2 91:7, 8 99:23 100:5 indicating 41:13 67:7 71:22 97:1 137:17 **individual** 110:*13*, *17* 111:*3* individuals 95:13 111:13, 18, 25 112:10 114:8 indulging 128:6 industry 34:17, 21 35:16 48:23 49:6, 7, 12, 15 61:7 62:12, 14 73:19, 22 117:5, 8, 11 118:13 119:24 120:6 121:15 122:1, 7, 18 136:19 **inform** 40:19 78:5 146:4 147:11 158:14 information 12:13, 20 22:13 28:2 29:9, 10 33:24 48:8 55:7 56:22 59:2, 3 65:9, 12, 18 66:1 94:6 96:8 122:13 124:24 135:11 162:24 171:12 173:5 informative 151:16 informing 40:3 151:14 initial 35:1 160:6 161:*1* initially 18:24 77:8 139:17 149:20, 25 160:*10* 162:20 **Injunction** 3:22 14:3 39:23 40:5 42:7 64:24 65:4

70:9 76:14 82:10 92:9 125:13 **input** 89:2 163:24 **inquired** 98:12 inquiring 113:9 **inspected** 130:*14* **instance** 138:12 instructed 10:14 50:4 164:24 instructions 152:23 153:3 163:4 **Insurance** 136:2 **integrity** 137:15, 19 intended 9:7 67:11 86:23 intent 41:24 42:5, 9 65:1, 2, 4 70:13 74:10 intentionally 64:14 94:19 interact 148:9 interested 183:15 interests 71:25 72:18 interjected 75:7 **internal** 115:16 **International** 7:13 **internet** 139:*15* interpret 72:15 interpretation 68:11 72:2 interrupt 80:5 95:10 119:13 interrupted 48:10 107:25 interruption 119:15 intervenerplaintiff 5:18 Intervenor-**Plaintiff** 1:7 2:2 introduce 17:4 150:16 introduced 161:24 introducing 174:16

Professional Reporters

179:5, 8, 21

183:12

involved 7:18
21:19 40:24
55:14, 16 65:8
76:20 109:24
164: <i>1</i> 175: <i>11</i>
involvement
23:16 77:15
91:25
issue 21:24 22:10
35:22 36:2 38:13, 16, 17 50:13 54:8,
10. 12 55:10
56:13 115:13
issues 10:21
21:14 38:23, 24
39:4, 7 43:23
72:5 104:22
110:12 134:24
151:15 156:6
157:5, <i>13</i> , <i>17</i>
158: <i>11</i>
issuing 38:18
Italian 125:4
Italy 21:21 175:1
items 151:18
its 14:7 48:6
49:22 50:6 66:25
135:19 137:2
158:23 160:12
181:7

< J > **Jacob** 151:23, 24, *25* 152:*1*, *6* 153:14 **January** 35:3, 10 **Jensen** 148:5, 6 151:*10* 153:*13* **Jerry** 175:25 JETERN 2:7 iibe 89:5 Joan 22:15 23:1, *3*, *15* 140:9, *24* 144:8, 16, 24 145:17 146:3 162:3, 10, 24 163:3, 16 Joan's 162:17

job 19:8 23:14 64:5 71:2 93:10 102:8 103:8, 14 104:4 111:6 143:3 144:18, 21 145:19 168:19 170:6 181:4 182:7 **Joe** 144:16 **jog** 150:2 **joined** 130:*16* **JP** 135:23 **JPM** 135:23 **July** 147:20 148:13 149:17 153:18 **jumping** 79:5 128:8 **JUNE** 1:13 4:18 5:7 35:4 150:19 151:4 152:9 182:6 183:7, 18 **junior** 16:14 **JURAT** 181:*1* **Justin** 151:7 < K > KANSAS 1:7 132:18, 19, 24 Katherine 2:2 5:16 79:13 127:23 Katherine's 179:1 **keep** 49:4 56:20 72:3 79:14 91:6 118:9 121:9

Katherine 2:2
5:16 79:13
127:23
Katherine's 179:1
keep 49:4 56:20
72:3 79:14 91:6
118:9 121:9
148:23
Kenny 175:24
kept 29:10
118:17
kicked 80:7
kind 12:6 13:3
34:12 47:10
54:13 62:9 67:8
90:3 111:18
117:1, 21 128:12
153:13 157:16
171:12

214 kindly 8:9 kinds 172:17 Klemesten 175:25 knew 26:22 50:25 59:3 64:17 141:20, 22 know 7:25 8:1, 2 9:13, 21 11:7, 18 12:12, 18, 19 17:14, 16 22:1, 17, 18 23:9, 15, 17 26:12 27:6 28:8, 21 32:9, 18, 23 33:2, 4, 6, 8, 16 34:12 35:12 36:1, 25 37:19 38:14, 24 39:2, 7, 13, 17 40:4 42:24 43:18, 21 45:17 48:12 52:1, 3, 4 54:17, 20 56:1, 7 57:11, 15 58:10, 13 59:11 60:6, 7 63:11, 17 64:19 65:17 67:1, 14 69:13, 17, 20, 21, 25 71:2, 4, 6, 10 72:11 75:8 76:16 77:12, 21 79:3, 10, 15 82:5, 7, 17 83:11, 16, 25 84:6, 12 85:16 86:10 87:2, 15 88:24 89:8, 12, 21 90:25 91:18 92:2, 11, 14, *15* 93:*13* 94:*7*, 8, 9, 12, 24 96:6, 11, 14, 17, 21, 25 97:2, *19* 98:22 100:*19* 101:13, 24 102:25 103:18 106:3 108:2, 19 109:10 110:1, 13, 14 111:5, 7, 16, 19 112:5, 17, 25 113:6, 7, 20 116:16 117:2 120:13 123:9, 12,

128:6, 7, 8, 10 129:1, 18, 24 130:10, 12 131:18 134:12 135:14, 15, 20 138:17 139:5, 8, 17 140:19 141:14 144:13 149:23 151:24 153:*1* 154:*5* 156:17, 20 157:9 159:19 160:19 161:4, 8 162:19, 21 163:2 165:23, *25* 166:*13* 167:*13* 169:20 170:1, 9, *12* 171:*1*, 9, *16* 176:13 177:11, 25 178:7 knowing 26:8, 19 27:3 85:4 103:8 knowledge 25:7, 17 26:4 29:9 40:17 64:16 69:7 71:1 72:7, 9 86:21 92:4 97:25 124:5 125:18 154:22 173:23 known 32:12 33:19 51:6 **knows** 166:*11*

< L > **labor** 155:13 **Lack** 95:24 land 32:12 **Landoll** 151:7 **lands** 30:2. 3 141:4 145:3 **language** 60:16 125:3 large 44:13 165:3, 5, 7, 16 larger 43:3, 5, 9, *15*, *24* 98:*14*, *23* **Larson** 151:7 late 35:4, 10 laws 148:17

Professional Reporters

18 124:*13*, *15*

lawsuit 6:9 7:11
14: <i>3</i> , 25 54: <i>15</i> 65:9
lay 136:23
leading 23:13
63:18
lease 146:22
158: <i>1</i>
leases 29:25
leave 45:19
63:14, 16 69:7
125:22
led 169:11
left 63:10
legal 32:17 58:11 65:8 76:7 96:15,
16 114:24 146:4,
15, 16 158:11, 16
length 128:8
Letter 3:11
110:10 111:3
112:12 113:9, 13,
15, 20, 21, 22, 25
114:2, 4, 7 115:2
158:2, 6, 11
167:18, 21, 22
168: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> 169: <i>4</i>
173: <i>14</i> , <i>22</i> 174: <i>1</i> ,
8, 12
level 16:8 33:24
lie 86:8, 10
lieu 141:2, 24
142:15 145:1, 13
Life 136:2
lighter 98:13
liked 97:16
limestone 87:17
100:10, 12, 16 limit 98:10
limitation 142:24 limitations 143:20
145:18 148:20
line 112:25 137:6
162:9 164:11
182:8
lines 22:5 42:23
118:22 140:16
157:7
lingo 159:21

-J Document 948-2
2: LinkedIn 3:20
17:6, 8, 14, 19
list 175:10, 21
listed 151:7
listen 85:22
lists 151:2, 18
170:22
litigation 144:2
167: <i>17</i> 170: <i>21</i>
little 11:23 17:7
20:25 23:21
30:18 39:12 42:19 54:5, 13
42:19 54:5, 13
59:19, 20, 21 64:7
67:22, 24 69:5
76: <i>3</i> 81: <i>12</i> 91: <i>5</i>
97:19 105:8
114: <i>13</i> 117: <i>19</i> 130: <i>13</i> 143: <i>13</i> 151: <i>17</i> 161: <i>15</i>
130:13 143:13
151:17 161:15
165: <i>1</i> 166: <i>12</i>
live 123:2, 12
lived 16:6 122:20,
23, 25 123:1
LLC 1:7, 9 3:15 29:3, 25 88:12
133:3, 8 181:2
182:2
LLC's 29:11
Lloyd 175:25
location 60:12, 19,
24 63:13
lock 115:13
Log 4:10 170:18,
20
logic 62:10, 19
long 7:5 9:9, 10
16:6 17: <i>17</i> 18: <i>18</i>
20:12 26:24
20: <i>12</i> 26:24 68: <i>15</i> 136: <i>11</i>
156:2 <i>1</i> 166: <i>13</i>
longer 149:21
150:6
look 17:10 36:24
42:18 66:4 68:1
72:20 74:8 88:10
114:5 128:9
140:13, 14 144:1,

² 1661 in USDC ND/O
4 14 151:17 153:12,
18 158:20 159:3
162:5 166:24
170:19 175:6
looked 167:1
174·21
looking 15:12 102:10 117:23
102: <i>10</i> 117:23
129:24 149:16, 17
153:9 166:22
172:22 173:3
175:9
looks 99:19
140: <i>10</i> 148: <i>3</i>
150:18 155:22, 25
158:25 164:14, 18 173:2, 3 174:19
173:2, <i>3</i> 174: <i>19</i>
175:10 177:5, 22
loose 67:8
lose 135:18, 19
losing 172:23
lost 81:11 153:16
lot 49:10 78:14
83:1 103:19
125:2 136:25 138:9 166: <i>11</i>
Louise 112:23
lower 139:25
lunch 79:8, 18
Lynn 2:11 5:21
Lynn 2.11 3.21
< M >
M.K.'s 178:25
ma'am 132:16
146: <i>1</i> 151:5
168:12 172:10
178:1, 5, 12
main 111:20
maintain 29:13
majority 11:23
62.17 62.13

< M >
M.K.'s 178:25
ma'am 132: <i>16</i>
146: <i>1</i> 151:5
168:12 172:10
178: <i>1</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>12</i>
main 111:20
maintain 29:13
majority 11:23
62:17 63:13
96:10
make-up 74:11
making 30:14
39:15 40:2 96:25
105: <i>3</i> , <i>9</i> 108: <i>14</i>
128:24
Maluska 150:21
man 134:7

management
21.15 22.7 8 9
21: <i>15</i> 22: <i>7</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>9</i> 32: <i>17</i> 33: <i>23</i>
57:25 102: <i>13</i>
163:23 175:1
manager 18:11,
<i>14</i> , <i>20</i> 19:4 20:2,
4 21:1, 3, 11 52:8
102:24 123:16, 20 133:14 176:15
manager's 133:19
manhours 155:6
man-hours
171:2 <i>1</i> 172:22, 25
manner 141:22
manpower 155:13
marathon 9:8
March 35:4, 11
mark 17:5 23:19
36:22 74:1 89:17
99:7 105:24
112:18 134:7
Marked 17: <i>11</i>
23:22 24:3 31:14
37:1 57:24 74:3
75:23 89:18 98:2,
20 99:11 106:4
113:2 114:2, 6
110.2 114.2, 0 $110.12 114.1 11$
140: <i>12</i> 144: <i>1</i> , <i>11</i> 147:23 150:24
156:12 159:4
162:7 164: <i>16</i> 167: <i>16</i> 171:2
174:23 177:7
Mary 2:2 5:16
79:13 127:23
179:1
material 43:11
60:8 61:17, 25
62:5, 6, 12, 15, 25
63:17 66:7, 13, 14,
<i>18</i> 67: <i>3</i> 68: <i>8</i> , <i>9</i> ,
13, 15, 17 69:2, 8,
14 70:4 87:19
98: <i>14</i> 99: <i>8</i>
100:11 104:24
105: <i>11</i> 112: <i>1</i>
119:19, 22 121:10
100 0 101 5 6

122:9 131:5, 6

Professional Reporters

10 147:18 150:10,

137:13 138:3
137.13 130.3
139:25 140: <i>3</i> 142:25 143: <i>3</i>
145:21 167:12
materials 56:4
69:21 87:23
101:2 <i>1</i> 120:25
131:11 141:2, 21,
24, 25 142:14, 15,
20 143:12 145:1,
<i>13</i> 146:6 154: <i>16</i>
155:7 162:12
math 100:19
matrix 38:13, 18
matter 5:2 7:6
83:7 152:20
matters 33:22
Matula 106:2, 3
Maysville 123:13
Mazurowski
51:17 99:19
105: <i>19</i> 121: <i>8</i> , <i>21</i>
129:5, 13 140:18
147:25 151:8
156: <i>1</i> , <i>11</i> 175: <i>19</i>
Mazurowski's
177: <i>1</i>
McClanahan 1:16
5:11 74:3 81:24
89:25 104: <i>14</i>
mean 9:3 32:8
33:15 56:7 68:5
72:12, 14, 15 79:18 82:19 83:4,
1
16 103:1 104:19
139:21 159:15, 20
179:25
meaning 70:23
means 32:19
38:14 159:16
165:24
meant 33:2 34:2
152:9
meet 98:15
151:10 161:8, 12
Meeting 3:23 4:6
36:22 37:2, 3, 4, 7,
11, 17, 20 38:11,
11,17,20 30.11,

2:
25 150: <i>18</i> 151: <i>3</i> , <i>12</i>
meetings 19:18
37:10 38:9 77:8
132: <i>11</i>
Megan 1:22, 24
5:22 11:4 80:25
members 123:7
memorandum
146:4, 15 158:16
memory 12:23
13:20 14:15
15:25 142: <i>12</i>
150:2 164:23
171:4 173:6
mention 42:13
142:17 159:18
mentioned 53:14 111:14, 25 134:2,
111: <i>14</i> , <i>25</i> 134:2,
20
mentioning 72:3
157:11
message 142:4, 9
messaging 157:16
met 11:4
method 149:22
150:7
Mexico 2:12
Michaela 115:16,
20 116:15
Michelle 1:22
5:13
mid 161:10
Mid-Continent
2:8
middle 6:12 36:6
46: <i>1</i> 66: <i>6</i> 91: <i>6</i>
98: <i>4</i> 104: <i>16</i>
114:13
Mike 140:17
151:8 175:24
176:1, 3, 11
million 82: <i>3</i>
83:24 84:1 86:5,
18 87:21 88:9, 13,
15 91:9, 13 94:1
95:22 97:7 99:2
105:18

166 III 030C ND/0
4 mino 152.24
mine 153:24
154:6
mineral 106:21
108:16 141:4
145:3 156:6
157:4, <i>12</i> , <i>17</i>
MINERALS 1:6
5:3, 18 54:16
81:2 87:6, 8, 11,
<i>16</i> 99:25 101:4,
10 107:4 109:4
114:14 116:1, 11
127:24 143:6
157:25 169: <i>1</i>
181:2 182:2
mining 141:6 145:5 146:17, 22
145:5 146:17, 22
148: <i>16</i> 149: <i>8</i>
151:22 152: <i>10</i> , <i>13</i>
165: <i>6</i> , <i>17</i>
minus 117:12
minutes 55:5
166:9, <i>14</i> 178: <i>14</i>
mislead 41:25
42:5 64:11 94:15
95: <i>1</i> , <i>14</i>
misleading 65:13
misled 94:13
missing 75:2
Misstates 26:10
mistruths 76:9
mitigation 151:22
mixed 100:9
160:14
mknagle@pipeste
mlaw.com 2:5
Mmm-hmm
42:22 122:10
129:10 130:9, 11
131:2, 13 133:15
131.2, <i>13</i> 133.13 134:8 135: <i>14</i>
140:21 144:12
140.41 144.14 1/15.22 151.10
145:23 151:19 155:17 160:1, 4
161:3 163: <i>1</i>
169: <i>10</i> 173: <i>11</i>
MODRALL 2:11
moment 153:8

money 97:14, 16, 19 98:19 99:24 101:10 105:5 109:19, 23 116:2, 11 month 19:18 35:21 145:25 monthly 37:2, 4, 10 38:9, 11 77:8 132:10 174:25 months 20:16 177:12 MOSKALUK 1:12 3:3 4:17 5:2, 9 6:2, 11, 21 23:23 127:22 151:9 167:20 170:23 173:5 177:4 178:22 180:14, 17 181:5, *12, 17* 182:*4* 183:8 Moskaluk's 3:20 **Motion** 3:22 14:3 move 45:17 142:20 155:19 161:23 170:14 174:15 moved 60:11, 18, **movement** 137:*16* **Moving** 164:8 **multiple** 21:19 **Mutual** 136:2

<N>
Nagle 2:2, 3 3:5
5:16, 17 79:14
127:17, 21, 23, 24
135:4 141:14
145:16 146:9, 20
149:15 163:8
166:10, 21 170:1
171:25 174:13
178:6, 15
name 6:7, 10, 12
20:24 21:8 30:15
32:1 46:10 51:25
98:7 110:17, 21,

Professional Reporters

moments 153:9

25 127:22 133:18, 19, 24 134:5 167:24 names 5:8 6:20 132:5 **Nation** 32:6 81:4 86:19 104:23 105:7 106:20 108:16 141:3 145:2 146:10, 22 147:11 148:16 **native** 66:14 **nature** 11:17 86:8 94:20 155:6 163:2*1* necessarily 35:19 79:19 necessary 50:12 126:19 149:9 152:15, 23 **need** 24:4 80:6 115:13 146:17 147:8 149:*1* 153:16 157:16 158:15, 20 162:11 **needed** 52:16 53:3, 18 56:5 58:*1* 147:*3* needs 115:15 negotiating 178:3 never 21:11 33:24 55:16 86:23 90:10 91:1 109:24 120:17 121:9 145:12, 14 New 2:12 54:3 60:11.19 104:11 150:12 170:8 nice 124:18 **nicety** 138:7 nickname 6:22 Nicoletti 151:9 **night** 136:16 **ninth** 24:19 Nolan 1:16 5:12 **normal** 22:5 35:17 75:3 165:4 normally 38:10 41:11 61:16

73:20 75:4 111:19 131:6 138:11 NORMAN 2:7 **NORTH** 1:9 16:4 17:23 18:3 125:24 129:21 northeast 30:3 NORTHERN 1:1, *17* 5:5 Northwestern 136:2 **Notary** 181:16, 23 **note** 75:11 100:9 140:7, 9 144:23 150:17 159:12, 17 160:5 162:2 164:9, 14 177:2 **noted** 66:15 Notes 4:6 150:14, *19* 166:24 170:23 171:16 172:12 **notify** 74:12 **noun** 38:17 November 80:2 82:1 88:7 **nudge** 161:16 **number** 67:23 74:6 81:23 82:6, 8 83:5, 12 84:1, 6, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19, 22 85:8, 18 86:5, 17 89:12, 19 95:14 99:1, 3, 13 126:6 155:12 174:6 number-crunching 173:25 **numbers** 83:17, 20 89:3, 5 90:10 91:1, 19, 22, 24, 25 92:2, 10, 11, 16, 21 93:1, 3, 4, 6, 10, 14, 17, 18 94:5, 8 97:17 100:7, 20 102:18 106:9 172:5, 6, 9, 17, 20 173:7, 21 177:17,

numeral 67:22, 23, 2.5 **NW** 2:12 < 0 > oath 49:21 53:7 57:11, 15 63:21 64:12 75:16 78:5 80:16 82:18, 22 85:4, 15, 24 127:8 181:5 **Object** 10:7, 12 12:9, 24 13:16 19:23 21:16 22:21 23:5 26:10, 21 27:5, 22 28:19 31:1, 17, 22 32:14, 22 33:3, 20 34:3, 22 35:24 38:20 39:24 42:2, 8 45:7, 14 46:21 47:16 48:3 49:18, 24 50:8, 15 51:2, 13, 18 52:12, 18 53:4, 10, 19, 25 54:19 55:12, 22 56:6, 14 59:5 60:5 61:15 62:3, 23 64:3, 13, 25 65:6, 14, 16, 21, 23 66:21 67:10, 19 68:20 69:10, 16, 24 70:11 71:15 72:1 75:24 76:10, 15 77:6, 17, 24 79:2 81:5, 11, 19 82:12 84:2, 7, 23 85:6 86:3, 15, 20 87:1, 9, 13, 24 88:20, 25 89:11 91:16 92:13, 18 93:5, 16 94:2, 10, 18 95:4, 15, 24 96:4 97:11 98:21 100:1 101:6, 12, *23* 102:22 103:*10* 104:7 105:14, 20 107:6, 17 108:21 109:21 110:3

112:3 113:3 115:7 116:4, 12 118:2 120:*1* 121:12 122:2 123:21 124:6, 12, 17 125:1, 14, 20 135:1 141:12 145:9 146:8, 18 149:11 163:7 169:23 171:19 174:9 180:9 **objection** 10:*13* 13:13 40:7 56:16 109:12 observing 5:23 **obtain** 109:4 113:14 169:5, 12, 14 **obtained** 110:8 114:15 169:2, 21 obtaining 87:7 107:4 169:8 occasionally 19:17 occur 111:9 **occurred** 131:18 **occurring** 134:*19* **October** 4:4 34:7, 13 35:1 105:25 112:17 114:10 167:18 173:2, 8 174:19 175:2 **offhand** 7:20 20:14 **OFFICE** 1:17 5:11, 14 6:8 111:21 132:10 176:15 183:17 **offices** 183:7 official 110:6 **offsite** 162:14, 21 **Oh** 7:19 16:7 67:24 90:15 93:6 110:14 113:5 138:8 141:18 144:2 153:16 171:7 173:16 Okay 5:24 6:20, 25 7:5, 10, 21, 24 8:13, 14, 23 9:5,

Professional Reporters

19

18, 23, 24 10:10, 15, 17, 20 11:15, 21, 25 12:6 13:22 14:9, 12, 15 15:4, 14, 20 16:2, 8, 16, 19, 25 17:18, 21 18:2, 10, 14, 18, 23 19:3, 7, 12, 15, 19 20:1, 12, 19 21:4, 9, 13 22:6, 15, 19 23:3 24:16, 24 25:3, 18 27:11, 16, 24 28:5, 13 29:23 30:14 31:19, 24 32:3, 10, 24 33:9, 25 34:5, 12, 24 35:9, 20 37:3, 6, 13, 17, 24 38:5, 12, 22 39:2, 5, 10, 14, 20 40:18 41:9, 12, 16 42:11, 22 43:5, 14 44:7, 12, 16 45:3, 20 46:1, 16 47:2, 10 48:9, 11, 22 49:14, 20 50:2, *11* 51:4, 9, 25 52:9, 25 53:13, 16, 22 54:20 55:1, 4, *17* 56:24 57:2, 22 58:10, 13 59:1, 7, 14 60:1, 10 61:1, 10 62:9, 18 63:19 64:17, 21 65:3, 11, 18 67:6, 15, 21 68:12, 17 69:19 70:2, 14, 20 71:7 72:20 73:21, 24 74:5 75:11 76:12, 18 77:4, 12, 20 78:1 79:4 80:8 81:2, 7, 21, 24 83:8, 22 84:4, 17, 21 85:2, 12 86:9, *17*, *22* 87:*3*, *18*, *20* 88:5, 22 89:6 90:12, 15 91:3 92:24 94:12 97:4 98:1, 25 99:6, 23 100:25 101:16

214 102:3, 9 103:7 104:10 105:16 106:6, 19 107:23 108:6, 18, 24 109:3, 7, 17 111:12 112:7, 16 113:4, 8, 24 114:9, *11*, *19* 115:*1*, *9*, *23* 116:16, 19, 22 117:18 118:4, 9, *12*, *17*, *21* 119:9, 18 120:4, 17, 23 121:8 122:20 123:7, 14, 23 124:9, 14, 20 125:7 126:16, 18, 21, 24, 25 127:12, *15, 19* 128:5, 21 129:15, 24 131:21 132:5, 14, 22 133:1, 7, 11, 21, 23 134:1, 11, 16 135:7, 21, 25 136:10 139:14, 21 140:4, 14 142:2, *12, 17* 143:23 144:17, 23 145:16 146:2, 12 147:7, *10, 14* 148:*3, 9, 23* 149:15 150:5, 9, *12* 151:1, 6, 17 152:2, 8, 12, 17 153:3, 7, 15, 17 154:4, 9, 19, 24 155:2, 8, 19, 21 156:16, 20 157:2, 10, 15, 23 158:5, 9, 19, 22 159:12, 17 161:6, *21* 162:9, *23* 163:*3*, *8*, *15*, *20* 164:7, 18, 21 165:1, 15, 19 166:2, 7, 21 167:7, *14* 168:3, *13*, *24* 169:20 170:1, 13, *14* 171:7, *10*, *25* 172:16, 20 173:2, 17, 19 174:13

18, 20, 22 177:9, 13, 22 178:2, 6, 15 179:*18* 180:*11*, *15* OKLAHOMA 1:1, 17, 18 2:4, 9 5:5 20:24 24:20 30:4 60:15 113:10 122:20, 24 123:8, 9 181:17, 23 183:2, 4, 17, 18 **old** 13:4 once 19:17 23:14 45:16 82:13 91:18 120:21 132:10, 11 134:13 145:19 168:10 ones 16:1 48:7 128:19 ongoing 35:6 onsite 153:24 **on-site** 34:8 **operation** 113:*11* 131:9 159:14 operations 113:15 opportunity 8:4 114:*4* 154:2*1* **opposed** 149:*3* 153:4 opposition 40:5 82:10 125:12 **option** 167:4, 8 172:14 Option/Change 4:2 **options** 98:18 **Order** 4:2 20:7 64:23 89:16 90:11, 16 91:3, 7 98:3, 6, 23 104:12 116:11 128:11, 13 129:16, 20 130:2 149:20 orders 90:6 91:11 94:5 128:14, 20 129:4, *7, 12* 130:5 **Organizational**

organization's 46:10 original 88:11, 17 89:8 91:8 93:22, 24 95:22 97:8 117:21 153:23 154:5 **originally** 130:*14* 154:10 155:15 **Orlando** 7:11, 13 16:23 **OSAGE** 1:6, 7 3:15, 23 5:3, 4, 18 20:11, 13, 21 21:2, 4, 10 23:4, 10, 16 27:14 29:3, 4, 11, 13, 20, 24 30:4, 5, 15 32:6 34:6 54:16, 17 55:18, 19 66:17 69:14 72:6, 23 74:9 76:21, 22 77:2, 4, 10, 12, 15 81:3, 4 82:24 83:11, 14 86:19 87:6, 8 88:12, 14, 18 90:7 97:22 99:25 101:4 102:6 104:22 105:7 106:15, 20 107:4 108:16 110:9 113:10, 11 114:16 116:1 122:16, 22 127:24 131:22 132:*1*, *17* 133:*1*, *3*, *6*, *8*, *12* 135:21, 25 136:6 138:19 139:19 140:8 141:3, 11 144:2, 7, 19 145:2, 18 146:10, 16, 22 147:11 148:10, 16 151:22 152:*10*, *13* 155:24 157:20, 25 158:24 162:1, 17 164:10, 12 167:17 169:3, 21 170:21 173:3 174:17 175:14 176:2

Professional Reporters

176:1, 11, 13, 16,

3:14

177:3, 5, 10, 24 178:3 181:2 182:2 **outcome** 156:14 167:*11* **outside** 58:12 66:13 96:16 104:24 111:3 122:2*1* overall 102:13 130:*1* oversaw 65:19 **oversee** 19:10 102:7 oversight 42:15 **owned** 81:3, 9, 17 owner 74:12, 15 97:15 owners 30:2

< P > **p.m** 37:9 80:10, 11, 12 127:2, 3, 5 166:17, 18, 20 178:17, 18, 20 180:16, 18 package 120:9, 10 **pad** 62:6, 7 pads 66:10, 11 page 1:21 3:2, 20 4:1 17:6, 8, 9, 15, *19* 24:*16*, *17* 25:*3* 26:4 28:6 29:5, 23, 24 42:20, 21, 23 60:14 66:4, 5, 7 67:23, 24, 25 70:15 72:21 74:8 81:22, 23 91:6 98:3, 4 102:10, 11 104:16 106:7, 19 108:5 112:20 114:21 115:10 151:18 170:19 175:6, 7, 9 182:8 **pages** 28:6 183:10 **paid** 87:21

101:25

paragraph 28:23, 24, 25 29:24 32:4 33:9 34:5, 24, 25 36:6 39:11 59:18, 19 66:5 70:15 72:20, 21 76:18 78:21 79:5, 6 80:1 81:22 88:6 102:11 paralegals 5:14 parenthesis 151:23 parking 7:13 Part 8:15 9:19, 20 28:25 34:19 41:13, 21 42:16 60:18 75:5 78:3 90:8 93:10 103:4 120:9 123:4 126:7 138:13 143:16 148:15 160:6, 10 161:16 163:15 **Partially** 145:10 participants 150:20 participated 139:1 particular 19:21 50:20 62:5 63:14, *16* 69:7 143:*1* particulars 85:10 **parties** 53:14 56:2 65:10 79:10 131:10 **partner** 127:23 parts 42:19 party 183:14 pass 127:16 128:15, 16 178:11 **passed** 128:21 158:7 168:*1* **Pat** 176:13, 14 pause 158:12, 15 **pauses** 161:11 pay 32:16 86:23 90:24 101:21 **payment** 88:2, 3

214 87:22 **PDF** 24:18 28:6 pedestal 137:4 penalty 25:6 26:2, 8 28:17 32:20 53:7 85:24 135:3, 5, 8 **people** 11:22, 24 12:11, 14 21:19 29:9 33:23 48:6 76:20 93:18 94:4 129:2 133:4, 8 percent 44:1 100:12, 13 172:7 perfect 99:9 **perfectly** 146:16 **perform** 153:22 performed 74:14 **period** 35:13 163:13 periods 132:8 perjury 25:6 26:3, 8 28:18 32:20 53:8 80:16 85:24 127:8 permissible 143:11 145:8 permission 55:20, 24 87:7 99:25 116:*1* **permit** 87:7 101:4 106:21, 24 107:4, 8, 13, 14, 15, *16*, *22* 108:*15*, *16*, 20 109:1, 4, 9, 10, *15, 18* 110:8 113:15 114:15 146:9, 17, 22 147:8, 12 149:1, 8 151:22 152:10, 13, *15*, 22, 25 158:*1*, 4 169:2, 6, 8, 14, 21 170:7 **permits** 115:4, 18 **person** 7:12 19:20 22:17 23:7 38:6 58:7 93:1 94:25 95:7

110:20 124:23

125:17 168:3, 13 171:8 personally 128:22 **person's** 134:*4* **pertain** 151:13 172:25 pertaining 33:22 171:2*1* pertinent 20:5 148:12 **Phillips** 114:*1* 167:19 173:15, 22 174:1, 8 **phone** 22:3, 4 **phrased** 42:16 71:20 **picture** 165:22 pieces 45:4, 12 165:9 PIPESTEM 2:3 5:17 127:24 pit 137:24 138:2, 5 139:6, 9, 18 150:1, 6 **pits** 138:17 place 40:20, 22 41:21 45:19 67:3 111:10 143:3 144:21 151:12 161:2 163:*17*, 21 181:9 **placed** 152:19 **Plaintiff** 1:4, 12, plaintiffs 64:23 76:14 92:9 125:12 Plaintiff's 3:21 **plan** 74:13 119:21 130:19, 25 139:9, 18 154:10 160:6, 10 **planned** 130:22 149:25 **plans** 117:21 120:7 121:3, 23 122:12 149:20, 24 **plate** 137:6

Professional Reporters

payments 86:18

play 125:3 players 170:9 **please** 5:7 10:24 36:11 78:19 81:13 100:9 115:12, 19 140:24 142:4 156:6 163:9 **plus** 110:23 117:12 **point** 9:11 17:18 75:22 79:7, 15, 19 98:5 104:21 105:13 106:20 116:10 147:5 154:*14* 161:9 175:2 **points** 57:14 115:17, 24 116:9, 17, 20 **Ponca** 122:25 **portion** 13:11 32:4 33:9, 10 45:23 69:5 122:4 **position** 97:21 106:14 125:11 147:12 possession 29:20 **possible** 73:10 129:*17* **possibly** 7:4 77:8 109:14 165:6 167:1 171:22 **POWER** 1:9 17:23 18:3 19:2 46:23 48:7 129:2*1* **PR** 112:24, 25 113:1 **practical** 153:21 practice 29:12 49:16 60:22 61:6 62:14, 21 64:5 73:3, 19 74:20 75:17 78:22 118:13 120:6 preference 79:11, 23

214 **Preliminary** 3:22 172:5 **prep** 11:9 preparation 14:7 29:2 35:5 **prepare** 10:17, 22 11:2 15:10, 15, 24 24:2 28:9 29:13 31:15 172:17 173:7 **prepared** 14:23 25:23 30:17, 25 31:4 54:2 58:10 preparing 49:20 155:3 172:12 PRESENT 1:22 82:15 86:6 99:3 presented 28:10 32:1 58:3, 4, 6 59:3 92:17 96:6 103:7 158:2 preserving 10:13 president 38:3 48:15 106:17 108:9 presidents 52:20 108:10 **pretty** 126:22 **prevent** 179:16 prevents 179:8, *12* previous 57:19 75:13 80:19, 21 91:11 114:20 127:10, 13 147:17 168:14 previously 75:13 82:21 98:2 114:2 123:15 144:1 147:16 155:20 158:23 167:16 175:23 176:25 **Price** 21:8 38:1, 2 48:14 88:12, 18, 24 89:9 91:8 93:23, 24 95:21, 23 97:6, 7, 8 101:15 110:11 115:11, 24 116:9,

128:16, 25 135:10 141:19, 23 142:13 146:3 152:20 157:10 158:8 159:9, 11 164:19 165:2 168:2 **prior** 17:21 26:10 40:21 51:22 57:17, 18 75:22 147:24 **Priv-000089** 144:7 **Priv-000094** 162:*1* Priv-000111 170:2*1* Priv-000165 164:*11* Priv-000243 167:18 **Priv-108** 173:*3* privately-owned 30:1 Privilege 4:10 11:8 170:18, 20 privileged 171:12 privy 21:20 93:4 **Probably** 20:15 28:20 39:1 56:22 63:1 83:17 84:13 85:8, 18 86:5 88:4 97:15 125:15 132:9 **problem** 11:*1* 21:24 22:10 38:18 116:7 **problems** 21:*14* **Procedure** 3:14 4:18 54:4 98:13 **proceed** 109:14 proceeding 55:10 109:*17* **proceeds** 102:*15* process 39:17, 21 40:3, 10 41:14, 25 42:6, 12 48:24 49:9 54:21 55:7 58:23 60:13, 17, 21 61:12, 24 62:11, 19, 20 63:5, 8, 9, 25 64:18, 19

65:19, 20 77:23 78:6 95:8, 11, 12 97:24 104:18 107:8 118:15, 18 128:13 165:13 170:16 **prod** 20:11 production 99:16, 17 **Project** 3:23 4:2, *11* 12:23 13:1, 3 19:10, 13, 21 20:10, 11, 13, 17, 19, 21 21:2, 3, 5, 10, 24 22:10 23:4, 8, 11, 16 29:6, 8 30:5, 19 32:11 33:17 34:6, 15, 16 35:17, 22 37:22 38:23 50:20 51:1 52:8 55:18 63:2, 14 66:13, 20 67:5, 7 72:25 73:3 75:17 76:21 77:16, 18, 19 78:22 80:3 81:9, 17 82:2 87:22 88:8, 13 90:7 97:10, 14, 17, 22 99:2 100:23 102:6, 14, 15, 24 106:15 109:5, 19, 23 110:5, 7 111:13 113:12 116:3, 20 118:4, 19 120:14, 18, 22 122:16, 21, 22 123:5, 15 125:24 126:4, 14 130:16 132:8, 13 133:2, *14*, *16*, *18* 134:*13*, 18 135:17 136:3, 7 138:4, 16 141:1, *3*, *4* 142:6 144:25 145:2, 3 147:24 148:16 149:9, 21 152:24 170:25 171:17 174:18

Professional Reporters

15 125:15, 17

175:11 176:2 projected 160:20 projects 49:11, 12 60:13, 15 63:11 66:20 81:3 118:10 **proper** 61:20 **properly** 144:22 property 30:6 32:5 33:11 35:2, **protocol** 111:*19* provide 48:7 123:18 **provided** 65:9, 11 82:6, 7 83:18, 19 84:14 89:2 90:2 92:10 93:7, 18 94:25 96:7 100:5 105:19 120:21 124:25 179:22 providing 44:25 55:6 provisions 178:4 **Public** 113:7 181:*16*, *23* pull 23:18 36:21 57:23 66:2 73:24 74:7 89:15 98:1 99:6 105:23 112:16 113:25 114:2 128:9 143:25 147:15 176:24 **pulled** 17:*7* 89:22 150:13 **purchase** 132:*12* purchased 131:5 141:25 142:15 purchasing 154:16 167:8 purpose 9:25 27:11 43:2, 6, 10, 21 44:17 45:4 50:13 61:13 62:22 66:14 68:3, 8, 18 70:4, 24 76:12 79:1

214 **purposes** 68:14 70:17, 21, 23 71:10, 13, 22 72:13 pursuant 4:17 25:5 26:1 pursue 98:12, 18 **pursued** 167:5 **put** 25:24 40:25 41:4 43:23 65:10 94:5 143:7 154:21 159:24 161:2 **putting** 143:12 165:8 176:9 < Q > qualified 125:10 quantities 46:11 49:5 quantity 120:9 **quarry** 113:11 140:24 162:15, 21 **quarrying** 113:*14* 115:4 **question** 8:13, 16 9:13, 14, 15, 19, 20 10:2, 4, 5, 12 12:20 13:9, 15, 25 14:19 22:24 32:7 33:13 36:9 41:19 44:10, 16 45:21 49:14 50:22 52:25 54:13

140:24 162:15, 21
quarrying 113:14
115:4
question 8:13, 16
9:13, 14, 15, 19, 20
10:2, 4, 5, 12
12:20 13:9, 15, 25
14:19 22:24 32:7
33:13 36:9 41:19
44:10, 16 45:21
49:14 50:22
52:25 54:13
56:11 61:23
71:19, 20 76:17
78:12, 18, 25
81:13 83:17
85:23 113:12
121:16, 17, 18, 19
122:4 125:7, 8
143:18 149:6
179:5
questioning
176:24 178:22
questions 8:4, 10,
12 59:9, 11 128:1,
3 136:18 166:23

168:20 178:8, 25 179:1, 4 180:13 **quick** 57:1 111:6 126:23 150:15 179:4 **quickly** 126:24 **quite** 10:25 128:7

quite 10:25 128:7 < R > rain 151:15 ran 17:16 41:5 **Randy** 151:8 153:*13* range 99:12 rate 161:7 rationale 164:4 **Ray** 2:7 5:20 10:7 11:4 12:9, 24 13:13, 16 19:23 21:16 22:21 23:5 26:10, 21 27:5, 22 28:19 31:1, 17, 22 32:14, 22 33:3, 20 34:3, 22 35:24 38:20 39:24 40:7 42:2, 8 45:7, 14 46:21 47:16 48:3 49:18, 24 50:8, 15 51:2, 13, 18 52:12, 18 53:4, 10, 19, 25 54:19 55:12, 22 56:6, 14, 16 57:3 59:5 60:5 61:15 62:3, 23 64:3, 13, 25 65:6, 14, 16, 21, 23 66:21 67:10. 19 68:20 69:10, 16, 24 70:11 71:15 72:1 75:24 76:10, 15 77:6, 17, 24 79:2, 18 80:25 81:5, 11, 19 82:12 84:2, 7, 23 85:6 86:3, 15, 20 87:1, 9, 13, 24 88:20, 25 89:11, 19 91:16

92:13, 18 93:5, 16

94:2, 10, 18 95:4,

15, 24 96:4 97:11 98:21 99:12 100:1 101:6, 12, *23* 102:22 103:*10* 104:7 105:14, 20 106:8 107:6, 17 108:21 109:12, 21 110:3 112:3 113:3 115:7 116:4, 12 118:2 120:1 121:12 122:2 123:21 124:6, 12, 17 125:1, 14, 20 135:1 141:12 145:9 146:8, 18 149:11 163:7 169:23 171:19 174:9 178:13, 21 180:9. *13* **read** 13:11 14:10 24:5, 13 25:9, 13, 14, 15 28:25 29:16 35:7 39:12 45:23 46:5 73:6 91:14 105:1, 13 106:25 114:17 140:15 158:7 178:23 179:19 180:14 181:6 **reading** 73:15 113:18 115:6, 21 141:7 **ready** 178:10 real 150:14 realization 131:17 realize 94:22 152:4 realized 101:2 really 12:12 13:2, 6 20:14 21:20 26:14 30:9 35:14 36:19 37:16 39:9 42:16 45:16 47:18 53:20 58:21 59:13 60:6 66:25 67:13 77:2 79:3 83:23 92:22

93:8 96:7, 9, 21

Professional Reporters

103:18 107:18 109:16 110:4, 15 111:19 125:5 128:21 130:10 131:20 134:21 143:14 147:9 148:8 150:8 152:11 155:14 156:*14* 157:*15* 161:20 162:22 168:1, 20, 23 170:8, 9 171:6 172:6, 21 173:9 174:10, 12 176:17, 20 177:18 **realm** 102:2, 5 re-ask 9:22 44:16 50:22 69:19 81:12 92:24 125:8 **reason** 8:25 9:18 46:18 49:4 60:3 63:21, 25 70:2, 5, 7 97:5 107:9 139:16 144:4 171:*14* reasonable 72:25 reasoning 30:9 164:4 recall 21:1 24:24 28:11 31:7, 9 33:4, 6 35:15 36:4, 10, 17 37:16 39:4, 9 45:16 50:1, 9 53:20 58:5, 21, 25 59:13, 16 76:3 77:2, 14 84:25 90:3 99:21, *22* 103:2 108:*1* 111:8, 12 113:18 115:6, 21 116:19 126:7 129:14, 17 130:5, 16 131:17 132:5 133:18 134:4, 12, 14 135:4, 7, 13 136:9 142:7 145:24 146:13, 14 147:7, 10, 22 148:6

149:7, 24 150:3, 4, 5 151:3 152:12 154:14, 18, 24 156:10, 16, 24 157:6, 10, 15, 23 158:5 159:2 162:6 163:11, 15 164:23 167:4, 7, 20 168:16 169:4 171:14 172:8, 16 177:9, 13 receive 129:3, 4 received 58:9 115:2 158:6 163:5 173:14, 22 177:12, 14 receiving 177:9 recess 57:6 80:11 127:3 166:18 178:18 rechecking 100:21 **recipient** 147:19 153:19 **Reck** 175:25 recollect 75:3 recollection 12:7 38:8 51:5 172:*1*, 11 recommendations 44:6 **record** 5:6, 8 6:10 8:8, 15 9:4 10:1 47:5, 14 48:20 49:17 50:4, 12 51:10 52:10 57:4, 7, 10, 18 75:11 80:6, 9, 13, *15* 116:25 127:1, *4*, *7* 140:7 150:*17* 164:10 166:16, 19 177:2 178:16, 19 179:10.11 180:15 recorded 47:25 49:1, 23 52:17 53:3, 8, 18, 24 56:11 recording 48:13

214 records 29:3, 8, 14 46:2 47:12 82:23 83:1, 10, 14, 20 **recurring** 151:*12* **Red** 112:23 **reda** 177:18 redacted 91:2 177:18 179:23, 24, *25* 180:*1* redaction 179:24 Redirect 3:6 179:2 **redlined** 117:23 **redo** 47:11 refer 52:2 95:7 150:23 179:10 reference 31:9 44:25 179:6 referenced 47:1 113:20 116:22 referencing 109:8 referred 46:19 100:7 referring 14:1 22:19 46:7 60:18, 19 71:11 75:12 76:22 77:10 87:16 116:14 137:11 159:22, 23 refers 30:4 151:21, 24 **reflect** 65:19 120:6 126:14 160:13 reflected 119:7 120:3, 8 reflective 131:11 reflects 40:15 **refrain** 114:14 168:25 **refresh** 164:23 173:6 **regard** 139:24 regarding 83:12 99:8 113:9, 10 136:2 156:4, 23

regards 119:5 145:20 regroup 41:6 **regular** 29:12 75:7 regularly 29:11 regulatory 22:16 reiterate 57:14 **relate** 7:10 **related** 7:14, 16 130:6 174:1, 5, 7 relates 55:9 relating 22:10 23:10 56:15 relation 23:4 144:19 172:21 relations 89:9 97:23 106:14 108:15 113:7 relationship 174:12 relative 14:25 90:7 116:20 183:14 relevant 89:7 relied 92:8 relies 20:6 relocated 117:2 rely 92:10, 16 93:3 **relying** 40:25 93:14 **remain** 60:9 61:19 68:6 137:21 143:2 remaining 62:25 remember 7:19 13:2, 5 17:12 20:14, 24 25:22 26:15 28:12 35:14 46:9 63:15 82:8, 14 83:3, 6, 23 84:16 85:19 89:14 92:5, 22 94:11 96:7, 10, 23 103:2, 17, 20 108:3 111:5 116:21 129:11, 15 132:21 133:23

Professional Reporters

170:24

49:8

134:9 141:18 156:13, 14, 15 164:17 167:23 168:11, 20, 23 174:2*1* remembered 85:10 remembering 136:*13* **remind** 80:15 127:7 removal 141:4 145:3 remove 141:1, 21 144:25 **removed** 165:3, 8 **removing** 145:21 **renew** 13:*13* Renewable 3:16 34:20 rental 171:22 173:*1* **repeat** 10:24 25:11 36:11 42:3 78:14, 18 128:2 repetitive 128:2 rephrase 9:22 121:18, 19 143:17 **replace** 61:*17* **report** 19:9 96:8 126:13 131:10 151:14 159:6, 7 160:11 174:25 **REPORTED** 1:25 19:16 29:10 86:7 111:17 122:13 reporter 5:24 8:6, 22 13:8, 10, 11 45:21, 22, 23 80:6 182:5 reporter's 144:5 represent 5:15, 17 6:8 47:23 100:6, 20 127:24 144:5 representations 86:11 representative 133:5

represented 106:17 representing 47:4 **request** 39:23 40:5 42:7 64:23 65:4 70:8 76:14 82:10 125:12 129:16 173:13 requested 13:11 45:23 170:25 177:11 requests 92:9 129:20 130:2 173:10 **require** 146:25 157:25 required 27:4 41:14 46:13, 14 48:19 106:21 108:17 115:4, 18 126:10 147:12 requirement 43:14, 19 51:9 56:17 67:4, 7, 8 120:21 requirements 27:3 61:19 98:16 reread 13:9 25:14 45:21 88:6 reserve 178:21 180:*13* **resided** 122:24 residential 16:2 **respond** 8:5, 11 10:2, 4 14:17 113:13 115:3 responded 10:6 12:2 responding 9:15 Response 3:21 13:15 14:2 54:6 90:2 98:14 161:18 163:16 responsibilities 19:8 90:8 103:4, 9, 15 104:4 144:18 176:8

9, 18 50:5, 24 90:22 102:21 120:12 responsible 12:14 46:10 48:5 120:11 129:7 169:8 restricted 45:15 64:6 restrictions 33:12, 14 63:2 67:3, 12 141:10 142:18 **results** 98:24 retire 17:2 retired 17:1, 22 18:*3* 126:*1* retirement 17:22 **return** 61:13 62:12, 15 68:3 **returned** 59:23 60:4 61:8 63:5, 23 **reused** 165:4 review 11:13, 15 13:23 14:6, 9 15:2, 23 29:19 30:22 58:14 82:23 83:2, 10 115:12 128:17 reviewed 11:19, 21 12:21 15:5, 9 24:1, 11 25:19, 24 29:2 50:18, 24 83:21 84:10 158:10 167:20 179:23 reviewing 26:18, 23 154:24 158:5 **revised** 91:*12* 93:25 97:7 **Rhodes** 1:25 4:19 182:5 183:6. **right** 7:20 21:11 24:7, 9, 19 25:4, 21 28:24 29:21 30:12, 16 32:3 39:2, 23 40:6 43:16 44:22

47:17 54:22 55:7, 11 59:19 62:16 68:10 70:15 74:8 81:10 82:11 91:6 98:4 99:8 104:16 108:7, 12 112:2 128:8 134:6 137:22 138:10 143:2, 7 148:18 150:9 155:19 156:6 157:4, 12, *17* 159:2*1* 161:6, 21 164:7, 8 167:15 173:12 174:14 179:13 right-hand 134:7 **rights** 106:21 108:16 141:4 145:3 ring 38:19 **Ringler** 176:13, 14 **Rio** 16:4 risk 151:22 152:10, 13, 24 160:23 **Ritter** 140:19 147:21, 22 151:7 153:18 156:2 **Ritter's** 153:12 **road** 35:2, 5 63:17 69:5 117:2 roadway 140:2 roadways 34:15 66:10 **Rob** 177:23, 25 **Robbie** 175:25 **Robin** 114:*1* 167:19 rock 41:5 43:24 44:13 45:4, 11, 17, 19 46:3, 11 47:6, 13, 14 48:13, 20, 23, 25 49:8, 10, 15, 17 50:4, 12 56:4, *15* 59:23 60:3 61:7, 12 63:5, 22 67:17 68:2, 7 70:16, 23 71:5, 9, 13, 21, 24 72:17

Professional Reporters

responsibility

20:4 46:19 47:5,

87:4 98:11, 18 104:18, 23 105:6 111:10 113:14 115:4 126:11 130:*15*, *25* 131:*1* 143:20 156:4, 23 159:13 160:17 163:9, 12, 18 164:5 171:22 rocks 43:3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 22 45:13 48:1 49:23 51:10 52:11, 16 53:2, 3, 9, 17, 24 55:2, 10, 20 56:10 81:8, 16 86:24 87:5, 11 104:23 105:5 165:3, 6, 7, 17 rocky 49:13 **ROEHL** 2:11 **role** 19:7 23:3 128:13 176:1, 17 **roles** 170:10 **Rome** 175:1 **Ron** 140:17. 19 147:20, 22 151:7 153:12, 14, 18, 21, 25 154:7 156:2 room 80:24 roughly 35:3, 4 **round** 88:14 **routinely** 151:11 175:22 **RPR** 1:25 4:19 182:5 183:6, 22 **Rules** 4:18 7:25 80:16 127:8 **ruling** 92:9 **Running** 159:*13* **run-offs** 23:13 **Rush** 123:1, 2, 4 **Ryan** 2:7 5:20 11:4 79:17 80:25 < S > safe 115:23 116:8 117:14 safety 151:15

sand 68:2, 7 70:16, 23 71:5, 9, 13, 21, 24 72:17 **sandy** 114:15 158:4 169:2, 6 **Sarah** 2:9 5:22 sarah.stevenson@ modrall.com 2:13 Saturdays 74:11 save 97:14, 19 98:19 105:5 116:11 138:9 **saved** 97:16 99:24 101:3 109:23 110:2 **savings** 101:*1* 105:17 116:2 saw 20:19 83:14 saying 9:1 12:14 41:17 47:8 70:22 71:8, 12, 14, 17 72:16 79:1 84:17 86:9, 10, 11 103:15, 16 157:7 says 17:21 18:6, 10 24:19 25:4 26:1 28:25 29:6. 24 32:4 33:9 34:6, 25 36:7 37:3, 24, 25 38:12, 23 46:2 47:12 59:22 60:11 66:7, 12 68:1 70:16 72:22 73:2 74:10, 15 80:2 81:25 88:6, 11 98:10 100:3, 8, 16 102:11 104:17, 18, 22 105:25 106:20, 22 107:12, 23 109:7, 8 113:8 114:13 115:1, 12 149:18 150:18 151:23 168:25 **schedule** 36:10, 18 73:1 74:12 102:14, 15 160:20 161:*1* scheduled 9:12

school 16:12, 14, 16 **Scope** 3:13 50:19, 24 51:5, 7, 12, 15 66:3, 16 67:16 73:25 74:6, 9, 23 75:12 153:23 154:6 scopes 177:20 **Scott** 170:25 171:*1* 175:25 scratch 13:25 14:21 18:18 20:8 30:5 47:3 50:17 66:1 70:5 73:10 85:13 94:13 95:18 101:19 102:4 105:6 117:13 124:21 screen 6:17 140:6 174:15 **Scroll** 17:7 24:10 25:3 29:4 36:23, 24 37:8 78:2 91:5 99:15 108:4 114:9 seal 183:17 seasons 75:5 **second** 34:25 42:21 68:1 106:6, 19 114:21 143:25 150:11 154:20 Second-to-last 98:5 Section 25:5 26:2, 18 39:16 40:14, 18 41:16. 20 42:18 43:2 46:2 59:18 60:2, 20 67:25 88:18 93:21 104:17 **secure** 29:25 see 24:5 35:17 59:21 90:10 98:7 112:8, 18 131:16, *21* 137:*18* 140:*4*, 5. 10 143:23 144:9 147:18 148:23 149:15, 16

150:9 151:18, 20 153:14, 19 155:22 159:18 160:15 162:9 166:7, 21 167:14 173:24 175:7 176:19 177:18 seeing 27:1 31:7, 9 99:21 176:19 seen 59:2 90:6 164:13 167:21 **sell** 71:2, 5 **send** 58:16 **sense** 64:6 sent 31:4 113:9, *16* 135:*11* 163:*11* sentence 25:13 26:1 34:25 47:11 60:10 66:12 68:1, 5 74:17 separate 70:20 September 3:18, 19 4:7, 8 17:3 18:9 36:8, 14, 18 37:14 41:18 156:2, 11 157:20 159:1 160:16 161:10 162:4, 10 163:12 164:19 165:2 174:8 sequence 60:25 seriously 94:11 serve 43:10 **served** 43:21 **set** 76:9 111:19 159:25 162:20 183:16 **setup** 71:*19* seven 13:1 82:13, 17 83:5 103:17 136:10 152:5 **shallow** 63:12 **shape** 137:*1* **share** 140:5 171:12 sharing 142:9 174:14 **SHEET** 182:1

Professional Reporters

sheets 120:9 **Shoney** 2:3 5:19 **shoot** 41:8 159:22 160:2 **shooting** 131:*15* **Short** 4:11 132:8 147:5 174:18 178:*14* **shot** 159:19 **show** 74:24 110:6 117:2 140:5 143:24 147:*14* 158:*21* 167:15 168:19 176:2*1* **showed** 91:*1* 111:6 128:11 168:9, 10, 14 showing 38:8 108:2 111:12 **shown** 27:8 130:21 153:10 **shut** 73:4 75:18 78:23 sick 125:25 **side** 179:12, 13 sieve 140:23 **sign** 58:1 103:8 124:3, 19 125:11, *17* 178:23 180:*14* signature 24:21, 22 25:1, 24 58:3, **signed** 26:5, 7, 19, 23 27:2, 7, 10, 12 28:17 58:14, 19 59:15 64:21 85:7 96:18, 22, 24 103:9 104:1 124:15, 23 177:23 significant 40:19 166:4 significantly 74:13 signing 24:24, 25 59:12 103:12 104:8 silt 144:21 similarly 8:20

214 **simple** 22:*3* simpler 54:14 simply 65:9 82:7 89:14 103:20 130:14 **Sir** 6:7 7:23 23:22 28:8 36:25 38:21 42:10 57:9 65:2 77:14 79:9, 11, 21 80:14 81:15 85:22 90:5 94:7 99:18 100:22 103:21 112:23 116:22 123:14 125:22 126:3, 24 127:6 178:11 179:4 siren 78:17 **SISK** 2:11 sit 83:25 89:6 site 13:3 18:11. 14, 20, 21 19:4, 7, 9, 12, 16, 17 20:2, 3, 4, 5 21:1, 10, 11, 15, 25 22:7 23:14 32:10 33:17, 24 34:9 35:5 36:13 37:19 38:11 45:18 46:4 50:18, 23 52:11 55:17 60:8, 9 61:20 62:4, 5, 25 63:16 68:16, 22 69:7, 22 71:2 76:20 77:5 97:21 100:11 102:4, 5, 8, 12, 21 105:12 110:10, 24 111:6, 7, 18, 20, 25 112:8, 11 115:14 117:1 118:5, 6 123:14, 15, 19 131:5, 11 133:5, *16* 134:*13* 138:*6*, 10, 16 139:10, 18, *22*, *24* 141:*1*, *3*, *21*, 24, 25 142:14, 15, 19 143:1, 2, 3 144:21, 25 145:2,

146:6, 25 147:25 149:19, 21 150:1 154:7, 11, 17 155:11, 12 156:4, *6*, *23* 157:*4*, *12* 162:12 163:5, 13 164:24 167:2, 9, 12 168:4, 8, 19 170:25 171:18 175:3 176:8 sitting 152:4, 5 172:9 178:10 situation 13:5 45:16 64:2 six 20:15 32:4 **skipped** 34:19 **Slade** 2:11 5:21 **slight** 10:21 **small** 79:9 **smaller** 23:21 43:3, 6, 10, 22, 24 45:4, 12 165:9 **Smith** 1:24 soil 55:2 59:23 60:3 61:7, 12 62:22 63:5, 22 68:2, 7 81:9, 17 86:24 87:5, 6 100:10, 13, 15 114:15 141:1,5 144:25 145:4 158:4 160:12 169:2, 6 **soils** 41:2 61:19 126:13 145:12 160:13 **sold** 70:16, 20 **sole** 120:18 solidified 132:11 **solution** 104:25 somebody 55:25 83:18 160:14 180:10 someone's 22:1 someplace 56:23 somewhat 98:6 soon 73:10 sorry 6:15 8:12 10:19 14:20

17:13 22:23 24:6, 8 25:11 27:18, 19 29:23 30:1, 5, 6 31:8 33:5 34:7 36:4, 20 37:18 40:22 41:9 42:3 44:3 46:12 48:10, 19 53:13 54:10 57:19 61:21 65:24 66:9 67:23, 24 72:21 76:3, 17, 23 78:16 80:5 81:22 87:15 88:1, 5, 15 90:19 95:10 98:11 100:18 105:7 106:12, 23 107:25 110:20 116:6 119:12, 13, *14*, *15* 121:21 122:3 137:25 139:13 141:18 144:3 153:16 164:20 171:7 175:9 178:25 **sort** 136:19 159:17 176:7 **sound** 110:18 145:6 165:11 **South** 2:4, 8 speak 15:14, 22 39:3 47:19, 24 51:22 58:22 168:13 speaking 11:10 special 49:3 56:5, 8 specific 40:23 60:9 62:25 120:25 170:10 specifically 156:25 170:21 speculation 95:5 **spent** 101:11 SPERLING 2:11 **spoke** 11:7 spoken 22:6 49:21 111:2 spontaneous 151:*11*

Professional Reporters

12, 14, 19, 22

spread 66:10 68:21 **Springs** 123:1, 2, 4 **SS** 183:*3* stabilize 44:18, 20, 24 stacking 176:9 stage 73:4 75:18 78:22 **stages** 35:18 stamp 99:17 144:5 158:24 175:8 **stamped** 90:*1* 112:18, 20 140:8 144:7 147:18 150:17 155:24 158:24 161:25 164:10 167:17 174:17 177:3 **standard** 49:9, 16 54:4 60:12, 17, 21 61:6, 11, 24 62:11, 13, 19, 21 63:4, 8, 24 120:6 **standards** 136:*19* **standing** 137:21 stands 165:25 start 8:25 154:15 started 20:9 34:13, 14 37:15 39:6 147:24 **Starting** 29:23 starts 37:7 state 5:8 72:5 136:8 181:5, *16*, 23 183:2 stated 51:5 54:1 67:2 146:*15* statement 33:1 34:1 35:9 39:16 40:2 55:9 64:12 70:18 76:25 78:20 79:1 82:18, 20, 25 83:12, 13 85:3, 5, 14, 16, 23, 25 86:1, 12, 13 94:15 107:9, 12

108:14 141:8 145:7 153:25 statements 29:18 92:10 96:9 97:1 124:10 125:19 **STATES** 1:1, 17 5:3, 4 6:9 21:21 32:5 33:12, 14 38:13 54:16 150:20 154:7 170:23 statute 26:18, 20 27:2, 4 stay 28:24 73:1 **stayed** 68:15 stenographer 8:6 **stepping** 128:*12* **steps** 72:25 169:*4*, *13* **Steve** 114:22, 23 146:23 147:1 148:25 149:12 152:15, 22 153:6 157:11 163:25 164:3, 20 Stevenson 2:9 5:22 sticking 137:5 stipulated 4:16 **STIPULATIONS** 4:15 **stood** 109:18 **stop** 11:6 41:6 108:7 110:7 112:11, 13 157:17 163:18 164:5, 24 172:2 174:14 **stoppage** 35:17, 20 36:2 stoppages 165:22 **stopped** 35:10, 13 78:7 109:3 163:12 169:17 stopping 79:7 **stops** 137:16 **stored** 46:*3* strategy 72:24

214 16:4 136:8 stress 134:17 structural 44:25 45:5, 6 68:25 69:2, 9, 15, 22 70:5 137:15, 19 179:7, 11 **Stuart** 1:15 5:10 6:6, 7 9:21 81:12 89:20 stuart.ashworth@s **ol.doi.gov** 1:19 **stuff** 64:7 83:2 171:23 subcontractor 46:9, 13, 14, 17, 20, 24 47:15, 24 49:22 50:6 52:23 56:19, 21 138:12 subcontractors 48:5 142:5 **subject** 33:11, 13 164:*11* **submit** 129:20 submitted 14:2, 24 114:7 submitting 27:24 subparagraph 59:18, 21 67:22 subpoena 90:2 **Subscribed** 181:*15* substantial 116:2 **substitute** 101:*11* suggests 140:24 **suit** 183:15 suitable 66:8 98:15 140:2 **Suite** 1:18 2:4, 12 summarizing 91:14 **summer** 154:2 **Sundays** 74:11 super 9:8 superintendent 167:19 173:15, 22 174:1, 8 176:6 **superior** 19:*15* 21:5

superiors 19:10 20:6 112:14 supervision 102:13 supervisor 123:16 159:8 supervisors 153:4 **Supplemental** 4:10 170:18 support 27:17, 18, 20, 25 44:25 45:5, 6 68:25 69:3, 9, 15, 23 70:5 86:24 136:25 179:7, 12 supposed 51:1 98:13 104:19 118:5 122:9 150:22 **sure** 7:9 9:3 12:17 13:7, 10 14:21 17:14 22:25 25:12, 25 26:16, 25 28:2, 14 30:9, 10, 21 36:5, 12, 19, 21 40:1, 8, 12, 23 42:4, 16 43:8 44:10 47:21 49:25 54:7, 11 55:13, 23 57:3 61:3, 22 64:10 65:25 66:24 71:3, 18 75:6 76:2, 5 78:20 81:14 82:16 84:3, 24 85:9, 21, 25 88:21 91:17, 20 93:6 94:3 95:6, 9 96:1, 2, 14, 17, 20 97:17 103:21 107:7, 10, 18, 19, 21 108:4, 22 109:6, 13, 16, 22, 25 110:15, 22 111:5 116:5, 7, 13 121:16, 20 122:5 123:22 125:2, 5 126:22 129:18 131:20 134:21 135:24 139:24 140:10, 20 141:20

Professional Reporters

Street 1:18 2:12

142:4, 8 143:15 146:11 148:8, 13 149:5 152:4, 6, 11 155:5, 14 161:20 162:22 167:10 168:1, 12, 21 169:7, 24 171:24 172:*5*, *7* 173:*9*, *17*, 18 174:3, 10, 12 176:17 179:18, 19 surface 30:2 33:10 106:22 130:15 **surprise** 52:9, 13, 14 53:1, 5, 16 85:2 121:11 131:12 surprising 53:21 swear 5:25 **switch** 18:25 42:21 **swore** 57:12 **sworn** 6:1, 3 181:*15* 183:8 **sync** 137:6 <T> table 172:14 take 9:11, 15

40:22 52:22 57:1, 2 75:9 79:9, 22 126:21, 23 128:3, 14 138:3 142:19 143:6 150:10 166:8, 14 170:19 178:*13* **TAKEN** 1:12 4:17 57:6 72:25 80:11 127:3 147:12 163:17 166:18 169:5, 14 178:18 182:6 talk 56:24 88:17 **talked** 78:*3* 106:13 148:11 **talking** 16:11 31:11, 12 44:21 55:1 87:4, 5, 11 115:17, 24 116:9,

214 10, 17, 19 119:11 133:5 **tall** 110:14 team 154:6 technical 6:16 tell 7:6 30:20 32:25 38:16 41:20 46:18 47:3 48:1 52:10, 15 56:9 58:1 63:3 69:8 70:3 73:11 75:21 76:9 93:22 94:1, 9 95:18, 20 97:5 103:23 136:15 141:23 142:2 158:10 173:16 **telling** 39:20 47:3 60:20 63:7, 19, 21, 25 68:12 73:8, 17 102:17, 20 103:5, 6 128:17 139:15 146:5 tells 31:25 ten 24:18 178:14 ten-minute 57:2 126:22 **tenure** 19:1, 3 **term** 160:3 terminal 69:6 terms 137:19 155:13 171:13 terrible 51:25 100:19 test 57:18 **testified** 6:3 7:21 25:18 28:9 52:10, *15* 53:1, *17* 62:10 74:19 121:8, 22, 24 **testify** 183:9 testimony 14:22 15:4 26:6, 11, 17 27:1 28:1 41:12 47:13 57:19 61:10 80:19, 22

thank 80:23 106:1 127:18, 19 128:6 178:9, 11, 12 **Thanks** 156:7 **Thanksgiving** 73:5, 14, 18 74:15, 16, 22 75:9, 19 78:4, 24 theirs 77:18 **themself** 166:*1* **thing** 102:11 103:2 130:7 150:12 things 11:5, 17 83:3 102:12 125:2 128:7 151:20 172:22 think 6:14, 15 7:17 9:8 17:16 27:13 32:24 40:9 45:15 49:2 53:22 56:21, 22 58:25 66:22, 24 67:11 69:11, 12 70:12 74:1 76:11 78:25 79:18 82:19 84:8 88:23 92:7, 19, 20 94:14, 25 95:13, 16, 17, 19 97:15, 18 104:1 106:12 109:14 110:23 116:14 124:2, 7, *10*, *20* 125:9 130:4, 7 134:9 137:10 138:15, 24 142:17 149:12 150:13, 15, 22 151:*13* 152:*18*, *19* 154:20 155:6 158:20 161:21 162:21 164:7 165:1 166:22, 25 167:*11* 171:2*1* 174:11, 25 176:20 178:7, 8 **thinking** 6:15 58:8 94:16 95:2

Thirteen 16:10 **Thirty-five** 117:*12* thought 54:4 95:7, 11, 12 103:14 107:8 133:6, 8 151:*1* 174:4 **three** 6:23 102:11 thrown 136:20 time 12:8 13:4 17:17 18:11, 15 19:4. 13 20:15 24:13 25:16 26:13, 23, 24 27:9 36:14 38:3 39:1 40:8, 20 51:6 55:18 59:2 64:15, 18 81:16 82:15 83:21 84:5, 10, 18 85:1, 7, 17 86:6 88:21 89:5, 13 91:4 92:19 93:11, 23, 25 95:21 96:5, 24 98:17 101:5 103:11, 13, 25 104:3, 6, 8 109:18 110:1 111:9 114:15, 25 116:11 124:5 125:25 126:2 127:18 128:4 130:24 131:4, 25 132:8 134:10, 12, 15, 16, *24* 135:*16* 136:*11*, *13* 138:*16* 141:*11* 142:19, 24 148:20 149:4 152:9 154:2, 14 156:21 158:*14* 160:2*1*, 24 161:8, 10, 12, 15 163:13 165:13, 15 166:3, 24 168:2, 7, *11, 14* 169:2 170:5 171:15 172:12 174:5, 11 178:9, 22 180:14 181:9

Professional Reporters

82:11 108:19

127:10, 13 181:8

times 21:9 49:10 93:25 100:25 148:12 timing 102:14 **tipping** 179:16 title 22:18 **titled** 174:18 today 5:19 8:1 47:13 48:1 51:23 63:7 83:3, 25 85:19, 20 89:7 103:18 124:15 127:10, 13 135:15 151:*15* 152:*5* 172:9 178:10 today's 10:18 told 47:25 48:18, 19 52:15 53:2, 17 56:12 74:21 75:16 81:6 82:9 83:9 84:18 91:24 104:4 106:13 116:19 121:9 141:20 146:24 148:25 152:14 153:*1* 158:*3* top 28:5 37:24 43:25 44:19 74:8 104:18, 22 105:25 114:9 115:10 137:5 153:17 162:9 164:15 tornado 78:17 total 80:3 82:1 88:7 90:10 99:2 100:24 touched 14:8 tour 111:6 168:18 **Tower** 2:8 44:21 45:*1* 68:25 69:23 118:5 137:*1* 179:8, 12, 17 towers 45:6 86:25 176:9 town 30:3 track 46:15 49:5 56:20

Tradewind 131:23 132:3, 6 133:9 157:6 **Tradewinds** 132:12 133:6 148:7 transcript 13:12 45:24 179:19 183:11 transcription 181:8 transmission 118:22 **transport** 148:*15* trial 7:21 178:22 180:14 **tribe** 81:10, 18 94:23 107:5 110:9 111:15 trickled 55:25 **tried** 98:18 **trigger** 146:17 tripped 7:12 trucking 138:9 true 25:6, 16, 20 26:3, 9, 14 27:10 59:25 65:15, 22 84:9 85:5, 16 86:2, 14 92:20 97:2 103:12 126:12 137:20 157:19 160:12 181:7 183:11 trust 32:5, 7, 13, 19 136:8 **trusted** 93:18 **truth** 103:22, 23 104:1, 5 183:9, 10 truthful 15:6 28:3 57:12 95:18, 19 96:3, 5 truthfully 52:24 try 63:12 94:20 137:22 trying 9:3 39:22 40:4 73:9, 11 76:9 97:10, 13, 23 107:14 108:20, 25

115:17 150:11 169:12 **Tulsa** 1:18 2:4, 9 78:17 **turbine** 44:19 60:9 68:22 69:4, 6 136:24 137:8, 20 143:1, 2 **turbines** 60:25 61:21 62:7 130:20 134:23 146:7 160:24 161:1 166:1, 5 167:3 **turn** 110:11 128:*15* **Twelve** 16:7 two 7:4 14:24 28:6 42:19, 23 52:20 53:14 56:2 59:18, 21 111:13, 25 112:10 114:8 115:19 160:22 178:24, 25 179:4 two-year 16:20 type 35:22 56:12 67:4 87:22 118:24 **typed** 8:21 types 160:12 **typical** 34:16 138:5 typically 141:2 145:*1*, *14* **typo** 150:23 < U > **U.S** 5:10, 14, 15 6:8 **U.S.'s** 39:22 40:5 42:7 **uh-huh** 8:19 9:1 ultimately 12:14 47:7, 9 48:5 169:20 **undated** 170:22 underneath 44:14 70:15 81:9, 17

undersigned 181:16 understand 9:2, 20, 22 10:3 44:10 47:20, 22 81:2 82:20 91:21 101:18 116:6 117:18 122:4 125:5 126:9 136:10, 14 143:14 169:*13* understanding 48:17 87:11 97:22 101:8, 20 107:13 111:24 114:3 117:4, 25 126:3 129:19 130:18 134:22 135:15, 16 136:22 140:22 141:10 142:23 143:5, 10 144:17 145:7 148:19, 24 149:2 152:8 154:1, 9 156:5 157:3 162:16 165:12, 16 166:3 170:5 171:15 173:20 174:6 178:2 understood 10:5 81:8, 16 142:9, 18, *23* 162:*14* undertaking 172:1 **unique** 64:1 **UNITED** 1:1.17 5:3, 4 6:8 21:21 32:5 33:12, 14 54:15 un-permitted 113:11 **unstable** 139:16 **unsure** 72:*3* 136:4 155:18 untruthful 86:6 **Update** 4:11 159:5 174:19 **upper** 32:16 33:23 90:9

Professional Reporters

170:11

upright 137:21 USA 181:2 182:2 USC 25:5 26:2, 13 use 44:13 45:5, *12* 60:8 61:*12*, *18*, 24 62:4, 21, 24 63:1, 11, 12 66:13, 18, 19 67:2 71:12, 23 98:23 101:11 105:11 112:1 130:25 131:1, 4, 6 137:13 138:4 140:3 141:1, 24 142:14, 21 143:3 144:25 146:6 154:11 160:3 165:7 167:2 179:6 usual 73:3, 18 75:17 78:5, 6, 22 **utilized** 74:11

< V > **Vaguely** 156:13, *15* 164:*17* Valencia 16:23 **Valentine** 151:25 153:14 varies 74:13 **Venturini** 113:*17*, 21 167:19 **verb** 38:17 verified 85:8 **verify** 24:11 49:22 50:6 84:22 85:18 86:13 92:21 verifying 85:4 93:8 **version** 117:23 144:4 versus 5:4 77:5 105:11 121:3 136:24 **viable** 149:21

150:7

vice 38:3 48:15 52:20 106:17 108:9

VIDEOCONFERE NCE 1:*12*

VIDEOGRAPHER
5:1, 24 57:4, 7
80:5, 9, 12 127:1,
4 166:16, 19
178:16, 19 180:15
videotape 5:1
VIDEOTAPED
1:12, 24
Views 4:11
174:19

visited 145:19 visitors 111:16, 20 visits 111:23 Vista 16:4 void 43:25 voided 44:8

visit 145:24

voids 44:8 139:25 **volume** 46:3, 11 47:5, 12 48:1, 13, 20, 25 49:8, 17, 22 50:4, 12 51:10 52:11, 16, 22 53:2, 8, 18, 24 56:10, 20

vs 1:7 181:2 182:2

<W>
wait 154:20

want 8:18 9:25

11:6 24:10 25:14

44:13 52:1 57:11,

14 71:10 75:11

79:22 80:15, 21

83:11 94:8 97:9

98:8 103:19, 21

127:7 128:9

137:22 139:23

153:17 156:5

157:3 165:5

171:11 179:19

wanted 12:16

13:14 22:11

214 39:16 49:4 94:15 112:8. 13 127:9 141:20 179:18 wanting 94:8 wants 97:19 **Watson** 1:23 5:13 way 10:13 27:9 34:19 41:5 42:17 44:16 47:1, 19 55:25 59:20 61:4 64:1 69:20 79:16 92:25 93:8 112:21 125:8 130:4 137:3, 16 153:16 155:9 161:17 170:2 Weatherford 20:23 123:1 Wednesday 78:17

week 134:13 165:21 weekend 73:5, 14, 18 74:23 75:19 78:4, 8, 24 weekends 74:17 Weigel 140:17 142:4, 9 Weir 110:15, 25 111:2 167:25 Welch 151:8 175:24 176:3, 11 Welch's 176:1 welcome 37:25

well 5:12 7:20
12:2 18:8 25:24
26:24 29:15
32:17 54:12 56:9
58:12 61:3 62:7
63:19 71:20
72:11 73:10
74:17 79:10 83:1,
8 87:3 90:16
91:3 93:20 96:16
99:23 100:3
101:19 102:9
109:7 136:4

137:16 145:22

152:20 155:8, 23

156:16 168:10 172:6, 19 178:7 went 11:5 42:13 47:20 125:25 174:25 We're 5:6 24:16 28:22, 23 39:10, 11 42:18 44:21 45:15 55:1 56:25 57:4, 7, 9, 17 60:24 66:3, 4 67:21 72:20 79:4, 5, 14, 15, 16 80:14 81:21 91:9 98:3 102:9 104:10, 11 105:23 106:6 112:20 114:19, 20 117:23 127:6 137:10 149:17 164:7 178:13 West 1:18 we've 148:3, 4 155:25 156:22 WHEREOF 183:16 White 167:25 **Whitehead** 110:*15* Whiteshield 110:18 111:1, 3 **widely** 142:5 **width** 137:*3* wife 15:19, 20 123:11 **William** 5:9 6:11, 21 **WIND** 1:7 3:15, 23 5:4 20:13, 21 21:2, 4, 10 23:4, 10, 16 29:3, 6, 11, *13*, *20*, *25* 30:5, *6*, *16* 32:4, *10* 33:*11*, 17 34:6, 16 35:2, 6 44:21, 25 45:6 54:17 55:18, 19 60:13 66:17

68:25 69:14, 23 72:23 74:9 76:21,

22 77:2, 4, 10, 12

81:3, 17 82:24

Professional Reporters

92.11 15 96.24
83:11, 15 86:24
88:12, 14, 18 90:7
97:22 102:6
106: <i>15</i> 113: <i>11</i>
117:5 118:13, 18
119:24 122:16
123:5 131:22
132:1, 17 133:2, 3,
6, 8, 12 134:23
135:17, 22, 25
136:6, 23 137:20
138:6, 14, 19
139:19 141:3, 11
l
142:20 144:7, 19
145:2, 18 146:7,
16 148:10 154:12
155:11 157:20, 25
162:1, 17 164:10
166:1, 5 167:3, 17
160.4 0 170.21
168:4, 8 170:2 <i>1</i>
173: <i>3</i> 175: <i>14</i>
173:3 175:14 176:2 177:5, 10,
<i>15, 24</i> 178: <i>3</i>
181:2 182:2
Wind-018666
158:25
Wind-019901
155:24
Wind-024749
**IIIu-027/7/
140:8
140:8
140:8 Wind-035610
140:8 Wind-035610 177: <i>3</i>
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4 WITNESS 5:9, 25
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4 WITNESS 5:9, 25 6:1 7:14 10:9
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4 WITNESS 5:9, 25 6:1 7:14 10:9 12:10, 25 19:25
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4 WITNESS 5:9, 25 6:1 7:14 10:9
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4 WITNESS 5:9, 25 6:1 7:14 10:9 12:10, 25 19:25
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4 WITNESS 5:9, 25 6:1 7:14 10:9 12:10, 25 19:25 21:18 22:23 23:6 26:12, 22 27:6, 23
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4 WITNESS 5:9, 25 6:1 7:14 10:9 12:10, 25 19:25 21:18 22:23 23:6 26:12, 22 27:6, 23 28:20 31:2, 18, 23
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4 WITNESS 5:9, 25 6:1 7:14 10:9 12:10, 25 19:25 21:18 22:23 23:6 26:12, 22 27:6, 23 28:20 31:2, 18, 23 32:15, 23 33:4, 21
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4 WITNESS 5:9, 25 6:1 7:14 10:9 12:10, 25 19:25 21:18 22:23 23:6 26:12, 22 27:6, 23 28:20 31:2, 18, 23 32:15, 23 33:4, 21 34:4, 23 35:25
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4 WITNESS 5:9, 25 6:1 7:14 10:9 12:10, 25 19:25 21:18 22:23 23:6 26:12, 22 27:6, 23 28:20 31:2, 18, 23 32:15, 23 33:4, 21 34:4, 23 35:25 38:21 39:25 40:8
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4 WITNESS 5:9, 25 6:1 7:14 10:9 12:10, 25 19:25 21:18 22:23 23:6 26:12, 22 27:6, 23 28:20 31:2, 18, 23 32:15, 23 33:4, 21 34:4, 23 35:25 38:21 39:25 40:8 42:3, 9 45:8, 15,
140:8 Wind-035610 177:3 Wind-036433 174:18 Wind's 20:11 77:15 wish 124:15 wit 6:4 WITNESS 5:9, 25 6:1 7:14 10:9 12:10, 25 19:25 21:18 22:23 23:6 26:12, 22 27:6, 23 28:20 31:2, 18, 23 32:15, 23 33:4, 21 34:4, 23 35:25 38:21 39:25 40:8

2
48:4 49:19, 25
50:9, 16 51:3, 14,
<i>19</i> 52: <i>13</i> , <i>19</i> 53: <i>5</i> ,
20 54:1 55:13, 23
56:7, 17 59:6
60:6 61:16 62:4,
24 64:4, 14 65:1,
7, 17, 24 66:22
67:11, 20 68:21
69:11, 17, 25
70:12 71:16 72:2 75:25 76:11, 16
73.23 70.11, 10
77:7, 18, 25 79:3,
24 81:6, 20 82:13
84:3, 8, 24 85:7
86:4, 16, 21 87:2,
14, 25 88:21 89:1,
<i>12</i> 91: <i>17</i> 92: <i>14</i> ,
19 93:6, 17 94:3,
11, 19 95:6, 16
06:1 5 07:12
96:1, 5 97:12 98:22 100:2
101:7, 13, 24
102:23 103:11
104:8 105:15
107:7, 18 108:22
109:13, 22 110:4
112:4 113:4
115:8 116:5, 13
118:3 120:2
121.13 120.2
121: <i>13</i> 122: <i>3</i> 123:22 124: <i>7</i> , <i>13</i> ,
123.22 124.7, 13,
18 125:2, 15, 21
126:25 127:16, 19
135:2 141: <i>13</i>
145:10 146:19
149: <i>12</i> 169:24
171:20 174:10
178:11, 12 180:10
181: <i>17</i> 183: <i>12</i> , <i>16</i>
wobbling 179:15
WOHLGEMUTH
2:7
wondering 174:4
Woodward 122:21
word 38:16
words 8:20
31:16, 21 72:13
82:9 83:9 136:20

Pred in USDC ND/C
4
Work 3:13 18:19
35:3, 5, 10, 17, 20 36:2, 7, 9, 17 39:6
36:2, 7, 9, 17 39:6
41:22 48:3 30:19,
24 51:1, 5, 7, 12,
<i>15</i> 66:3, <i>16</i> 67: <i>17</i>
72:24 73:4, 9, 20,
25 74:6, 9, 12, 13,
21 75:4, 12 78:23
88:15 102:14
107:3 109:3
115.5 119.3
115:5 119: <i>3</i> 120: <i>15</i> 133: <i>11</i>
138:13 153:22
163:5 175: <i>13</i>
177:20
worked 18:6
74:18 123:24
132: <i>1</i> 148:7
178: <i>3</i>
working 18: <i>15</i>
21:9 34:20 96:16
122:2 <i>1</i> 131:22
132: <i>17</i> 133: <i>1</i>
135:2 <i>1</i> , 25 136:6
142:5 148:10
162:16 177:10, 15
works 144:16
165:3
worries 134:1
138: <i>1</i>
write 103:3
156:8 159:12
160:16 161:7
writes 115:1
140:22 142:4
144:2 <i>4</i> 148: <i>13</i>
153:14, 21 156:2,
22 157:2 162:10
163:8 164: <i>19</i> , <i>20</i>
165:2, 20
writing 8:6
116: <i>15</i> 129:7
written 8:20 30:7
64:16, 18 66:25
74:14 75:6 92:20
150 17

76:19 94:4 **WT** 165:23 **WTG** 165:21, 25 < Y > yards 100:8, 15, 16 **Yeah** 78:12 79:15 112:19 119:25 120:8 123:10 131:20 134:6 137:23 139:15 141:19 144:3 147:4 150:15 160:9 161:14 163:22 172:4 176:17 179:*14* year 123:25 years 6:22 7:7, 20 13:1, 4 16:7, 10, 11, 13, 15, 21 34:23 49:7 82:13, 17 83:5 103:17 117:4, 12, 15 122:8 136:10 152:5 **yes-or-no** 8:16 yesterday 11:5 24:15 you-all 73:13 163:12 168:17 $\langle Z \rangle$ **Zoom** 183:7

Professional Reporters

wrong 158:17 wrote 12:18